Section A-Research paper

Candida Prevalence in the Saliva of Controlled and Uncontrolled Diabetic Patients – A Clinical Study

1. Dr.Garima Sharma
Senior Lecturer, Department of Oral Medicine and Radiology
Teerthanker Mahaveer University Dental College and Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh
garimasharma13031994@gmail.com
2. Dr.Pratima Ngangom
Post Graduate Student, Department of Oral Medicine and Radiology, Divya Jyoti College of Dental Sciences and Research
Ghaziabad,Modinagar-201204, pratimangangom7@gmail.com
3. Tirumalasetty Yamini Aparna,
Post Graduate Student, Department of Oral Medicine and Radiology, GDC&H, Hyderabad.
yaminiaparna97@gmail.com
4. Anakarla Julian Vishal,
Post Graduate Student, Department of Oral Medicine and Radiology, GDC &H, Hyderabad.
julian.anakarla@gmail.com
5. Dr.Rupa Chandini Dabbiru
Senior Lecturer, Department of Oral Medicine and Radiology, Gitam Dental College and Hospital, Visakhapatnam
dr.chandini29@gmail.com
6. Dr. Gaurav Dani
Reader, Department of Oral Pathology and Microbiology, Rungta College of Dental Sciences and Research, Bhilai, Chhattisgarh
drgauravdani@gmail.com
7. Dr. Ramanpal Singh Makkad
Professor, Department of Oral Medicine and Radiology
New Horizon Dental College and Research Institute, Sakri, Bilaspur Chhattisgarh
drramanpal@gmail.com

Abstract: The purpose of this research is to evaluate the prevalence of Candida between diabetes patients and non-diabetic controls, as well as to investigate the association between candidal carriage and glycemic control, oral Candida species prevalence, and clinical candidal infection. as well as the impact of smoking and antidiabetic drugs on candida overgrowth. This cross-sectional research surveyed a sample of 1,000 people, including 150 persons with diabetes and 50 healthy individuals serving as controls. Saliva samples were taken using the intense oral rinse

technique to isolate oral candida quantitatively. On each plate, a select few potential settlements were highlighted by a computerized state counter. Statistical analysis is performed using the Mann-Whitney and chi-square tests. The numbers tally up: 95 candida carriers among the diabetics and just 21 in the controls. The significant factor (P 0.05) was quite large. There were 48 male and 47 female diabetics that carried candida. (P 0.05) There was really no noticeable change. Six women and fifteen men served as controls for the candida infection. The crucial factor did not differ from chance (P > 0.05). Sixty of the ninety patients in the diet control group were candida carriers, as were 32 of the 58 patients in the oral hypoglycemic group, and even one of the insulin-treated patients. A chi-square test revealed no statistically significant differences (P > 0.05). 15 of the 25 persons with excellent control of their diabetes had candida; 24 of the 42 with intermediate management did, and 56 of the 83 with poor control did. Diabetic people are at increased risk for oral candidiasis.

Keywords: Candida, diabetes, Carrier, glycemic control

Introduction: Diabetes mellitus is a leading cause of death and a large contributor to the worldwide burden of disease.Saliva's content and flow may be changed in diabetes individuals, which may have negative effects on oral tissue health [1,2].[3,4] Candida species infections are more common in people with uncontrolled diabetes mellitus.[5] The goals of this research were to better understand the relationships between candidal carriage and glycemic control, between different species of Candida and clinical candidal infection, and between the prevalence of candida and antidiabetic medication and smoking.

Method: One hundred fifty type 2 diabetes individuals of both sexes were chosen at random for this research.

Specifically, 80% power at 5% error (i.e. the false positive rate, as great as we can accept, usually always, =5%) was used to determine the required number of participants in the research. Eligibility requirements and prohibitions Patients with diabetes, regardless of their glycemic control, aged 30-60 were included in the trial, along with 50 non-diabetic patients of the same age range who served as controls. Based on their glycated hemoglobin levels, patients were categorized as either diabetic or control. (HbA1c). Patients who were currently receiving any kind of medical treatment (including antibiotics, steroids, chemotherapy, antiseptic mouthwashes, radiation therapy, hormone supplements, or were pregnant) were not eligible to participate. Before beginning the research, clearance was given by the institution's ethical review board. Consent was gained from all patients once they were given information about the trial. Patients' demographic information was collected, including their names, ages, and sexes. Procedure for Drawing Blood Blood examinations, including fasting blood sugar and glycosylated hemoglobin level, were performed after five millimeters of venous blood were drawn from the median cubital vein under sterile circumstances. The glucose oxidase technique

was used to examine the serum sample. The level of glycosylated hemoglobin was determined using a commercial kit called LifechemGHb, which relies on an ion-exchange technique. Values of HbA1c > 6.5% indicate diabetes, whereas values below 10% indicate normal glucose management. Medial rhomboid glossitis, atrophic glossitis, fissured tongue, pseudomembranous candidiasis, and angular cheilitis were all checked for during the in-depth intraoral examination.

In this study, researchers collected and analyzed natural, mixed saliva. Morning saliva samples were taken between the hours of 9 and 11 a.m. Patients were instructed to fast for at least 90 minutes before to the collection of the saliva samples. To isolate candida from the mouth in large numbers, researchers utilized a concentrated mouthwash. After collecting the sample, it was centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 15 minutes to remove any debris. To ensure efficient microbiological disaggregation, the supernatant was removed and the remaining solution was diluted with 1 ml phosphate buffered saline. Predictions about Candida Fifty microliters of the rinse was inoculated onto Sabouraud's dextrose agar using the pour-plate method in a sterile UV inoculation chamber utilizing a spiral platter. The plates subsequently underwent a 48-hour aerobic incubation at 37 degrees Celsius. Smooth, white, or buttery colonies were used to identify Candida growth during incubation, and multiple CFU/ml of oral rinse were calculated using the formula CFU/ml = 1000 number of colonies/4.
Mean, standard deviation, Chi-square test, and Mann-Whitney test were used to tabulate and statistically evaluate the acquired data.

Results: There were 95 diabetes carrier candidates and 21 healthy ones. The distinction was statistically significant (P 0.05). In diabetes, 48 men and 47 females were carriers. There was no statistically significant change (P > 0.05). In the control group, 15 men and 6 females tested positive for carrying the virus. There was no statistically significant change (P > 0.05).Sixty patients in the diet control group were candidal carriers, 58 on oral hypoglycemics had candidal carriers, and 1 of 2 patients on insulin was a candidal carrier. The results of the Chi-square test were inconclusive (P > 0.05). There were 9 candidal carriers out of a total of 15 smokers (60%) and 12 carriers out of a total of 35 non-smokers (34.4%). There was no statistically significant change (P > 0.05).
Table 1 shows that 15 of the 25 diabetics with excellent control, 24 of the 42 with moderate management, and 56 of the 83 with poor control were candidal carriers.

Table1:Candidalcarriageindiabeticsinrelationtoglycemiccontrol

GlycosylatedHb	Total	Carriers	Percentage
6-8% (good)	25	15	60
8-10% (moderate)	42	24	57.1
>10 (poor)	83	56	67.4

Diabetics had a much higher colony-forming unit (CFU) count than controls (957.91 vs. 2465.11). The Mann-Whitney U test showed that there were statistically significant differences between the groups. [Table 2]

Table 2: Candidal carriage in diabetics in relation to glycemic control

Group	**N**	**Mean**	**SD**	***P***
Diabetic	95	2465.11	2104.65	0.01
Control	21	957.91	670.5	

Discussion:

The risk of developing a fungal infection, particularly one caused by the Candida genus, increases in those with uncontrolled diabetes mellitus. In addition, the progression of infection is more challenging for these individuals.Diabetes, glycemic management, medication, and local predisposing factors such poor oral hygiene, smoking, and the use of dentures are all linked to the oral carriage of Candida in diabetic patients.[5] This study aimed to examine the impact of antidiabetic therapy and smoking on the prevalence of Candida in the mouths of diabetic patients and healthy controls, as well as to determine whether or not there is a correlation between candidal carriage and glycemic control.Clinical evidence, especially a diagnosis of oral candidiasis, is often obtained by recording CFU. It is hypothesized that the total amount of Candida in the mouth has a role in the onset of illness. Quantitative cultures have been shown to accurately differentiate between carriers and patients with oral candidiasis, as established by Epstein et al. [5]. Diabetic patients in this research had a mean candidal load of 2465.11CFU/ml, whereas non-diabetic controls had a mean value of 957.91CFU/ml, demonstrating that the CFU of Candida was substantially greater in diabetes patients than in controls.

High levels of oral candidal carriage are a known risk factor for people with diabetes. If this is the case, it begs the issue of whether or not Candida carriage may be avoided by keeping diabetes mellitus under control with antidiabetic medication. Kumar et al. [6] categorized diabetes patients based on their treatment regimen. Only one man (25%) out of four diabetes individuals was confirmed to be carrying oral candida. Only 4% of men and 3% of women who were treated with diet alone exhibited signs of oral candidal carriage. 14 (73.68%) of 19 males and 15 (71.43%) of 21 females with diabetes who had their condition under control with diet and oral antidiabetic medicines were found to have oral candidal carriage. Anti-diabetic drugs were not associated with an increase in oral fungus. Oral candidal carriage was not related to the kind of diabetes therapy, as noted by Bhuyan et al [7].

If a person's diabetes is well-managed, they may not be at greater risk for fungal infections. Sixty percent of the diet control group, 58 percent of the oral hypoglycemic group, and 1 patient on insulin were candidal carriers in the current research. The results of the Chi-square test were inconclusive ($P > 0.05$). Although more diabetes patients than control patients had clinical candidal infections and the majority of those patients were in the poorly managed glycemic group, we did not find a statistically significant difference between the two groups. When diabetes is effectively managed, many of its oral symptoms are due to variables that have little to do with the illness itself. As a result, there was no evidence of widespread clinical candidiasis in the poorly controlled sample. Oral candidiasis is seldom caused by a single component but rather a confluence of many [8].There were 9 candidal carriers out of a total of 15 smokers (60%) and 12 carriers out of a total of 35 non-smokers (34.4%). There was no statistically significant change ($P > 0.05$). The author hypothesized that nonsmokers did not have a higher rate of oral colonization with Candida species due to smoking tobacco.

Contradictory findings on the prevalence of candidal carriage in people with diabetes have been attributed to the use of different sampling methods and the selection of different patient and control groups by different researchers. The current research has a flaw due to its tiny sample size. It's possible that several methods were utilized, each of which contributed to different outcomes. Patients with diabetes who have a large number of Candida in their mouths were advised to practice good oral hygiene in order to mitigate the influence of local variables in the development of clinical candidiasis. However, further research is needed to identify the associations between oral Candida and other significant risk factor combinations.

Conclusion

Diabetic individuals had a greater incidence of oral candida than control patients. As glycemic control deteriorated, the prevalence of carriage in the mouth also rose. Neither antidiabetic medication nor smoking was observed to have any appreciable effect on the prevalence of candidal carriage or clinical candidal infections.

References:

1. Soysa NS, Samarnayake LP, Ellepola ANB. Diabetes mellitus as a contributory factor in oral candididasis. Diabet Med 2005;23:455-9.
2. Zaremba ML, Daniluk T, Rozkiewiez D, Cylwik-Rokicka D, Kierklo A, Tokajuk G, et al. Incidence rate of candida species in the oral cavity of middle aged and elderly subjects. Adv Med Sci 2006;51:233-6.
3. Abu-Elteen KH, Hamad MA, Salah SA. Prevalence of oral Candida infections in diabetic patients. Bahrain Med Bull 2006;28:1.

4. Tang HJ, Liu WL, Lin HL, Lai CC. Epidemiology and prognostic factors of candidemia in elderly patients. GeriatrGerontol Int 2015;15:688–93.
5. Epstein JB, Pearsall NN, Truelove EL. Quantitative relationship between Candida albicans in saliva and the clinical status of human subjects. J Clin Microbiol 1980;12:475-6.
6. Kumar BV, Padshetty NS, Bai KY, Rao MS. Prevalence of Candida in the oral cavity of diabetic subjects. J Assoc Physicians India 2005;53:599-602.
7. Bhuyan L, Hassan S, Dash KC, Panda A, Behura SS, Ramachandra S. Candida species diversity in oral cavity of type 2 diabetic patients and their in vitro antifungal susceptibility. Contemp Clin Dent 2018;1:83-8.
8. Willis AM, Coulter WA, Fulton CR, Hayes JR, Bell PM, Lamey PJ. Oral candidal carriage and infection in insulin treated diabetic patients. Diabet Med 1999;16:675-9.
9. Darwazeh AM, Al-Dwairi ZN, Al-Zwairi AA. The relationship between tobacco smoking and oral colonization with Candida species. J Contemp Dent Pract2010;11:017-24.