

An Application of Poisson Regression ModelforAir Pollution on Lung Cancer

María Teresa Díaz Armas https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5773-5145 maria.diaz@espoch.edu.ec Escuela Superior Politécnica de Chimborazo

Verónica Monserrath Buenaño Zambrano

veronica.buenanio@espoch.edu.ec https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6274-8132 Escuela Superior Politécnica de Chimborazo

Julio Anibal Banda Tenempagay

Universidad Nacional de Chimborazo Orcid: https://orcid.org/0009-0003-5607-2329 jbanda@unach.edu.ec

Jhoanna Cristina Almeida Alvarado

jhoanna.almeida@espoch.edu.ec https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4042-4639 Médica Especialista en Medicina Interna, Escuela Superior Politécnica de Chimborazo, Facultad de Salud Pública, Carrera de Medicina. Grupo de investigación MEDINT

Brigette Carolina Huaraca Morocho

Brigette.huaraca@espoch.edu.ec https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5640-2733 Médico general, especialista en Psiquiatría Escuela Superior Politécnica de Chimborazo

Abstract

Cancer is a significant life hazard infection on the planet. One of the primary driver of malignant growth maladies is biting smoking and tobacco items the chief danger factor for causation of cellular breakdown in the lungs for guys. Poisson relapse model for time patterns of mortality to identify the drawn out impacts of normal degrees of smoking causes on cellular breakdown in the lungs, in which the modification for cigarette smoking isn't generally vital. The significant theory to be tried in the model is that if the long haul and basic level smoking causes affected cellular breakdown in the lungs, the passing rate from cellular breakdown in the lungs could be required to increment steadily at a higher rate in the area with moderately elevated levels of smoking causes than in the district with low levels, and that this pattern would not be normal for other control sicknesses. Utilizing this methodology, we examined the pattern of mortality in guys for cellular breakdown in the lungs of the India. Our investigation upheld the presence of long haul impacts of smoking causes on cellular breakdown in the lungs. The very much recorded metropolitan/provincial contrast in cellular breakdown in the lungs frequency and the recognition of known cancer-causing agents in the climate have delivered the theory that drawn out smoking causes may affect cellular breakdown in the lungs.

Keyword: Air Pollution, Poisson Regression Model, Relative Risk, Lung Cancer

1. Introduction

The lungs is caused when a portion of the cells framing the tissues of the lungs develop unusually and structure a threatening tumor. The tumor may begin in various pieces of the lungs. There are infrequently perceptible manifestations in the beginning phases of cellular breakdown in the lungs, and this is the reason early location is troublesome. In later stages, indications can include: A tenacious hack that deteriorates after some time; Coughing up blood or expanded measures of bodily fluid; Constant chest torment; Shortness of breath, wheezing or dryness; Repeated episodes of pneumonia or bronchitis; Swelling of the neck and face; Appetite misfortune, weight reduction and exhaustion.

Tobacco smoke hinders and harms the ordinary cleaning measure by which the lungs dispose of unfamiliar and destructive particles. Smoke decimates a significant purifying layer in the lungs, which thusly causes a development of bodily fluid. The outcome is "smokers' hack," an elective strategy that the lungs take in endeavoring to clean themselves. The unsafe malignancy creating particles in tobacco smoke can remain held up in the bodily fluid and form into disease tumors.

Air contamination basically influences the respiratory framework. Bronchitis, emphysema, asthma and cellular breakdown in the lungs are a portion of the ceaseless ailments caused because of presentation to contaminated air since cancer-causing agents are found in the dirtied air.

Cellular breakdown in the lungs is one of the most successive diseases and has a helpless guess. Dynamic tobacco smoking is the significant reason, however certain word related introductions, private radon, ecological tobacco smoke (ETS) and lower financial status are likewise settled danger factor (Spitz et al. 2006). A few partner and case-control considers have demonstrated higher danger for cellular breakdown in the lungs in relationship with various proportions of introduction to encompassing air contamination.

Surrounding groupings of Sulfur dioxide and particulate issue (PM) with a streamlined width < 10 urn (PM10) was related with cellular breakdown in the lungs occurrence in an accomplice of nonsmoking California grown-ups (Beeson et al. 1998), though fine PM with a streamlined breadth < 2.5 um (PM2.5) was related with cellular breakdown in the lungs mortality in two other accomplice concentrates from the United States (Laden et al. 2006; Pope et al. 2002). A joint European investigation of nonsmokers indicated relationship between cellular breakdown in the lungs rate and nitrogen dioxide (NO2) (Vineis et al. 2006); three Scandinavian examinations indicated relationship with model assessed nitrogen oxides (NOX) and NO2 (Nafstad et al. 2003; Nyberg et al. 2000; Raaschou-Nielsen et al. 2010); and a Dutch report indicated relationship with dark smoke and home close to traffic (Beelen et al. 2008). Air contamination is a huge danger factor for the quantity of wellbeing conditions including respiratory sensitivities, cardiovascular sicknesses and cellular breakdown in the lungs (Raguraman et al 2017). In this paper, the relationship between levels of air poisons and emergency clinic affirmations for cellular breakdown in the lungs is investigated.

2. Material and Methods

Hospital admission data in Chennai city from January 2012 to December 2015 for lung cancer were obtained from the Wilson Diagnostic Centre. In addition to hospital admission data like hospital admission date, patient's age and gender are also considered.Daily observations of air pollution data such as (SO₂), (NO_X), r (PM₁₀, PM_{2.5}) were obtained from www.cpcb.gov.in. Air pollution data is measured by (μ g/m3).

$$y_{i} = E(y_{i}) + \varepsilon_{i}, \quad i = 1, 2, ..., n$$

$$E(y_{i}) = \mu_{i}$$

$$g(\mu_{i}) = \eta_{i}$$

$$= \beta_{0} + \beta_{1}x_{1} + ... + \beta_{k}x_{k}$$

$$g(\mu_{i}) = x_{i}'\beta$$

$$(1)$$

The capacity g is normally called the connection work. The connection between the mean and the straight indicator is,

$$\mu_{i} = g^{-1}(\eta_{i}) = g^{-1}(x_{i}'\beta)$$
(3)

There are a few connection works that are normally utilized with the Poisson dissemination. One of these is the personality interface.

$$g(\mu_i) = \mu_i = x_i'\beta \tag{4}$$

$$g(\mu_i) = \ln(\mu_i) = x'_i \beta \tag{5}$$

$$\mu_{i} = g^{-1}(x_{i}^{\prime}\beta) = e^{x_{i}^{\prime}\beta}$$

$$L(y,\beta) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} f_{i}(y_{i})$$

$$= \prod \frac{e^{-\mu}\mu_{i}^{y_{i}}}{y_{i}!}$$

$$(6)$$

where
$$\mu_i = g^{-1}(x_i'\beta)$$
.

$$\ln L(y,\beta) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i \ln(\mu_i) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mu_i - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \ln(y_i!)$$

$$\hat{y}_i = g^{-1} \left(x'_i \hat{\beta} \right)$$

$$\hat{y}_i = g^{-1} \left(x'_i \hat{\beta} \right) = x'_i \hat{\beta}$$

$$\hat{y}_i = g^{-1} \left(x'_i \hat{\beta} \right)$$

$$\hat{y}_i = \exp\left(x'_i \hat{\beta} \right).$$
(8)

Derivation dependent on enormous example properties of greatest probability assessors, can be utilized to test speculations and build relative danger (RR), 95% certainty stretches (CI) on singular model boundaries utilizing R Software.

3. Results and Discussions

Hospital admissions with principal diagnoses of lung cancer disease during the 48 month of January 2012 to December 2015. Among all subjects 45 (11%) in 0 to 40 years of patients, 250 (57%) were 40 to 60 years of patients and 194 (32%) were above 60 years patients are affected lung cancers shown in Table 1.

Age	No. of Patients (%)	Non-Smokers (%)	Smokers (%)
0-40	45 (11)	34 (75.6)	11 (24.4)
40-60	250 (57)	136 (56.4)	105 (43.6)
>60	194 (32)	61 (39.4)	94 (60.6)

 Table 1: Description of characteristics of all subjects collected during 2012-2015

3.1. Association of Air Pollution and Lung Cancer

Analysis was also done on the connotation of smoking and lung cancer with the four air pollutants concentrations are shown in Table 2.

Parameters	Relative Risk	LCL	UCL	P Value
PM ₁₀				
Smoking	0.980	0.965	0.995	0.014
Lung Cancer	0.918	0.903	0.933	0.000
PM _{2.5}				
Smoking	1.033	1.011	1.055	0.002
Lung Cancer	0.922	0.902	0.944	0.000
Nox				

Smoking Lung Cancer	0.958 1.032	0.930 1.000	0.987 1.066	0.005 0.049
SO ₂				
Smoking	0.966	0.887	1.057	0.430
Lung Cancer	1.027	0.938	1.126	0.560

The air pollutant were most statistically significant. Every 10 μ g/m3 growth in PM₁₀ was absolutelyrelated with RR is 0.980 (95% CI: 0.965, 0.955) increase smoking cases and increase RR is 0.918 (95% CI: 0.903, 0.933) in lung cancer. Every 10 μ g/m3 intensification in PM_{2.5} was definitelyaccompanying with RR is 1.033 (95% CI: 1.011, 1.055) in smoking, increase RR in lung cancer is 0.922 (95% CI: 0.902, 0.944). Every 10 μ g/m3 increase in NO_X was positively associated with RR is smoking is 0.958 (95% CI: 0.930, 0.987), increase RR is 1.032 (95% CI: 1.000, 1.066) in ling cancer and increase RR in smoking is 0.966 (95% CI: 0.887, 1.057), increase RR in smoking 1.027 (95% CI: 0.938, 1.126).

3.2. Fitting a Poisson Regression Model

In this investigation, $PM_{2.5}$, PM_{10} and NO_X were used independently as the exposure measure xi in equation 2.

Variables	θ	Standard	t-value	P –	Residual	Pearson
		Error		Value	Deviance	χ^2
$PM_{10} (\mu g/m^3)$						
Smoking	-0.0198	0.0081	-2.458	0.014	11374	0.0139
Lung Cancer	-0.0849	0.0084	-10.096	0.000	11469	0.0000
PM _{2.5} (µg/m ³)						
Smoking	0.0328	0.0109	2.990	0.001	10549	0.001
Lung Cancer	-0.0802	0.0116	-6.906	0.000	10587	0.000
$NO_X (\mu g/m^3)$						
Smoking	-0.0421	0.0153	-2.753	0.001	3302	0.001
Lung Cancer	0.0321	0.0164	1.962	0.049	3299	0.049
$SO_2 (\mu g/m^3)$						
Smoking	-0.0342	0.0433	-0.790	0.430	378	0.4287
Lung Cancer	0.0271	0.0465	0.584	0.560	377	0.5587

Table 3: Poisson regression analysis for estimate θ and goodness of fit of models

When PM10 was utilized as the introduction measure a critical relationship with the incline β j of time pattern of smoking was identified ($\theta = -0.0198 \pm 0.0081$ (standard mistake), p = 0.014). Be that as it may, when PM2.5 was utilized as the presentation measure a noteworthy relationship with the slant β j of time pattern of smoking was recognized ($\theta = 0.0328 \pm 0.0109$ (standard mistake), p = 0.001). At the point when NOX was utilized as the presentation measure a huge relationship with the slant β j of time pattern of cellular breakdown in the lungs was distinguished ($\theta = 0.0321 \pm 0.0164$ (standard blunder), p = 0.049). When SO2 was utilized as the presentation measure a huge relationship with the incline β j of time pattern of cellular breakdown in the lungs was distinguished ($\theta = 0.0271 \pm 0.0465$ (standard blunder), p = 0.560).

4. Conclusion

The cellular breakdown in the lungs ailment is the main source of the passing on the planet with its death rate speaking to 33% of every worldwide demise. Its reported danger factors incorporate smoking, physical movement, diet, stoutness, cholesterol and diabetes. The aftereffect of this investigation demonstrated that among the four air contamination examined, the partner between PM10, PM2.5, NOx, and SO2 and cellular breakdown in the lungs infection was found. PM10 was related with cellular breakdown in the lungs is hazard factor, PM2.5 was related with smoking patients is hazard factors, NOx was related with cellular breakdown in the lungs is hazard factor and SO2 was related with cellular breakdown in the lungs is hazard factor for air toxins.

A few examinations demonstrated the engineered activity of metrological conditions and air contaminations, yet this investigation didn't gather information on factors that may have affected outcome, for example, barometrical weight, wind course, wind speed, precipitation, and so forth.. In our examination, we didn't change the outcome for cigarette smoking just on the grounds that information on tobacco utilization are not accessible. In the event that we can acquire such information, we can utilize them for modification. In any case, essentially it will be far-fetched that the ward explicit tobacco utilization is firmly corresponded with the ward explicit degree of NOX or SO2. Despite the fact that our outcomes are generally founded on this unproved significant supposition, we will reason that our investigation recommended the presence of the drawn out impacts of air contamination of normal levels on cellular breakdown in the lungs.

References

- 1. Beelen R, Hoek G, van den Brandt PA, Goldbohm RA, Fischer P, Schouten LJ, et al. (2008). Long-term exposure to traffic- related air pollution and lung cancer risk, *Epidemiology*, 19:702-710.
- 2. Beeson WL, Abbey DE, Knutsen SF. (1998). Long-term concentrations of ambient air pollutants and incident lung cancer in California adults: results from the AHSMG study Adventist Health Study on Smog,*Environ Health Perspect*, 106:813-82.
- 3. Laden F, Schwartz J, Speizer FE, Dockery DW. (2006). Reduction in fine particulate air pollution and mortality: extended follow-up of the Harvard Six Cities study,*Am J Respir Crit Care Med*, 173:667-672.
- 4. Montgomery, D. C. Peck, A., and Vining, G. G. (2003). Introduction to linear regression analysis, 3rd Edition, Wiley India Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi 110002.

- 5. Nafstad P, Haheim LL, Oftedal B, Gram F, Holme I, Hjermann I, et al. (2003). Lung cancer and air pollution: a 27 year follow up of 16,209 Norwegian men,*Thorax*, 58:1071-1076.
- 6. Nyberg F, Gustavsson P, Jarup L, Bellander T, Berglind N, Jakobsson R, et al. (2000). Urban air pollution and lung cancer in Stockholm, *Epidemiology*, 11:487-495.
- 7. Pope CA III, Burnett RT, Thun MJ, Calle EE, Krewski D, Ito K, et al. (2002). Lung cancer, cardiopulmonary mortality, and long-term exposure to fine paniculate air pollution, *JAMA*, 287:1132-1141.
- 8. Raaschou-Nielsen O, Bak H, Sorensen M, Jensen SS, Ketzel M, Hvidberg M, et al. (2010). Air pollution from traffic and risk for lung cancer in three Danish cohorts, *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev*, 19:1284-1291.
- Raguraman S, Selvakumar R, Sasikumar R. (2017). A Statistical thinking on Impact of Climate Change in Health Sciences, *International Journal of Statistics and Systems*, 12 (2): 395-406.
- 10. Spitz MR, Wu X, Wilkinson A, Wei Q. (2006). Cancer of the lung. In: Cancer Epidemiology and Prevention,Oxford University Press, 638-658.
- 11. Vineis P, Hoek G, Krzyzanowski M, Vigna-Taglianti F, Veglia F, Airoldi L, et al. (2006). Air pollution and risk of lung cancer in a prospective study in Europe,*Int J Cancer*, 119:169-174.