

# SIMULATING THE EFFECTS OF INSULATOR AL2O3 AND ITS COMPARING HFO2 IN ION SENSITIVE FIELD EFFECT TRANSISTOR TO PREDICT THE CONDUCTANCE USING ENBIOS 2D

# Sowjenya. M<sup>1</sup>, Chandra Kishore. S<sup>2\*</sup>

Article History: Received: 12.12.2022	<b>Revised:</b> 29.01.2023	Accepted: 15.03.2023

# Abstract

Aim: The aim of this research work is to predict the conductance of insulators  $Al_2O_3$  and  $HfO_2$  based ISFET are simulated by varying their oxide thickness ranging from 3nm to 22nm using Enbios 2D lab.

**Material and Methods:** The dataset for this study collected via nanoHub simulation. Samples were considered (N=20) for  $Al_2O_3$  and (N=20) for  $HfO_2$  using clinicalc.com by keeping alpha error-threshold value 0.05, enrollment ratio as 1, 95% confidence interval, power as 80%, total sample size calculated. The conductance of the insulator is done by independent sample t-test SPSS software.

**Result:** Comparison of conductance is done by independent sample T test using SPSS software. The statistical significant difference was observed between insulator  $Al_2O_3$  and  $HfO_2$ . Significantly  $Al_2O_3$  (80%) showed better conductance results in comparison to  $HfO_2$  (78%) with p=0.007, p<0.05 using independent sample T test **Conclusion:**  $Al_2O_3$  insulator showed higher conductance than  $HfO_2$ 

**Keywords:** ISFET, Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, HfO<sub>2</sub>, Oxide Thickness, Insulators, Conductance, Novel Simulation ENBIOS 2D Lab Tool, Biosensor.

<sup>1</sup>Research Scholar, Department of Biomedical Engineering, Saveetha School of Engineering, Saveetha Institute of Medical and Technical Sciences, Saveetha University, Chennai, Tamilnadu. India. Pincode: 602105.

<sup>2\*</sup>Project Guide, Department of Biomedical Engineering ,Saveetha School of Engineering, Saveetha Institute of Medical and Technical Sciences, Saveetha University, Chennai, Tamilnadu. India. Pincode: 602105.

# 1. Introduction

ISFET (Ion sensitive field effect transistor) is used for measuring ion concentration, pH and biologically sensitive field effect transistors and also used for clinical applications of FET-based biosensors devices, including cardiovascular diseases, malignancies, diabetes, HIV, and DNA sequence (Syu, Hsu, and Lin 2018), (Lai, Yang, and Lu 2006). Nowadays ISFETs have been produced using a variety of biological recognition elements, ranging from enzymes and immune species to DNA molecules and even actual cells and creatures (Chou, Weng, and Tsai 2002). Moreover ISFET stands for the basic structural key element that is most commonly utilised in BioFETs and BioFETs can be easily made from an ISFET by modifying the gate or linking it with various biological recognition components (Schöning and Poghossian 2002). The pH of raindrops can be measured using an ISFET application and also used for determination of nitrate in rainwater (Poghossian et al. 2001).ISFET-based biosensors and its applications for reliable measurement of biomolecules such Genes, different proteins, digestive enzymes, and cells(Pachauri and Ingebrandt 2016). ISFET is used in pH sensors and bioelectronic sensors used for medical, environmental, food safety, military, and biotechnology applications have also been made (Dutta 2012).

Based on ISFET, several research articles on ISFET have been published in the last 5 years. 130 research articles were published in google scholar and 70 research articles were published in sciencedirect. The majority of the research is based on the potential of the ISFET. This research focuses on two key insulator materials: Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> based ISFET and HfO2 based ISFET. A comprehensive identification of sensitive and stable ISFET sensing layer high-k gates was performed, There are reports on  $Ta_2O_5$  that has a strong surface potential response at around 59mV/pH and is also very stable in diverse electrolyte concentrations (Dinar, Zain, and Salehuddin 2019). (Fredj et al. 2021) have proved that different Hafnium Dioxide (HfO<sub>2</sub>) thicknesses capacitance electrochemical pH sensor has better pH sensor performances at 15 nm HfO<sub>2</sub> thickness. Some researchers discussed that development and construction of a nanostructured ion sensitive field effect transistor based pH Sensor for micro Solution with a sensitivity of 88.125 mV/pH and hydrogen peroxide oxidation reaction with a sensitivity of 144.26 pA mol/L (Yiqing Wang, Yang, and Wu 2020). High-k dielectric materials of Fin-FET electrochemical sensors have surface sensitivities of 54.2  $\pm$  1.9mV/pH for Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (Rollo et al. 2020). When compared to other study

efforts (Fredj et al. 2021) have proved Hafnium dioxide (HfO<sub>2</sub>) thicknesses capacitance of electrochemical pH sensor performances of 15 nm HfO<sub>2</sub> thickness is thought to be a better result and best study .

Our institution is passionate about high quality evidence based research and has excelled in various domains (Vickram et al. 2022; Bharathiraja et al. 2022; Kale et al. 2022; Sumathy et al. 2022; Thanigaivel et al. 2022; Ram et al. 2022; Jothi et al. 2022; Anupong et al. 2022; Yaashikaa, Keerthana Devi, and Senthil Kumar 2022; Palanisamy et al. 2022). The major key point that motivated us to work on this project is to predict the conductance of insulators Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and HfO<sub>2</sub>. The authors were expertised in the field of Novel Simulation ENBIOS 2D Lab Tool and able to conduct studies in comparison of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and HfO<sub>2</sub> Insulator in the biomedical aspect. The aim of the proposed study is to compare conductance of Aluminium oxide (Al<sub>2</sub>O3) and Hafnium oxide (HfO<sub>2</sub>) by investigating gate oxide thicknesses varying from 3nm to 22nm using Novel simulation Enbios 2D Lab tool.

# 2. Material and Methods

The study was performed in the Saveetha University, Simulation lab, Saveetha School of Engineering at Biomedical Department and ethical approval is not necessary for our proposed study. Group 1 refers to  $Al_2O_3$  based ISFET and group 2 refers to  $HfO_2$  based ISFET. The sample size calculation was done using previous study results (Kumar and Tripathi 2021). using clinicalc.com by keeping g-power at 80%, threshold at 0.05%, confidence interval at 95%, Enrollment ratio 1, For each group sample size is 20 and total sample size is 40.

Sample preparation for two groups is done by collecting 40 dataset from nanoHub. In sample preparation for group 1, current voltage characteristics of  $Al_2O_3$  based ISFET were simulated for different gate oxide thickness from 3nm to 22nm and the same sample preparation for group 2, current voltage characteristics of HfO<sub>2</sub> based ISFET were simulated for different gate oxide thickness from 3nm to 22nm. There are 20 samples for each group for each category of the parameter from nanoHub. In this work the proposed parameters are  $Al_2O_3$  and HfO<sub>2</sub>.

Testing setup is done by selecting resources and tools in the nanoHub simulation. select ISFET from the tools menu, then choose Novel Simulation ENBIOS 2D Lab Tool and launch the tool. From the tool select insulators, choose the material of insulators, and select the ambient temperature in the environment setting then change the gate oxide thickness from 3nm to 22nm by keeping a current as a constant in the simulator window. To get results, select the current voltage characteristics of insulators and run the simulation to obtain outcome of conductance

# **Statistical Analysis**

Statistical analysis was performed using IBM-SPSS Software to validate the results of both parameters. The conductance(mho) was measured using current ( $A/\mu m$ ) as an independent variable and gate oxide thickness(nm) as a dependent variable. Independent sample t test was performed.

# 3. Results

In this research work, simulating the effect of both the insulators appear to produce the same variable results with conductance ranging from 78% to 80%. Figure 1 represents the mean conductance of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and HfO<sub>2</sub> and it represents conductance is more for Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> when compared to HfO<sub>2</sub>. Fig. 2 and Fig. 3 show the outcome of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and HfO<sub>2</sub> insulator by using Novel Simulation Enbios 2D lab Tool in nanoHub. Table 1 demonstrated the gate voltage and conductance Values for Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> based ISFET. Table 2 demonstrates the gate voltage and conductance Values for HfO<sub>2</sub> based ISFET.

 $Al_2O_3$  insulators have the highest conductance 80% in comparison to  $HfO_2$  insulators 78%. The descriptive statistics Table 3 demonstrated T-test Comparison of  $Al_2O_3$  based ISFET and  $HfO_2$  based ISFET  $Al_2O_3$  Table 4 demonstrates Independent sample t-test in predicting the conductance of insulators

There appears to be a statistically significant difference (P=0.007,p<0.05) using Independent sample T test. This result shows that Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> insulators are higher conductance than the HfO<sub>2</sub> insulators.

#### 4. Discussion

To stimulate the effect of insulators  $Al_2O_3$  and  $HfO_2$  in ISFET by finding the conductance. From obtaining the conductance of  $Al_2O_3$  and  $HfO_2$  insulators, there appears to be a statistically significant difference (P=0.007,p<0.05) using Independent sample T test.

Some researcher discussed electrical properties of metal oxide semiconductor (MOSFET) field effect transistors on InP medium with high k dielectric (ALD) atomic layer deposition that focuses on the interfacial  $Al_2O_3$  layer to provide better oxide results, which shows good interface quality of  $Al_2O_3/InP$  (94mV/sec) when compared to HfO<sub>2</sub>/InP layer(Yanzhen Wang et al. 2011). Zhao et al analyzed applications of

atomic layers deposition of nanostructures in energy storage and sensing which proves Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and HfO<sub>2</sub> membranes can be deposited and regulated in the ALD process by selecting the right precursors with Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-ISFET has a sensitivity of 57.8 mV/pH and HfO<sub>2</sub> ISFET has a sensitivity of 52.35 mV/pH.(Zhao et al. 2020). Lai, Yang, and Lu discussed rapid thermal annealing which improves thickness effects on pH response of HfO<sub>2</sub> sensing demonstrate HfO<sub>2</sub> sensor dielectric which dielectric's minimum thickness of 4 nm and attained sensitivity at 59.6 mV/pH (Lai, Yang, and Lu 2006). Lu et al have proved hafnium oxide HfO<sub>2</sub> deposition by atomic layer absorption and sputtering method which is used for pH sensor application and the results shows when compared to sputtering, atomic layer absorption has effectively increased pH sensitivity of 59.6 mV/pH at 900 degree celsius (Lu et al. 2011).

 $Al_2O_3$  and  $HfO_2$  Insulators are used in biomedical applications as medical implants. ISFET has limits of Long-term drift, thermal drift, and hysteresis are all present. As a result, an increase in the number of samples in the dataset , may yield further better conductance (100%) in future.

In the future work, if the sampling size and proportion of the training data set are increased then outcomes also increase as well. In the near future ISFET will be used for DNA hybridization, blood biomarker, antibody detection, glucose measurement, and pH sensing.

# 5. Conclusion

In this study when compared to conductance of  $Al_2O_3$  (80%) and  $HfO_2$  (78%) insulators based ISFETs , the insulator  $Al_2O_3$  based ISFETs appeared to give greater results and good performance. The results show that the conductance of  $Al_2O_3$  and  $HfO_2$  based ISFETs increases as the oxide thickness increases.  $Al_2O_3$  insulator has higher conductance than the  $HfO_2$  insulator.

# Declaration

#### **Conflict of Interests**

No conflict of interest in this manuscript.

# **Authors Contribution**

Author MS was involved in data collection, data analysis, and manuscript writing.Author SCK was involved in conceptualization, data validation, and critical review of manuscript.

# Acknowledgements

We would like to express our special thanks to the management, Saveetha School of Engineering,

Saveetha Institution of Medical and Technical Sciences(Formerly known as Saveetha University) for providing me with necessary infrastructure that was required in completing the project.

**Funding :** We thank the following organizations for providing financial support that enabled us to complete the study.

1. HealthMinds consulting Pvt Ltd, Bangalore

2.Saveetha University.

3.Saveetha Institute of Medical and Technical Sciences.

4.Saveetha School of Engineering.

# 6. References

Anupong, Wongchai, Lin Yi-Chia, Mukta Jagdish, Kumar, P. D. Selvam, Ravi R Saravanakumar, and Dharmesh Dhabliya. 2022. "Hybrid Distributed Energy Sources Providing Climate Security to the Agriculture Environment and Enhancing the Yield." Sustainable Energy Technologies and Assessments.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seta.2022.102142.

- Bharathiraja, B., J. Jayamuthunagai, R. Sreejith, J. Iyyappan, and R. Praveenkumar. 2022.
  "Techno Economic Analysis of Malic Acid Production Using Crude Glycerol Derived from Waste Cooking Oil." *Bioresource Technology* 351 (May): 126956.
- Chou, Jung-Chuan, Chen-Yu Weng, and Hsjian-Ming Tsai. 2002. "Study on the Temperature Effects of Al2O3 Gate pH-ISFET." Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0925-4005(01)00945-5.
- Dinar, Ahmed M., A. S. Mohd Zain, and F. Salehuddin. 2019. "Comprehensive Identification of Sensitive and Stable Isfet Sensing Layer High-K Gate Based on Isfet/electrolyte Models." *International Journal of Electrical, Computer, and Systems Engineering* 9 (2): 926.
- Dutta, Jiten Ch. 2012. "Ion Sensitive Field Effect Transistor for Applications in Bioelectronic Sensors: A Research Review." In 2012 2nd National Conference on Computational Intelligence and Signal Processing (CISP), 185–91.
- Fredj, Zina, Abdoullatif Baraket, Mounir Ben Ali, Nadia Zine, Miguel Zabala, Joan Bausells, Abdelhamid Elaissari, Nsikak U. Benson, Nicole Jaffrezic-Renault, and Abdelhamid Errachid. 2021. "Capacitance Electrochemical pH Sensor Based on Different Hafnium Dioxide (HfO2) Thicknesses." Chemosensors 9 (1): 13.

- Jothi, K. Jeeva, K. Jeeva Jothi, S. Balachandran, K. Mohanraj, N. Prakash, A. Subhasri, P. Santhana Gopala Krishnan, and K. Palanivelu. 2022. "Fabrications of Hybrid Polyurethane-Pd Doped ZrO2 Smart Carriers for Self-Healing High Corrosion Protective Coatings." *Environmental Research*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2022.113095.
- Kale, Vaibhav Namdev, J. Rajesh, T. Maiyalagan, Chang Woo Lee, and R. M. Gnanamuthu. 2022. "Fabrication of Ni–Mg–Ag Alloy Electrodeposited Material on the Aluminium Surface Using Anodizing Technique and Their Enhanced Corrosion Resistance for Engineering Application." *Materials Chemistry and Physics*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matchemphys.2022. 125900.
- Kumar, Abhishek, and Suman Lata Tripathi. 2021. "Exploration of Ion Sensitive Field-Effect Transistor with Dielectric Properties." *Research Square*. Research Square. https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-447250/v1.
- Lai, Chao-Sung, Chia-Ming Yang, and Tseng-Fu Lu. 2006. "Thickness Effects on pH Response of HfO2 Sensing Dielectric Improved by Rapid Thermal Annealing." Japanese Journal of Applied Physics 45 (4S): 3807.
- Lu, Tseng-Fu, Hao-Chun Chuang, Jer-Chyi Wang, Chia-Ming Yang, Pei-Chun Kuo, and Chao-Sung Lai. 2011. "Effects of Thickness Effect and Rapid Thermal Annealing on pH Sensing Characteristics of Thin HfO2 Films Formed by Atomic Layer Deposition." *Japanese Journal of Applied Physics* 50 (10S): 10PG03.
- Pachauri, Vivek, and Sven Ingebrandt. 2016. "Biologically Sensitive Field-Effect Transistors: From ISFETs to NanoFETs." *Essays in Biochemistry* 60 (1): 81–90.
- Palanisamy, Rajkumar, Diwakar Karuppiah, Subadevi Rengapillai, Mozaffar Abdollahifar, Gnanamuthu Ramasamy, Fu-Ming Wang, Wei-Ren Liu, Kumar Ponnuchamy, Joongpyo Shim, and Sivakumar Marimuthu. 2022. "A Reign of Bio-Mass Derived Carbon with the Synergy Storage and of Energy Biomedical Applications." Journal of Energy Storage. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.est.2022.104422.
- Poghossian, A., A. Baade, H. Emons, and M. J. Schöning. 2001. "Application of ISFETs for pH Measurement in Rain Droplets." Sensors and Actuators. B, Chemical 76 (1): 634–38.
- Ram, G. Dinesh, G. Dinesh Ram, S. Praveen Kumar, T. Yuvaraj, Thanikanti Sudhakar Babu, and Karthik Balasubramanian. 2022.

"Simulation and Investigation of MEMS Bilayer Solar Energy Harvester for Smart Wireless Sensor Applications." *Sustainable Energy Technologies and Assessments.* https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seta.2022.102102.

- Rollo, Serena, Dipti Rani, Wouter Olthuis, and César Pascual García. 2020. "High Performance Fin-FET Electrochemical Sensor with High-K Dielectric Materials." *Sensors and Actuators. B, Chemical* 303 (January): 127215.
- Schöning, Michael J., and Arshak Poghossian. 2002. "Recent Advances in Biologically Sensitive Field-Effect Transistors (BioFETs)." *The Analyst* 127 (9): 1137–51.
- Sumathy, B., Anand Kumar, D. Sungeetha, Arshad Hashmi, Ankur Saxena, Piyush Kumar Shukla, and Stephen Jeswinde Nuagah. 2022.
  "Machine Learning Technique to Detect and Classify Mental Illness on Social Media Using Lexicon-Based Recommender System." Computational Intelligence and Neuroscience 2022 (February): 5906797.
- Syu, Yu-Cheng, Wei-En Hsu, and Chih-Ting Lin. 2018. "Review—Field-Effect Transistor Biosensing: Devices and Clinical Applications." ECS Journal of Solid State Science and Technology. https://doi.org/10.1149/2.0291807jss.
- Thanigaivel, Sundaram, Sundaram Vickram, Nibedita Dey, Govindarajan Gulothungan, Ramasamy Subbaiya, Muthusamy Govarthanan, Natchimuthu Karmegam, and Woong Kim. 2022. "The Urge of Algal Biomass-Based Fuels for Environmental Sustainability against a Steady Tide of Biofuel Conflict Analysis: Is Third-

Generation Algal Biorefinery a Boon?" *Fuel*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2022.123494.

- Vickram, Sundaram, Karunakaran Rohini, Krishnan Anbarasu, Nibedita Dey, Palanivelu Jeyanthi, Sundaram Thanigaivel, Praveen Kumar Issac, and Jesu Arockiaraj. 2022. "Semenogelin, a Coagulum Macromolecule Monitoring Factor Involved in the First Step of Fertilization: A Prospective Review." *International Journal of Biological Macromolecules* 209 (Pt A): 951–62.
- Wang, Yanzhen, Yen-Ting Chen, Han Zhao, Fei Xue, Fei Zhou, and Jack C. Lee. 2011.
  "Improved Electrical Properties of HfO2-Based Gate Dielectrics on InP Substrate Using Al2O3/HfO2 and SF6 Plasma Treatment." *Electrochemical and Solid-State Letters* 14 (7): H291.
- Wang, Yiqing, Min Yang, and Chuanjian Wu. 2020. "Design and Implementation of a pH Sensor for Micro Solution Based on Nanostructured Ion-Sensitive Field-Effect Transistor." Sensors 20 (23). https://doi.org/10.3390/s20236921.
- Yaashikaa, P. R., M. Keerthana Devi, and P. Senthil Kumar. 2022. "Algal Biofuels: Technological Perspective on Cultivation, Fuel Extraction and Engineering Genetic Pathway for Enhancing Productivity." *Fuel.* https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2022.123814.
- Zhao, Zhe, Ye Kong, Zhiwei Zhang, Gaoshan Huang, and Yongfeng Mei. 2020. "Atomic Layer–deposited Nanostructures and Their Applications in Energy Storage and Sensing." *Journal of Materials Research* 35 (7): 701–19.



Figures and Tables



Simulating the Effects of Insulator Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and its Comparing HfO<sub>2</sub> in Ion Sensitive Field Effect Transistor to Predict the Conductance Using Enbios 2D



Fig. 2: Simulated current voltage characteristics of  $Al_2O_3$  based ISFET for varying gate oxide thickness of (3nm) with drain voltage using Novel Simulation ENBIOS 2D Lab Tool. It is the output graph representation of  $Al_2O_3$ .



Fluid gate voltage (V) Fig. 3 Simulated current voltage characteristics of HfO<sub>2</sub> based ISFET for varying gate oxide thickness of (3nm) with drain voltage using Novel Simulation ENBIOS 2D Lab Tool.It is the output graph representation of HfO<sub>2</sub>

Table 1:Gate voltage	and Conductance	Values for	Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> based ISFI	ET using Nove	l Simulation	ENBIOS 2D
		L	ab Tool			

GATE OXIDE THICKNESS (nm)	GATE VOLTAGE(v)	CONDUCTANCE(mho)		
3	0.318338	7.9280		
4	0.318319	7.8537		
5	0.318281	7.8546		

Simulating the Effects of Insulator Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and its Comparing HfO<sub>2</sub> in Ion Sensitive Field Effect Transistor to Predict the Conductance Using Enbios 2D

Section A-Research paper

6	0.318182	7.8571
7	0.318102	7.8591
8	0.318001	7.8616
9	0.317857	7.8651
10	0.317635	7.8706
11	0.317432	7.8757
12	0.317192	7.8816
13	0.316965	7.8873
14	0.316662	7.8948
15	0.316301	7.9038
16	0.315493	7.9152
17	0.315265	7.9241
18	0.315265	7.9298
19	0.314851	7.9402
20	0.309479	8.0780
21	0.308273	8.1096
22	0.306548	8.1553

Table 2:Gate voltage and Conductance Values for HfO<sub>2</sub> based ISFET using Novel Simulation ENBIOS 2D Lab Tool

GATE OXIDE THICKNESS (nm)	GATE VOLTAGE(v)	CONDUCTANCE(mho)		
3	0.318154	7.8578		
4	0.318304	7.8541		
5	0.318246	7.8555		
6	0.317937	7.8631		
7	0.317865	7.8649		
8	0.317784	7.8669		
9	0.317683	7.8694		
10	0.317531	7.8732		
11	0.317405	7.8763		

Section A-Research paper

Simulating the Effects of Insulator  $Al_2O_3$  and its Comparing  $HfO_2$  in Ion Sensitive Field Effect Transistor to Predict the Conductance Using Enbios 2D

12	0.317256	7.8800
13	0.317123	7.8833
14	0.316946	7.8877
15	0.31674	7.8929
16	0.316484	7.8992
17	0.316292	7.9040
18	0.316178	7.9069
19	0.315961	7.9123
20	0.31572	7.9184
21	0.313493	7.9241
22	0.315104	7.9202

Table 3: T-test Comparison of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> based ISFET and HfO<sub>2</sub> based ISFET. Statistically significant difference of conductance in Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> based ISFET and HfO<sub>2</sub> based ISFET. Conductance of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> based ISFET has the highest mean (7.9223), standard deviation (0.08808) and standard error mean (0.01969) over HfO<sub>2</sub> based ISFET mean (7.8862), standard deviation (0.02419), and standard error mean (0.00541).

	GROUP	N	MEAN	Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean
Conductance	Al2O3	20	7.9223	.08808	.01969
	HfO <sub>2</sub>	20	7.8862	.02419	.00541

Table 4: Independent sample t-test in predicting the conductance of insulators. There appears to be a statistically significant difference (p<0.05) and standard error difference , 95% confidence Interval of the difference in lower and upper and mean difference of Conductance Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> based ISFET and HfO<sub>2</sub> based ISFET

Parameter		Levene's Test For Equality of Variances		t	t-test for Equality of Means				95% Confidence Interval of the Difference	
		F	Sig	t	df	Mean Difference	Std error difference	lower	upper	
	Equal Variance assumed	8.225	0.007	1.766	38	.03607	.02042	.02042	.07742	
Conductance	Equal variance not assumed			1.766	21.850	0.3607	.02042	00630	00630	