



CHROMATOGRAPHIC DETERMINATION OF PESTICIDES IN FOODS AND FOOD PRODUCTS

Tibor Cserhádi^[a] and Mária Szógyi^{[a]*}

Keywords: pesticides, gas chromatography, liquid chromatography, electrically driven systems.

The newest results in the chromatographic analysis of pesticides present in foods and food products are collected and the results are critically evaluated. Examples for the employment of preconcentration and prepurification technologies, gas chromatography using ECD, NPD, MS and MS/MS detection methods, liquid chromatographic methodologies such as thin-layer chromatography, high performance liquid chromatographic methods as well as electrically driven systems are presented. The advantages and disadvantages of the various chromatographic technologies are shortly discussed and the efficacies of the methodologies are compared. Pesticides included in the review are insecticides, herbicides, acaricides, organophosphorous and organochlorine compounds. The application of the chromatographic methods for the determination of pesticides in a wide variety of foods and food products is discussed in detail.

* Corresponding Authors

Fax: +36-1-3257554

E-Mail: szogyim@t-online.hu

[a] Research Centre for Natural Sciences, Hungarian Academy of Sciences, H-1025, Budapest, Pusztaszeri u. 59-67, Hungary

INTRODUCTION

Chromatography has been developed as a powerful separation technique suitable for the quantitative analysis of compounds with very similar chemical structure. Various chromatographic techniques such as gas chromatography (GC), liquid chromatographic procedures (thin layer chromatography, TLC, high performance liquid chromatography, HPLC, ultra performance liquid chromatography, UPLC) and electrically driven systems found application in biology, medicine, chemical technology and in the analysis of natural products contributing to the isolation and identification of new molecules. These methodologies were successfully employed in analytical quality control and environmental sciences. Moreover, chromatography has been applied for the residue analysis of xenobiotics in air, ground and surface water, sludge, soil matrices, foods and food products and in human and veterinary health care.

The objectives of the recent review are the compilation and concise evaluation of the newest results obtained in the chromatographic analysis of pesticides in foods and food products, the brief enumeration of the techniques employed and the critical evaluation of the results.

GAS CHROMATOGRAPHY

Gas chromatographic (GC) methods are suitable for the separation and quantitative determination of compounds which are volatile or semi-volatile and thermally stable at the temperature of the measurement.

Unfortunately, the overwhelming majority of compounds are not volatile, consequently, the application of GC methods is limited.

Fruits, juices and vegetables

Gas chromatography coupled with mass spectrometry was employed for the determination of the residues of kresoxim-methyl and boscalid in fruits, vegetables and soil.

Both fungicides were successfully applied to control a considerable number of diseases caused by *Venturia inaequalis*,¹ powdery mildew,² *Sclerotinia blight*³ and *Botrytis cinerea*.⁴ It was also established that the mixture of these two insecticides show good capacity to control strawberry grey mould disease.⁵ Because of their considerable commercial importance these insecticides have been many times investigated by various chromatographic methods such as GC combined with electron capture detector (ECD),⁶ liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry,⁷ HPLC-DAD,⁸ GC-ECD and GC-MS,⁹ on column liquid-liquid extraction following liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry,¹⁰ etc. Samples of 10 g (melon, cucumber, tomato, apple, pear, pepper, eggplant and soil) were extracted with 50 mL of acetone or methanol, filtered, mixed with 50 mL of water, 50 g NaCl and 40 mL of *n*-hexane or dichloromethane or their mixture of 1:1, v/v ratio. The organic phase was dried with anhydrous Na₂SO₄. The extraction step was repeated three times, the combined extracts were evaporated to dryness and redissolved in 1 mL of *n*-hexane. Separations were performed on a capillary column (30 m x 0.25 mm i.d.; film thickness, 0.25 μm). The injector temperature was 250 °C. Temperature gradient initiated at 50 °C (1 min), ramped to 150 °C at 30 °C/min, then to 250 °C at 10 °C/min, final hold, 10 min. MS conditions were: electron ionization (EI) mode at 70 eV. The temperature of the ion source was 250 °C, the temperature of the transfer line was 25 °C. Helium was the carrier gas. Analytes were identified at full scan (*m/z* 50-400). Quantitative measurements were carried out at selected ion monitoring (SIM). The LODs were 0.006 and 0.015 mg/kg for kresoxim-methyl and boscalid and the

LOQs were 0.02 and 0.05 mg/kg, respectively. The RSD of the intra- and inter-day precision were lower than 13.8 and 14.5%, recoveries varied between 77.1 and 98.7% for kresoxim-methyl and 72.8-105.1% for boscalid. It was established that the good validation parameters of the method advocate its application for the analysis of kresoxim and boscalid in mixed formulations.¹¹ Five pesticide residues (γ -HCH, chlorothalonil, fenitrothion, chlorpyrifos and pocymidone) were determined in tomatoes. Analytes were extracted with ACN and a mixture of dichloromethane:petroleum ether (1:1, v/v). The suspension was centrifuged and the supernatant was filtered through Na_2SO_4 . Analytes were separated and quantified by GC-ECD. The recoveries varied between 70% and 110%. LOD and LOQ were 0.04-0.06 ng/kg and 0.014-0.02 mg/kg.¹²

HS-SPME followed by GC-ECD was employed for the determination of organophosphorous (diazinon, malathion, chlorpyrifos, quinalphos, profenofos) and organochlorine (chlorothalonil, alpha-endosulfane, beta-endosulfan) pesticide residues in vegetable (cucumber) and fruit (strawberry) samples. GC-ECD measurements indicated that the washing with acetic acid, sodium carbonate, sodium chloride and tap water decreased the amount of pesticides, acetic acid being the most efficacious. It was established that the efficacy of washing is correlated with the water solubility and, and vapour pressure of the washing solution (Chai et al., 2010).¹³

A fast, low-pressure GC-time-of-flight MS (LC-GC/TOFMS) was developed and applied for the identification and quantitative determination of 150 pesticides in tomato, strawberry, potato, orange and lettuce samples. Dispersive solid-phase extraction (d-SPE) and disposable pipette extraction (DPX) were employed for clean-up. The stationary phase for clean-up consisted of 150 mg MgSO_4 , 50 mg primary secondary amine (PSA) 50 mg ODS, and 7.5 mg graphitized carbon black (GCB) per mL extract was applied. It was established that the method is suitable for the analysis of a wide range of pesticide residues.¹⁴ Insecticides (ethoprophos, diazinon, chlorpyrifos-methyl, fenitrothion, malathion, chlorpyrifos, and fenamiphos) were extracted from banana leaves by applying a modified QuEChERS technique. Insecticides were separated and quantified by GC followed with nitrogen phosphorous detection (NPD). Recovery values of the method ranged from 89% to 104% with a RSD values of lower than 9.1%. LOD varied between 0.002–0.064 mg/kg.

The confirmation of the identity of target compounds was performed by GC tandem mass spectrometry¹⁵. A hyperspectral imaging system was applied for the determination of dichlorvos residues on the surface of navel orange. It was established that the method meets the requirements of online fast nondestructive detection.¹⁶

GC-MS technology was employed for the determination of organophosphate and pyrethroid insecticide residues in fruits, vegetables and fruit juices. The investigation revealed that 14% or 5% of the food samples consumed by children contain pesticide residues.¹⁷ Seven strobilurin and six oxazole fungicides were determined in fruits and juices. Target compounds were preconcentrated by two procedures: ultrasound-assisted emulsification liquid-liquid microextraction and single-drop liquid-liquid microextraction. Analytes were separated by GC-MS used in the SIM mode. Enrichment factors were between 140-1140 and 80-1600 for

the first and second extraction technique, respectively. It was established that LODs were below the MRLs set by the European Commission.¹⁸ Organochlorine pesticide residues have also been determined in commercial fruits and baby food samples. Target compounds were extracted and pre-purified by QuEChERS (quick, easy, cheap, effective rugged and safe) technique followed by GC-MS analysis. The recovery of the method varied from 70% to 120%, RSD was lower than 17% in the majority of cases. LOQ varied between 0.001-0.013 mg/kg.¹⁹ Ultrasonic-assisted dispersive liquid-liquid microextraction (UA-DLLME) followed with GC-FID (flame ionization detection) was applied for the analysis of cypermethrin and permethrin residues in pear juice. The enrichment factors for cypermethrin and permethrin residues were 344 and 351, respectively. LODs ranged from 3.1 to 2.2 $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$, recoveries were 92.1–107.1%. The intra-day and inter-day RSDs were less than 4.0%. The method was successfully employed for the measurement of these pesticide residues in pear juices.²⁰ Azole fungicide residues were determined in grape and wine using offline dispersive solid phase extraction (DSPE) coupled with GC-positive chemical ionization mass spectrometry (GC-MS/PCI). Target compounds were extracted from grape and wine with ACN and ethyl acetate, respectively. Recoveries of the method varied between 71.2% and 102.2%, RSDs were less than 10.6%, LODs were lower than 10 $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$. It was stated that the method can be applied for the determination of azole fungicide residues in grape and wine.²¹ A GC-MS procedure was applied for the detection and quantitation of 23 pesticides in 160 different vegetables marketed in Saudi Arabia. The pesticide residues were over the MRL in 53 samples. The most frequently occurring pesticides were carbaryl, biphenyl and carbofuran. Cabbage, carrot, green pepper, cucumber and egg-plant were the most polluted vegetables. The monitoring of the environmental pollutants in vegetable has been advocated.²² GC technology has been employed for the analysis of organophosphate pesticide residues in broccoli heads. The measurements indicated that malathion, diazinon, chlorphenylphos, fenitrothion and ethion are the most frequent pollutants.²³

A novel technique was developed for the simultaneous determination of 346 multiresidue pesticides in grapes. The method applied PSA matrix solid phase dispersion followed with GC-MS-SIM. Samples of 15 g were mixed with 6 g of anhydrous magnesium sulfate and 1.5 g of sodium chloride, then extracted with 15 mL of ACN and cleaned up with 0.3 g dispersive PSA. Four injections from one sample was enough to separate all the 352 pesticides. LOD varied between 0.0017-0.2667 mg/kg, recoveries ranged from 45% to 136%. RSD value of 95% of pesticides was equal or lower than 20%. The identification of pesticides was performed by the retention time, molecule ions, fragment ions, and the abundance ratio of the selected ions. It was stated that the technique is suitable for the determination of 346 pesticide residues in grapes.²⁴ Pesticide residues in grapes were determined by MSPD combined with GC-MS. Target compounds included in the measurements were vinclozolin, dichlofluanid, penconazol, captan, quinoxifen, fluquinconazol, boscalid and pyraclostrobin. GC-MS analyses were performed in the SIM mode. The optimal MSPD method consisted of 0.5 g of grapes, 1.0 g of silica as clean-up sorbent, 1.5 g of ODS as bonded phase and 10 mL of dichloromethane/ethyl acetate (1:1, v/v) as eluting solvent. Recoveries were over 80% except for captan. LOQs ranged from 3.4 to 8.7 $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$ being lower than MRLs.²⁵

Organochlorine pesticide residues have also been determined in honey from various geographic regions. The concentration of hexachlorocyclohexanes (HCHs), dichlorodiphenyltrichloroethanes (DDTs), chlordane and hexachlorobenzene (HCB) in honey was measured after accelerated solvent extraction with GC-ion trap mass spectrometry. The measurements indicated that the amount of organochlorine pesticide residues ranged 0.21-8.70, 0.10-4.35, 0.02-3.75 and 0-1.16 ng/g for HCHs, DDTs, chlordanes and HCB, respectively. It was established that honey samples from developed countries show considerable differences in the concentration of organochlorine pesticide residues.²⁶ Chlorpyrifos, lambda-cyhalothrin, cypermethrin and deltamethrin residues were measured in honeys. The method employed liquid-liquid extraction (LLE) and low temperature purification (LTP). LTP cleanup used ACN-ethyl acetate mixture (6.5 mL:1.5 mL) as extracting agent. Final cleanup was performed with 2 g of florisil. Analytes were separated and quantitated by GC-ECD. LOD and LOQ were below 0.016 and 0.032 µg/g, respectively. The presence of target compounds was confirmed by GC-MS.²⁷ GC-ECD procedure was applied for the determination of 24 organochlorine pesticide residues in 109 honey samples. It was established that aldrin, cis-chlordane, trans-chlordane, oxy-chlordane, 2,4'-DDE and 4,4'-DDE was present in each sample. The concentration of pesticide residues was over the MRL values in 55 samples. A strict control of organochlorine pesticide residues in honey was proposed.²⁸ The acaricide residues in commercial beeswax were determined by GC using ECD, NPD and MS detection. The target compounds measured were chlorfenvinphos, fluvalinate, amitraz, bromopropylate, acrinathrin, flumethrin, coumaphos, chlorpyrophos, chlordimeform, endosulfan and malathion. Recoveries varied between 86-108%. LOQ ranged from 0.10 to 0.30 mg/kg for ECD and NPD detection and from 12 to 85 µg/kg for MS detection.²⁹ Herbicides metribuzin and quizalofop-*p*-ethyl have been determined in potato and soil by GC-ECD. Herbicides were extracted with acetone and methanol-water, the liquid phase was cleaned by SPE using florisil cartridges (500 mg, 3 mL). Target compounds were eluted with petroleum ether-acetic ether (9:1, v/v, 5 mL) and petroleum ether-acetic ether (8:2, v/v, 2 mL). LOQ was 0.01 mg/kg, recoveries varied between 72.9 – 109.5%. RSD ranged 0.7 – 9.2%. Identity of analytes was confirmed by GC-MS.³⁰

Miscellaneous foods and food products

Beside of fruits, juices and vegetables various GC technologies have been frequently applied for the pesticide residue analysis of a wide variety of other foods and food products. Thus, the occurrence of pollutants in tea has been vigorously investigated. Single-walled carbon nanotube (SWCNTs) was employed as stationary phase for SPME preconcentration of pesticide residues in tea samples. Analytes were separated and quantified by GC-MS. LODs were 0.027-0.23 ng/mL. RSDs of measurements with single fiber, fiber-to-fiber, and day-to-day were 2.3-13.0, 8.2 – 14.6, and 4.1 – 12.5%, respectively. Recoveries were between 75.1 and 118.4%. The efficacy of SWCNTs was higher than the commercial poly(dimethylsiloxane) (PDMS) and polyacrylate (PA) fibers. The results indicated the presence of chlorfenapyr and lambda-cyhalothrin in some tea samples. It was stated that the method is simple, efficient and can be applied for the determination of pesticide

residues in complicated accompanying matrices.³¹ QeEChERS method followed by ion-trap GC/MS/MS was applied for the analysis of 22 pesticides in tea samples. Teas were homogenized with water and the analytes were extracted with ACN containing 1% of acetic acid. Initial cleanup was performed with dispersive dSPE, followed with solvent exchange and dSPE again. The recoveries ranged from 78 to 115% except for diazinon (130%) and malathion (122%). Average RSD was 8.7%, the LOD values except for terbufos were below the MRL limit set by EU and Japan.³² Pyrethroid residues have also been determined in tea samples. Measurements were performed with GC and ion trap mass spectrometry.³³ Gas chromatography with micro-ECD was employed for the measurement of 21 organochlorine and 6 pyrethroid pesticides in hotpot condiment. Analytes were extracted with ACN, cleaned up with GPC, florisil SPE and PSA. LODs were 0.082-2.3 g/kg for organochlorine pesticides and 1.5-13.0 g/kg for pyrethroid pesticides. Recoveries ranged from 70.1% to 116.0% RSD being 0.2%-6.1%. It was stated that the method is rapid, sensitive and reliable, and can be applied for the simultaneous detection of multi-residues of pesticides in hotpot condiment.³⁴ Pesticides have also been investigated in milk and dairy products. The influence of lactic acid fermentation and heat treatment of bovine milk on the decomposition of seven organophosphorous pesticides (denthion, dimethoate, malathion, methylparathion, monocrotophos, phorate and trichlorophon) was studied in detail. Measurements were carried out by GC-MS. The results indicated that fermentation process and heat treatment accelerate the decomposition of pesticides.³⁵ GC-NPD was applied for the separation and quantitation of seven organophosphorous pesticides (OPPs) in raw milk and infant formulas. Recoveries ranged from 62.2% to 97.25%.³⁶ GC-ECD technique was applied for the determination of organochlorine pesticides (DDT and derivatives, HCH, lindane, heptachlor and endosulfan) in raw and processed milk. LOD was 0.11 mg/kg. The measurements indicated that the concentration of organochlorine pesticides in the samples was below the MRL. It was further concluded from the data that the continuous monitoring and control of pesticides in milk is of paramount importance for public health.³⁷ GC was also applied for the determination of organochlorine pesticides OCPs in kaymak and butter marketed in Afyonkarahisar province of Turkey. It was found that the majority of samples was contaminated with organochlorine pesticide residues (672.46 ng/g in kaymak and 308.95 ng/g in butter). It was further established that the concentration of OCPs such as β-HCH (90.01 ng/g), aldrin (528.04 ng/g, and endrin (7.31 ng/g) in kaymak was higher than the MRL value. The amount of β-HCH (214.18 ng/g), heptachlor (10.38 ng/g), aldrin (12.34 ng/g), dieldrin (12.69 ng/g), and endosulfan sulfate (8.08 ng/g) in butter was over the MRL. It was assumed that the consumption of contaminated products can be potential risk for public health.³⁸ Pyrethroid residues were also investigated in butter. Target compounds were concentrated with matrix solid phase dispersion method followed with purification at low temperature. Analytes were separated and quantified by GC. LOD of cypermethrin and deltamethrin were 0.082 and 0.11 µg/g, LOQs were 0.28 and 0.32 µg/g. The recovery was about 90% with a RSD of less than 10%.³⁹ Simple and environmental friendly GC-MS methodology was developed for the analysis of pesticide residues in cattle feed and soil samples. Investigation included 36 pesticides belonging to different classes. Measurements indicated that

organochlorine and organophosphorous pesticides were commonly detected, while the occurrence of pyrethroid and chloroacetanilide pesticides was markedly lower. It was further established that residue levels were generally below the MRL.⁴⁰

The pesticide content of various animal products has also been frequently investigated by using GC technologies. Thus, OCPs (α -, β -, γ -HCH, heptachlor, heptachlor epoxide, aldrin, dieldrin, and eldrin) were determined in water and fishes by GC-MS. It was established that the amount of OCPs was higher in fish gonads than in the muscle tissue. Gonads of roach and bream contained mainly γ -HCH while muscle tissue concentrated β -HCH. The amount of OCPs in gonads varied between 0.385 - 0.554 ng/g wet weight (α -HCH) . 0.745 - 0.832 ng/g (γ -HCH), 0.479 - 0.576 ng/g (dieldrin), and 0.381 - 0.684 ng/g (eldrin). Water samples taken from the Odera River contained pesticides residues in the following order: endrin, γ -HCH, α -HCH, dieldrin, β -HCH, heptachlor, aldrin, heptachlor epoxide. It was assumed that the accumulation of OCPs in fish gonads may result in decreased reproduction of fish potentially leading to their extinction.⁴¹ OCPs were also measured in fish feed. The first step of the analysis included the liquid-liquid extraction of fat. It was carried out on diatomaceous earth cartridge using *n*-hexane:ACN (80:20, *v/v*). Further purification was achieved by SPE employing silica gel cartridge. Analytes were identified and quantified by GC-triple quadrupole tandem spectrometry. LODs of the method varied between 0.01 - 0.11 mg/L, LOQs ranged 0.02 - 0.35 mg/L. Repeatability were 3 - 15%, and the recoveries were 92 - 116%.⁴² The accumulation of 18 current use and 8 OCPs in crab embryos was monitored by GC-MS. It was found that the level of contamination of crab embryos of site specific and can be used as an indicator of ecosystem health.⁴³ The accumulation of benzotriazole UV stabilizers (BUVs) in the blubbers of finless porpoises (*Neophocaena phocaenoides*) was studied by GC-high resolution mass spectrometry (GC-HRMS). The mean concentrations and standard deviations of two benzotriazole UV stabilizers (BUVs) were 38±28 ng/g and 19±19 ng/g, respectively. The bioconcentration factor (BCF) was 33 300 similar to that of persistent HCH. The strict monitoring of BUVBs was proposed to understand more in detail their potential risk to wildlife and human.⁴⁴ Microwave-assisted extraction followed with SPE and coupled to large-volume injection GC-MS/MS was employed for the determination of 17 pesticides in wild and aquaculture edible seaweeds. The optimal microwave conditions were 125°C and 12 min, extraction solvent being 24 mL of *n*-hexane/ethyl acetate (80:20, *v/v*).SPE purification was performed on graphitized carbon and Florisil supports. Recoveries were near to 100%, RSD being lower than 13%. LODs varied between 0.3 - 23.1 pg/g, LOQs ranged from 2.3 to 76.9 pg/g below MRL. The method using microwave-assisted extraction was proposed for the routine analysis of pesticides in aquaculture and wild seaweed samples.⁴⁵ Pesticide residues were determined in four processed food (frozen Chinese dumpling, eel kabayaki, corned beef and retort curry) employing ion trap GC/MS technique. Target compounds were extracted with ethyl acetate-cyclohexane (1:1, *v/v*) in the presence of anhydrous sodium sulfate. The solvent was evaporated and the residue was redissolved in *n*-hexane. Lipids were removed from the solution by ACN-*n*-hexane partitioning. Pesticide residues in the ACN fraction was preconcentrated on ODS and graphite carbon/PSA silica

mini-cartridge columns. LOQs were below 0.01 μ g/g, recoveries ranged from 70% to 120%, and RSD was 15%. It was assumed that the technique can be applied for the multi-residue analysis of pesticides in processed foods prepared employing livestock and seafoods as main raw materials.⁴⁶ A GC method was applied for the determination of carbofuran using broiler chickens as experimental model. The amount of carbofuran was measured in blood, muscle, liver and stomach contents. It was stated that carbofuran in the edible tissue of poisoned birds may result in the secondary poisoning of predators and may be harmful for humans.⁴⁷

SIMULTANEOUS APPLICATION OF GC AND HPLC TECHNOLOGIES

The molecular base of separation is markedly different in GC and HPLC. As the separation in GC is mainly governed by the volatility of the analytes; the acidity or alkalinity, the adsorption capacity, the lipophilicity and polarity *etc.* of the target compounds play a considerable role in their HPLC retention behavior. Because of their diverse separation parameters the simultaneous application of GC and HPLC methodologies may facilitate and substantiate the analysis of target compounds present in complicated accompanying matrices. The application of GC and HPLC for the analysis of pesticides in bee and bee products has been previously reviewed.⁴⁸ The extraction by QueEChERS technology and the use of subsequent chromatographic methodology for the analysis of pesticide residues present in food matrices has also been reviewed.⁴⁹ The analytical methods employed for the determination of organophosphorous pesticide (OPP) residues in fruits and vegetables have also been compiled. The various extraction techniques and the chromatographic separation procedures were discussed and the results were compared.⁵⁰ The concentration of pesticide residues present in crushed grapes, cake, most, lees and wine was monitored by multiresidual GC-MS (71 pesticides) and multiresidual LC-MS-MS technology (45 pesticides). It was established that the amount of boscalid, cyprodynil, dimethomorph, fenhexamid, metalaxyl and procymidone was the highest during the vinification process, their concentration was 0.01-0.02, 0.04, 0.01-0.08, 0.12-0.13, 0.09-0.11 and 0.07-0.13 mg/L, respectively.⁵¹ A new technique has been developed for the determination of pesticide residues on processed tea leaves. The procedure includes extraction and dispersive d-SPE extraction followed with GC/NCI/tandem MS and UHPLC-MS/MS. LOD was below 1 μ g/kg for GC and 10 μ g/kg for UHPLC. The majority of recovery was over 70%. GC-NCI detected endosulfan sulfate and kelthane, while UHPLC detected imidacloprid and acetamiprid in the samples. It was established that the price of tea and the pesticide residue level did not correlated.⁵²

LIQUID CHROMATOGRAPHY C TECHNOLOGIES

Oppositely to GC, liquid chromatographic (LC) methods can be used for the separation and quantitative determination of non-volatile target compounds in a very large range of molecular mass and surface acidity or alkalinity. Moreover, LC technologies can be easily applied for the analysis of both water-soluble and water-insoluble analytes. The analysis of 280 pesticides in food samples was

performed using QuEChERS extracts followed by liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry. Separation were carried out on an ODS stationary phase, analytes were identified with ion ratio calculation and mass spectral library searching.⁵³

REVERSED-PHASE HIGH PERFORMANCE LIQUID CHROMATOGRAPHY

The overwhelming majority of LC analyses are performed in reversed-phase high performance liquid chromatographic (RPHPLC) separation mode. The advantages of this technique are the high variety of both stationary and mobile phases resulting in widely different separation characteristics, the reduced consumption of organic solvent, the increased safety of laboratory staff, and the lower environmental loading.

Fruits, juices and vegetables

A QuEChERS extraction method combined with LC-MS/MS measurements was applied for the determination of the residues of 150 pesticides in grapes. Pesticides were extracted with ACN. Phase separation was achieved by shaking the sample with a salt mixture containing magnesium sulfate, sodium chloride, disodium hydrogen citrate sesquihydrate, and trisodium citrate dihydrate. The mixture was centrifuged and an aliquot of the clear supernatant was dried with magnesium sulfate. After a new centrifugation step and aliquot of the supernatant was evaporated, redissolved in methanol-water buffer solution and used for LC analysis. Quantitation and identification were performed by using atmospheric pressure electrospray positive ionization in multiple reaction monitoring (MRM) mode. Recoveries ranged from 70% to 110%, the RSD varied between 1% and 25%.⁵⁴

Some organophosphorous pesticides (fenitrothion, parathion, fenthion and foxim) were determined in pear and water. A new ionic liquid, 1,3-dibutylimidazolium hexafluorophosphate was employed for the dispersive liquid-liquid microextraction of target compounds. Separation was carried out by HPLC. Recovery was over 75%, enrichment factor over 300-fold. LODs were 0.01-0.05 mg/L. RSD ranged from 1.3-2.7, 1.4-1.9, and 1.1-1.7, respectively.⁵⁵ A LC-MS/MS technique was applied for the analysis of 69 pesticides in fruits and vegetables (zucchini, melon, cucumber, watermelon, tomato, garlic eggplant, lettuce and pepper). Target compounds were extracted by QuEChERS. MS measurements were carried out in MRM mode. Two selected reaction monitoring (SRM) were employed for the quantitation and identification of target compounds in one run. Recoveries range from 70% to 120% RSD being lower than 20%. It was stated that the method is rapid, simple and sensitive and can be employed in routine analytical laboratories.⁵⁶ A QuEChERS-HPLC-UV method was applied for the investigation of the dissipation of carbaryl on greenhouse cucumbers during the pre-harvest interval (PHI). It was established that the dissipation depends on the initial dose and follows first order kinetics. A waiting period of more than 14 days was proposed for the safe consumption of cucumber.⁵⁷ It was further established that washing, peeling and refrigeration storage markedly decreased the amount of carbaryl in cucumber samples.⁵⁸

The concentration of propylenethiourea (PTU), 4-methylimidazole-2-thione, the main degradation product of propylenebis(dithiocarbamate) (PBDC) was measured in tomatoes. Analytes were extracted with dispersive solid-phase clean up followed by high performance hydrophilic interaction liquid chromatography atmospheric pressure electrospray ionization mass spectrometry (HILIC-ESI-MS-MS).⁵⁹ LC-MS/MS technology was employed for the simultaneous determination of 54 pesticides. Samples of pepper, tomato, orange and lemon were extracted with ACN and liquid-liquid partitioned by salting out procedure applying NaCl. GC measurements were performed with the triple quadrupole in SRM mode. Recoveries ranged from 65.5% to 114.5%. RSD being 2.3 -8.3%. LOD varied between 0.03-14.9 µg/kg.⁶⁰ An enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay was developed and applied for the determination of fenhexamide residues in grape must, kiwifruit and strawberry. The results were compared with those obtained by HPLC. It was established that excellent correlation can be found between the data determined by HPLC and enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay.⁶¹ An LC-MS/MS method was developed for the first screen of 300 pesticides in fruits and vegetables with a commercially enhanced product ion method. The probably positive extracts were further investigated using LC-MS/MS optimized for 55 pesticides. It was found that no false-negative and no false-positive were encountered during the analysis.⁶² A chromatographic analytical method was developed for the determination of N-methyl carbamate pesticide residues in foods. The method was simple and rapid and makes possible the simultaneous determination of pesticide residues in foods.

It was stated that the method ensures safe products for consumers.⁶³ Benzimidazole fungicides and their degradation products were separated and identified in various raw agricultural commodities. Pretreatment of samples included the direct extraction and multifunctional adsorption cleanup (CHEMAC) involving salting out-partitioning/extraction with acetate-buffered ACN at low-temperature followed with sequential rapid solid-phase dispersive cleanup with a ternary sorbent mixture. Recoveries were between 70% and 92%, Intra-day and inter-day reproducibility ranged from 15% and 20%.⁶⁴

A comprehensive and sensitive multi-residue LC-MS/MS method was developed and applied for the separation and quantitation of 73 pesticides and related products in edible oil, meat, egg, cheese, chocolate, coffee, rice, tree nuts, citric fruits, vegetables etc. Cleanup was performed by QuEChERS methodology. LC-MS/MS was operated in MRM mode. Recoveries varied between 70-120% RSD being lower than 20%. It was established that the method can be successfully applied for the analysis of organophosphorous pesticide and carbamate residues in various foods and food products.⁶⁵ Fungicides (metaxyl-M, azoxystrobin, myclobutanil, fusilazole, penconazole, tebuconazole, propiconazole, diniconazole, and difenoconazole) were determined in wine. Target compounds were purified employing mixed-mode anion exchange and reversed-phase SPE cartridges. LC-MS/MS detection of analytes was performed applying atmospheric pressure electrospray ionization.

Optimal extraction conditions were: 10 mL of wine was diluted with 1 L of ultrapure water and passed through the

mixed-mode SPE cartridge at a flow-rate of 5 mL/min. Cartridge was washed with 5 mL of aqueous NH_4OH (5% w/v). Target compounds were removed with 1 mL of methanol. RSDs were below 11%, LOQs ranged 0.01-0.79 ng/mL being below the MRLs for fungicides in grapes and wine.⁶⁶ Permethrin (3-phenoxybenzyl (1RS)-cis-trans-3-(2,2-dichlorovinyl)2,2-dimethyl-cyclopropanecarboxylate residues have also been investigated in wines using an isocratic HPLC technology. RP-HPLC measurements were performed on an ODS column (25 cm x 4 mm i.d.; particle size, μm). Isocratic mobile phase consisted of ACN-water (70:30, v/v). The flow rate was 2 mL/min, target compounds were detected at 215 nm and column was thermostated at 25°C. Recoveries ranged from 93.95% to 86.58%. RSD varied between 0.89-3.69%. The method was proposed for the routine analysis of permethrin in wines because of the simple sample preparation technique, acceptable analysis time, and low cost.⁶⁷

An octadecylsilica (ODS) column was employed for the determination of the residues of three acidic herbicides (2,4-dichlorophenoxyacetic acid, 2,4-D, Dicamba, 4-chlorophenoxyacetic acid, 4-CPA) in food crops. Because of their water solubility they can pollute soils, groundwater and air⁶⁸ causing serious environmental pollution⁶⁹ and organ damage in humans.⁷⁰ A considerable number of extraction procedures were developed and applied for the preconcentration and prepurification of acidic herbicides. Thus, the application of stir-bar sorptive extraction-liquid desorption⁷¹, solid-phase microextraction (SPME)⁷² and solid-phase extraction (SPE)-solid phase derivatization have been reported.⁷³ Liquid-liquid extraction (LLE) has been recently employed for the analysis of various target compounds such as Chinese cabbage (*Brassica rapa* spp. *pekinensis*), apple (*Malus pumila* Mill), pepper (*Capsicum annuum* L.), brown rice (*Oryza sativa* L.), and soybean (*Glycine max* L.). Target analytes were extracted from the samples of 2,4-D, Dicamba and 4-CPA by homogenization 25 g of samples with 100 mL of acetone. The suspension was filtered and evaporated to 20 – 25 mL than it was mixed with 15 g of sodiumchloride dissolved in 100 mL of deionized water. The aqueous phase was washed twice with 50 mL of *n*-hexane. The aqueous phase was acidified with 10 mL of 10% sulfuric acid. The acidic solution was extracted twice with 50 mL of dichloromethane. Anhydrous sodium sulfate was added to the organic phase. The solvent was evaporated to dryness, the residue was redissolved in 50% acetone and used for GC measurements. Because of their elevated fat content the extraction of brown rice and soybean was slightly modified. The powdered samples (25 g each) were moistened with 25 mL of deionized water for 30 min, then homogenized with 100 mL of 5 M HCl:ACN (10:90, v/v). The suspension was filtered, the liquid phase was evaporated to 20-25 mL and mixed with 10 g of NaCl. The liquid phase was alkalized and parted twice with 50 mL of *n*-hexane. The aqueous fraction was acidified with sulfuric acid, then extracted twice with 50 mL of dichloromethane and dried with anhydrous sodium sulfate. The extract was evaporated to dryness and dissolved in 10 mL of water:ACN (1:1, v/v). Cleanup step used a glass column (600 mm x 12 mm) filled with a slurry of 10 g of activated silica in dichloromethane. The slurry contained 2 g of anhydrous sodium sulfate. The extract was loaded on the column and washed with 50 mL of acetone-dichloromethane (1:1, v/v) followed by 50 mL (40 mL for brown rice and soybean samples) methanol-dichloromethane

dichloromethane (20:80, v/v). Target compounds were removed from the column by 80 mL of (100 mL for brown rice and soybean) methanol-dichloromethane (30:70, v/v). HPLC-UV measurements were performed on an ODS column (250 x 4.6 mm i.d., particle size, 5 μm). Isocratic mobile phase for the separation of 2,4-D, Dicamba and 4-CPA consisted of aqueous 0.02% phosphoric acid (pH 2.5)-ACN (60:40, v/v). Chinese cabbage, apple and pepper were analysed by the following mobile phase: aqueous solution of 0.1% formic acid (pH 3.0)-ACN (60-40, v/v). The flow rate was 0.8 mL/min, analytes were detected at 230 nm. LC-MS/MS measurements were carried on an NH_2 column at 35°C. The components of the mobile phase were ACN-methanol (3:7, v/v; solution A) and aqueous solution of 0.1% formic acid (solution B). The flow rate was 0.5 mL/min. It was established that average recoveries for Chinese cabbage ranged from 94.30 to 102.63%, for apple it was 94.67-108.47%; for pepper 97.52-102.27%. The recoveries were similar in the case of analytes with elevated fat content: brown-rice 76.19-101.90%; soybean 74.60-107.39%. It was concluded from the results that the method is suitable for the determination of 2,4-D, dicamba and 4-CPA in Chinese cabbage, apple, pepper, brown rice and soybean. The method was proposed for the routine analyses of these pesticide residues (Shin *et al.*, 2011).⁷⁴

Insecticides are extensively applied to protect agricultural products against harmful insects, to improve quality and to enhance yield^{75,76}. Acetamidrid, a neonicotinoid insecticide has also been frequently used in the up-to-date agricultural practice. Various chromatographic technologies have been frequently employed for the determination of the amount of acetamidrid residues in foods and food products such as in tea,⁷⁷ chilli,⁷⁸ and bovine milk.⁷⁹ Both GC (Zhang *et al.*, 2008)⁵ and HPLC^{Hiba! A környezelző nem létezik.} techniques were employed for the separation and quantitative determination of the target compound. The behaviour of acetamidrid residues in zucchini has been investigated in detail. Samples of chopped zucchini (20 g) were mixed with 100 mL of water-methanol (50:50, v/v), the suspension was homogenized and filtered. The filtrate was partially evaporated and extracted with *n*-hexane and redissolved in dichloromethane. RP-HPLC-DAD measurements were performed on an ODS column (250 mm x 4.6 mm i.d.; particle size, 5 μm). The flow rate was 1.0 mL/min. The mobile phase for zucchini and zucchini leaves were water-ACN (7:3, v/v) and water-ACN (75:25, v/v), respectively. Analyte was detected at 248 nm. LC-ESI-MS/MS analyses were carried out on an octylsilica column (150 x 4.6 mm i.d.; particle size, μm). Components of the gradient elution were methanol, 10 mM ammonium acetate solution and water. The flow rate was 0.5 mL/min the column temperature was 40°C. MS/MS conditions were: nebulizing gas and drying gas were nitrogen; ion spray voltage, 4000 V; source temperature, 350°C. LOD and LOQ values were 0.01 and 0.03 $\mu\text{g/g}$ and 0.02 and 0.06 $\mu\text{g/g}$ for zucchini and zucchini leaves, respectively. Recoveries varied between 85.7 – 92.2% for zucchini and 90.5 – 101.9% for zucchini leaves. RSD was lower than 12%.⁸⁰

Carbamate pesticides are frequently applied in plant protection, although, they are considered to be toxic for the environment and for human beings. A considerable number of chromatographic method was developed for the measurement of carbamate residues in various accompanying matrices. Because of the low amount of

carbamate pesticides in the samples, preconcentration and prepurification techniques are often employed before the chromatographic separation step. Single-drop microextraction followed by GC-MS was used for the measurement of carbamate pesticides in water,⁸¹ hot water extraction coupled with LC-MS for the analysis of bovine milk,⁸² and SPME for the determination of pesticides in fruit juices.⁸³ SPE followed by LC-MS was employed for the determination of pesticide residues in water.⁸⁴ Gel-permeation chromatography (GPC) has also been employed as prepurification and preconcentration step in the analysis of animal tissues⁸⁵ and oils.⁸⁶ Gel permeation chromatography (GPC) followed with ultra-performance liquid chromatography and tandem mass spectrometric detection (UPLC-MS-MS) has been applied for the determination of the residues of 18 carbamate pesticides in chestnut and pine nut. Target compounds were extracted from chestnut and pine nut by homogenizing 2.000 g sample with 20 mL of ACN. Liquid fraction was filtered through a sodium sulfate bed, rehomogenized with 20 mL ACN. The combined extracts were evaporated to dryness. Mobile phase for GPC procedure was cyclohexane-ethyl acetate (1:1, v/v); the flow rate was 4.7 mL/min; injection volume 5 mL; collecting time started at 8.2 min and finished at 14.2 min. Fractions collected between 8.2 and 14.2 min were collected and evaporated to dryness. UPLC-MS-MS measurements were performed on a column of 50 mm x 2.1 mm the particle size being 1.8 μm . The flow rate was 0.3 mL/min. Analytes were separated by gradient elution started with 10% ACN and finished 100% 10 mM ammonium acetate. MS detection was carried out with an electrospray interface in the positive ionization mode. The capillary voltage was 3 kV, Source temperature and desolvation temperature were 110^oC and 350^oC, respectively. Nitrogen was employed as nebulizing, desolvation, and cone gas. Recoveries were between 70.21 and 89.56%, and the RSD values ranged from 2.26 to 4.07%.⁸⁷

The endocrine-disrupting activity of nonylphenol isomers (NP), linea nonylphenol and short chain ethoxylated derivatives has been many time demonstrated. It has been established that NPs can occur in food-contact materials.⁸⁸ A considerable number of chromatographic techniques was developed for the separation and quantitative determination of endocrin-disrupting compounds in various matrices such as milk,⁸⁹ eggs,⁹⁰ and environmental samples.⁹¹ Linear nonylphenol, nonylphenol isomers, short chain ethoxylated derivatives (NPEO₁ and NPEO₂) were determined in commercial powdered milk infant formula employing HPLC with fluorescence detection (FL). Analytes were determined by reconstituted milk-based method (method A) and powdered milk-based method (method B). Samples for method A were prepared by mixing 4.3 g milk powder with 30 mL of HPLC grade water. Saponification was performed by adding 6 mL of 0.4 M sodium hydroxy solution to 3 g of reconstituted milk.

NaOH was dissolved in ethanol-water (9:1, v/v). Saponification was carried out at 60^oC for 30 min. The solution was acidified by formic acid (pH = 4). SPE was carried out in ODS cartridges conditioned with two column volume of ACN, one column volume of methanol, 2 x 1 mL of methanol and 1 x 1 mL of ethanol-water 9:1 v/v. Target compounds were eluted with 2 x 1 mL of methanol followed with 2 x 1 mL of ACN. Samples were concentrated to 0.5 mL, redissolved and used for HPLC-FL

measurements. Method B employed SPE columns. Columns were immersed in ultrasonic bath the extraction time being 15 min at ambient temperature. Separations were performed on an octylsilica column (150 x 4.6 mm i.d., particle size, 3 μm). Target compounds were eluted in isocratic separation mode using mobile phase ACN-water (65:35, v/v) at the flow rate of 1 mL/min. LC-MS-MS conditions were: nitrogen was used as drying gas (9 L/min) and nebulizer gas (40 psi); capillary voltage, 400 V; flow rate 1 mL/min. Isocratic mobile phase consisted of 0.04% aqueous acetic acid solution-ACN (35:65, v/v). Desolvation temperature was 200^oC. The recoveries were 96.8%, 94.0%, 92.7% and 89.2% for NPEO_x, NPEO₁₊₂, NP, and 4-*n*-NP, respectively. RSDs (%) were 8.4, 7.0, 6.7 and 8.5, respectively. LOD values ($\mu\text{g/g}$) were 0.89, 0.48, 0.51 and 0.047, respectively. It was established that the selectivity and sensitivity of the non-reconstructed milk-base method was higher than those of the other analytical procedure. It was further stated that the method can be applied by routine laboratories for the quality control of powdered milk infant formulas.⁹²

Miscellaneous foods and food products

A considerable number of other foods and food products was analysed by RP-HPLC technologies. Although the stationary phases used for the measurements were markedly similar the differences in preconcentration and prepurification methods, in the type of mobile phases and in detecting system is responsible for the considerable differences among the RP-HPLC methodologies.

HPLC-DAD was employed for the simultaneous determination of eight pesticides frequently applied in rice cultivation (ponoxsulam, tricyazole, propanil, azoxystrobin, molinate, profoxydim, cyhalofop-butyl, deltamethrin and 3,4-dichloroaniline, the main metabolite of propanil). Extraction and cleanup of samples was achieved by solid-phase dispersion (MSDP) on neutral alumina (5 g) ACN being the elution solvent. Components of gradient elution system consisted of ACN-water starting with 30% ACN ramped to 100% ACN in 14 min at a flow-rate of 0.8 mL/min. LOD and LOQ ranged from 0.002 to 0.200 mg/kg and 0.006-0.60 mg/kg. Recoveries were 74-127% with an RSD below 12%. It was established that the method is suitable for the analysis of the majority of rice pesticides in rice grains at levels below MRLs.⁹³ The separation of 104 pesticides was achieved by LC-MS-MS. Target compounds were purified by two different methods. Method 1 used liquid-liquid extraction ACN being the extracting agent. Following step was dispersive solid-phase extraction using GCB, PSA and ODS sorbents (QuEChERS method modified for fatty vegetables). Method 2 employed MSDP and preconcentration on Florisil stationary phase. Analytes were separated on an ODS column (50 mm x 4.6 mm i.d.; particle size, 1.8 μm). LODs were lower than 10 $\mu\text{g/g}$ for 89% of analytes using both preconcentration methods. Recoveries ranged from 70% to 120% for QuEChERS technique and 50-70% for MSPD.⁹⁴ Simazine and terbutylazine residues were determined in olive oil. The technology applies liquid-liquid partitioning extraction and low temperature precipitation followed by MSPD using aminopropyl as dispersant. Cleanup was performed with Florisil and graphitized carbon supports. (60:40, v/v). Separations were carried out by HPLC followed with UV detection. The recoveries were over 91%, LODs and LOQs

0.0127 and 0.0540 $\mu\text{g/g}$ for simazine and 0.027 and 0.14 $\mu\text{g/g}$ for terbutylazine, respectively.⁹⁵ Ultra-performance liquid chromatography followed with tandem mass spectrometry (UPLC-MS/MS) was employed for the analysis of pyrethrins in tea. Pyrethrins included in the investigation were pyrethrin I and II, jasmolin I and II and cinerin I and II. Analytes were extracted with ACN and the extract was passed through a multilayer solid phase extraction cartridge. MS used an electrospray ionization source in positive mode (ESI+). Recoveries ranged from 76.15% to 101.86% the RSDs being 2.71 – 12.93%. LODs were lower than 0.009 mg/kg and LOQs did not exceed 0.03 mg/kg. It was stated that the data may help to draw up MRLs for pyrethrins in teas.⁹⁶ A LC-MS/MS technology was applied for the separation and quantitation of phoxim and its degradation product, O,O-diethyl-alpha-cyanobenzylideneaminothio-phosphonate (DCTP) in chicken and quail eggs. Eggs (1 g) were mixed with 1 g of anhydrous magnesium sulfate and extracted with ACN. The extract was passed through a SPE silica cartridge deactivated with trimethylamine. After RP-HPLC separation target compounds was detected with tandem mass spectrometry in positive-ion electrospray ionization (ESI) mode. RSDs of intra- and inter-day variations varied between 2.1% - 6.7% and 2.8% and 6.4% for phoxim and DCTP, respectively. Recoveries ranged from 81.3% to 93.6% for phoxim and from 83.3% to 90.1% for DCTP.⁹⁷ Thiosultap sodium, thiocyclam, and nereistoxin were determined in pepper. The optimal extraction procedure combined ACN extraction in acidic medium with ultrasonic extraction followed with a cleanup step with anhydrous MgSO_4 . Separation and quantitative determination of target compounds was carried out on a linear ion trap quadrupole LC-MS/MS in negative mode for thiosultap sodium and in positive mode for thiocyclam and nereistoxin. Recoveries ranged from 58% to 87%, RSDs were lower than 20%. The method has been successfully applied for the investigation of the decomposition of thiosultap sodium.⁹⁸ Pesticide present in onion were analysed by LC-ESI-MS/MS. The optimal conditions of MSPD were: 0.5 g of sample mixed with 1.0 g reused ODS; interaction time, 1 h; dispersion time, 5 min, elution solvent, ACN. Recoveries varied between 78.3 – 120.4%, RSD was lower than 20%. LOD and LOQ ranged from 0.003 to 0.03 mg/kg, and from 0.01 to 0.1 mg/kg.⁹⁹ Pesticide residues in flesh of *Cirrhinius mrigala* were determined by HPLC. The method revealed the presence of endosulfan a, p,p'-DDT, methamidophos, carbofuran, diazinon, parathion methyl, dimethoate malathion, chlorpyrifos, cypermethrin, carbosulfan and isoproturon in the flesh of farmed fish. It was established that the amount of pesticide residues was higher in farmed than in wild species.¹⁰⁰ The concentration of acephate, methamidophos, and omethoate was determined in animal and fishery products, their processed foods, and honey. Samples were extracted with ethyl acetate in the presence of anhydrous Na_2SO_4 (diatomaceous earth in the case of honey). The extract was further purified on a PSA mini-column and the analytes were detected by LC-MS in the ESI-SIM mode. Recoveries of the method ranged from 71.4% to 98.4%. RSD of repeatability was $\leq 12.5\%$.¹⁰¹ A multiresidue method was developed and applied for the analysis of 74 pesticides and metabolites in traditional Chinese herbal medicines (TCHMs). The amount of pesticides were assessed in Cortex Cinnamoni, Flos Carthami, Folium Gingko, Herba Pogostemonis, Radix Ginseng and Semen Gingko. Target compounds were

preconcentrated with accelerated solvent extraction (ASE), further purified by gel permeation chromatography and graphitized carbon black/primary, secondary amine SPE. Pesticide residues and metabolites were separated by LC-MS using gradient elution. Recoveries varied between 70-110% RSD being below 15%. In the majority of cases the LOD was lower than 0.01 mg/kg.¹⁰² Systemic insecticide residues (fipronil, imidacloprid and thiametoxam) and their metabolites (fipronil sulfon, fipronyl sulfide, fipronil desulfinyl, and fipronyl carboxamide) were determined in honey and pollen. Prior to the extraction the samples were centrifuged and 1 g of the lower phase was mixed with 3 mL of methanol-water (10:90, v/v). The extract was passed through an florisil cartridge, eluted with methanol and analysed by LC-MS/MS in selective reaction monitoring mode.¹⁰³

ELECTRICALLY DRIVEN SEPARATION SYSTEMS

Electrically driven separation systems (capillary electrophoresis, micellar electrokinetic capillary chromatography, capillary isoelectric focusing, capillary gel electrophoresis, capillary isotachopheresis) show marked advantageous characteristics such as high separation efficiency, short analysis time, high resolution power, low consumption of samples and reagents, etc. Interestingly, the number of studies dealing with the application of electrically driven separation technologies in the analysis of pesticides in foods and food products is surprisingly low. The sample preparation methods employed for the determination of pesticides in foods have been recently reviewed (Kumar et al., 2010).¹⁰⁴

Abbreviations

ACN	acetonitrile;
ASE	accelerated solvent extraction;
BCF	bioconcentration factor;
BUVs	benzotriazole UV stabilizers;
DAD	diode array detector;
DDTs	dichlorodiphenyltrichloroethanes;
DPX	disposable pipette extraction;
DSPE	disperse solid phase extraction;
ECD	electron capture detector;
ESI	electrospray ionization;
FID	flame ionization detection;
FL	fluorescence detection;
GC	gas chromatography;
GCB	graphitized carbon black;
GPC	gel permeation chromatography;
HCB	hexachlorobenzene;
HCHs	hexachlorocyclohexanes;
HILIC	hydrophilic interaction liquid chromatography;
HPLC	high performance liquid chromatography;
HS-SPME	headspace solid-phase microextraction;
i.d.	internal diameter;
LLE	liquid-liquid extraction;
LOD	limit of detection;
LOQ	limit of quantitation;
LTP	low temperature purification;
MRL	maximum residue level;
MRM	multiple reaction monitoring;

NCI	negative chemical ionization;
NP	nonylphenol isomers;
NPD	nitrogen-phosphorous detector;
OCPs	organochlorine pesticides;
ODS	octadecyl silica;
OPP	organophosphorous pesticides;
PA	polyacrylate;
PBDC	propylenebisdithiocarbamate;
PCI	positive chemical ionization;
PDMS	poly(dimethylsiloxane);
PHI	pre-harvest interval;
PSA	primary secondary amine;
PTU	propylenethiourea, 4-methylimidazoline-2-thione;
QuEChERS	quick, easy, cheap, effective, rugged and safe;
RP-HPLC	reversed-phase high performance liquid chromatography;
RSD	relative standard deviation;
SPE	solid-phase extraction;
SPME	solid-phase microextraction;
SRM	selected reaction monitoring;
SWCNTs	single-walled carbon nanotubes;
TCHMs	traditional Chinese herbal medicines;
TLC	thin layer chromatography;
UHPL	ultra high performance liquid chromatography;
UA-DLLME	ultrasonic-assisted dispersive liquid-liquid microextraction;
UPLC-MS-MS	ultra-performance liquid chromatography combined with tandem spectrometry.

REFERENCES

- Jobin, T and Carisse O., *Plant Diseases*, **2007**, *91*, 1351.
- Karaoglanidis, G. S. and Karadimos, D. A., *Crop Protect.*, **2006**, *25*, 977.
- Smith, D. L., Garrison M. C., Hollowell, J. E., Isleib, T. G and Shew, B. B., *Crop Protect.* **2008**, *27*, 823.
- Zhang C. Q., Yuan, S. K., Sun, H. Y., Qi, Z. Q., Zhou, M. G. and Zhu, G. N. *Plant Pathol.*, **2007**, *56*, 646.
- Zhang, C. Q., Zhang, Y. and Zhu, G. N., *Ann. Appl. Biol.*, **2008**, *153*, 205.
- Chen, M. F., Huang, J. W. and Chien, H. P., *J. Food Drug Anal.*, **2007**, *15*, 174.
- Soler, C, James, K. J. and Pico, Y. *J. Chromy. A* **2007**, *1157*, 73.
- Abreu, S. D., Caboni, P., Cabras, P., Garau, V. L. and Alves, A. *Anal. Chim. Acta* **2006**, *573*, 291.
- Abreu, S. D., Caboni, P., Cabras, P., Alves, A. and Garau, V. L., *J. Chromy. A*, **2006**, *1103*, 362.
- Pirard, C., Widart, J., Nguiyen, B. K., Deleuze, C., Heudt, L., Haubruge, E., De Pauw, E. and Focant, J. F., *J. Chromy. A*, **2007**, *1152*, 116.
- Liu, X., Dong, F., Qin, D. and Zheng, Y. *Biomed. Chromy.* **2010**, *24*, 367.
- Cardoso, M. H. W. M., Gouvea, A. V., da Nobrega, A. W. and Abrantes, S. D.P., *Cienc. Tecnol. Aliment.*, **2010**, *30*, 63.
- Chai, M. K. and Tan, G. H., *Food Chemistry*, **2010**, *123*, 760.
- Koesukiwat, U., Lehotay, S. J., Miao, S. and Leepipatpiboon, N., *J. Chromy. A*, **2010**, *1217*, 6692.
- Gonzalez-Curbelo, M. A., Hernandez-Borges, J., Ravelo-Perez, L. M. and Rodriguez-Delgado, M. A., *Food Chem.*, **2011**, *125*, 1083.
- Li, J., Xue, L., Liu, M. H., Wang, X. A. and Luo, C. S., *Chin. Optics Lett.*, **2010**, *8*, 1050.
- Lu, C. S., Schenk, F. J, Pearson, M. A. and Wong, J. W., *Environ. Health Persp.*, **2010**, *118*, 1625.
- Vinas, P., Martinet-Castillon, N., Campillo, N. and Hernandez-Cordoba, M., *J. Chromy. A*, **2010**, *1217*, 6569.
- Cieslik, E., Sadowska-Rociek, A., Ruiz, J. M. M. and Surma-Zadora, M., *Food Chem.*, **2011**, *125*, 773.
- Du, J. J., Yan, H. Y., She, D. D., Liu, B. M. and Yang, G. L., *Talanta*, **2010**, *82*, 698.
- Shen, W. J., Mao, Y. M., Wu, B., Shen, C. Y., Jiang, Y. A., Zhao, Z. Y., Liu, H., Gong, Y. X. and Lian, H. Z., *Chinese J. Anal. Chem.*, **2010**, *38*, 941.
- Osman, K. A., Al-Humaid, A. M., Al-Rehiyani, S. M. and Al-Redhaiman, K. N., *Ecotoxicol. Environ. Safety*, **2010**, *73*, 1433.
- Perez, M. A., Segura, A., Garcia, R., Colinas, T., Perez, M., Vazquez, A. and Navarro, H., *Revista Internac. Contamin. Ambient.*, **2009**, *25*, 103.
- Lian, Y. J., Pang, G. F., Shu, H. R., Fan, C. L., Liu, Y. M., Feng, J., Wu, Y. P. and Chang, Q. Y., *J. Agric. Food Chem.*, **2010**, *58*, 9428.
- Lagunas-Allue, L., Sanz-Asensio, J. and Martinez-Soria, M. T., *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.*, **2010**, *398*, 1509.
- Wang, J., Kliks, M. M., Jun, S. J. and Li, Q. X., *Food Res. Int.*, **2010**, *43*, 2329.
- de Pinho, G. P., Neves, A. A., de Queiroz, M. E. L. R. and Silverio, F. O., *Food Control*, **2010**, *21*, 1307.
- Yavuz, H., Guler, G. O., Aktumsek, A., Cakmak, Y. S. and Ozparlak, H., *Environ. Monitor. Assess.*, **2010**, *168*, 277.
- Serra-Bonvehi, J. and Orantes-Bermejo, J., *Pest Manag. Sci.*, **2010**, *66*, 1230.
- Hu, J. Y., Deng, Z. B., Liu, C. and Zheng, Z. X., *Chromatographia*, **2010**, *72*, 701.
- Wu, F., Lu, W. P., Chen, J. H., Liu, W. and Zhang, L., *Talanta*, **2010**, *82*, 1038.
- Steiniger, D., Lu, G. P., Butler, J., Phillips, E. and Fintschenko, Y. *J. AOAC Int.*, **2010**, *93*, 1169.
- Kuang, H., Miao, H., Hou, X. L., Zhao, Y. F., Wu, Y. N. and Xu, X. L., *J. Chrom. Sci.*, **2010**, *48*, 771.
- Wang, B., Li, X. L., Zhang, L., Wang, G. M., Cao, S. R. and Zhang, J. Z., *Chinese J. Anal. Chem.*, **2010**, *38*, 1433.
- Bo, L. Y. and Zhao, X. H., *African J. Microbiol. Res.*, **2010**, *4*, 1171.
- Melgar, M. J., Santaefemia, M. and Garcia, M. A., *J. Environ. Sci. Health Part B-Pesticides, Food Contam. Agric. Wastes* **2010**, *45*, 595.
- Bosnir, J., Puntaric, D., Novosel, V. and Klaric, I., *Croat. Acta Aliment.*, **2010**, *39*, 317.
- Bulu, S., Akkaya, L., Gok, V. and Konuk, M., *J. Animal Veterin. Adv.*, **2010**, *9*, 2797.
- Marthe, D. D. B., Bittencourt, L. M., de Queiroz, M. E. L. R. and Neves, A. A., *Quim. Nova*, **2010**, *33*, 1389.

- ⁴⁰ Fernandez-Alvarez, M., Lamas, J. P., Garcia-Chao, M., Garcia-Jares, C., Llompard, M., Lores, M. and Dagnac, T., *J. Environ. Monitor.*, **2010**, *12*, 1864.
- ⁴¹ Tomza-Marciniak A and Witchak A. *Acta Ichthyol. Piscat.*, **2010**, *40*, 1.
- ⁴² Nardelli, V., Dell'Oro, D., Palermo, C. and Centonze, D., *J. Chromy. A*, **2010**, *1217*, 4996.
- ⁴³ Smalling, K. L., Morgan, S. and Kuivila, K. K., *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.*, **2010**, *29*, 2593.
- ⁴⁴ Nakata, H., Shinohara, R., Murata, S. and Watanabe, M., *J. Environ. Monitor.*, **2010**, *12*, 2088.
- ⁴⁵ Garcia-Rodriguez, D., Carro, A. M., Cela, R. and Lorenzo, R. A., *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.*, **2010**, *398*, 1005.
- ⁴⁶ Makabe, Y., Miyamoto, F., Hashimoto, H., Nakanishi, K. and Hasegawa, Y., *Food Hyg. Safety Sci.*, **2010**, *51*, 182.
- ⁴⁷ Lehel, J., Laczay, P., Deri, J., Darin, E. G. and Budaie, P., *J. Wildlife Diseases*, **2010**, *46*, 1274.
- ⁴⁸ Barganska, Z., Nameisnik, J., *Crit. Rev. Anal. Chem.*, **2010**, *40*, 159.
- ⁴⁹ Willkowska, A. and Biziuk, M., *Food Chemistry*, **2011**, *125*, 803.
- ⁵⁰ Sharma, D., Nagpal, A., Pakade, Y. B. and Katnoria, J. K., *Talanta*, **2010**, *82*, 1077.
- ⁵¹ Cus, F., Cesnik, H. B., Bolta, S. V. and Gregorcic, A. *Food Control*, **2010**, *21*, 1512.
- ⁵² Zhang, X. A., Mobley, N., Zang, J. G., Zheng, X. M., Lu, L., Ragin, O. and Smith, C. J., *J. Agric. Food Chem.*, **2010**, *58*, 11553.
- ⁵³ Schreiber, A. and Wittrig, R., *Agro Food Ind. Hi-Tech*, **2010**, *21*, 18.
- ⁵⁴ Afify, A. E. M. M. R., Mohamed, M. A., El-Gammal, H. A. and Attalah, E. R., *J. Food Agric. Environ.*, **2010**, *8*, 602.
- ⁵⁵ He, L. J., Luo, X. L., Jiang, X. M. and Qu, L. B., *J. Chromy. A* **2010**, *1217*, 5013.
- ⁵⁶ Camino-Sanchez, F. J., Zafra-Gomez, A., Oliver-Rodriguez, B., Ballesteros, O., Navalon, A., Crovetto, G. and Vilchez, J. L., *Food Additiv. Contam. Part A-Chem. Anal. Control Exposure and Risk Assess.*, **2010**, *27*, 1532.
- ⁵⁷ Hassanzadeh, N. and Bahramifar, N., *Res. Crops*, **2010**, *11*, 404.
- ⁵⁸ Hassanzadeh, N., Bahramifar, N. and Esmaili-Sari, A., *J. Sci. Food Agric.* **2010**, *90*, 2249.
- ⁵⁹ Tolgyesi, L., Kele, P. and Torkos, K., *Chromatographia* **2010**; *71*, S71.
- ⁶⁰ Fenoll, J., Hellin, P., Martinez, C. M. and Flores, P., *Chromatographia*, **2010**; *72*, 857.
- ⁶¹ Esteve-Turrillas, F. A., Abad-Fuentes, A. and Mercader, J. V., *Food Chemistry*, **2011**, *124*, 1727.
- ⁶² Kmellar, B., Abranko, L., Fodor, P. and Lehotay, S., *J. Food Additiv. Contam. Part A-Chem. Anal. Control Exposure Risk Assess.*, **2010**, *27*, 1415.
- ⁶³ Goto, T., *Yakugaku Zasshi (J. Pharm. Soc. Japan)*, **2010**; *130*: 999.
- ⁶⁴ Guo, B., Huang, Z. Q., Wang, M. L., Wang, X. Y., Zhang, Y., Chen, B., Li, Y. J., Yan, H. F. and Yao, S. Z., *J. Chromy. A*, **2010**, *1217*, 4796.
- ⁶⁵ Chung, S. W. C. and Chan, B. T. P., *J. Chromy. A*, **2010**, *1217*, 4815.
- ⁶⁶ Carpinteiro, I., Ramil, M., Rodriguez, I. and Cela, R., *J. Chromy. A*, **2010**, *1217*, 7484.
- ⁶⁷ Shishovska, M. A., Trajkovska, V. P., Stefova, M. T., *J. Environ. Sci. Health. B.*, **2010**, *45*, 694.
- ⁶⁸ Hua, K., Xiaogang, C., Yuxia, H. and Chuanlai, X., *Anal. Lett.*, **2006**, *39*, 2617.
- ⁶⁹ Koesukwiwat, U., Sanguankaew, K. and Leepipatpiboon, N., *Anal. Chim. Acta*, **2008**, *626*, 10.
- ⁷⁰ McKinlay, R., Plant, J. A., Bell, J. N. and Voulvoulis, N., *Environ. Intern.*, **2008**, *34*, 168.
- ⁷¹ Quintana, J. B., Rodil, R., Muniategui-Lorenzo, S., Lopez-Mahia, P. and Prada-Rodriguez, D., *J. Chromy. A*, **2007**, *1174*, 27.
- ⁷² Rodriguez, I., Rubi, E., Gonzalez, R., Quintana, J. B. and Cela, R., *Anal. Chim. Acta*, **2005**; *537*, 259.
- ⁷³ Yu, L. Z. and Wells, M. J., *J. Chromy. A*, **2007**, *1143*, 16.
- ⁷⁴ Shin E-H, Choi J-H, El-Aty AMA, Khay S, Kim S-J, Im MH, Kwon CH. and Shim JH., *Biomed. Chromy.*, **2011**, *25*, 124.
- ⁷⁵ Kim, M. R., Na, M.A., Jung, W. Y., Kim, C. S., Sun, N. K., Seo, E. C., Lee, E. M., Pak, Y. G., Byun, J. A., Eom, J. H., Jung, R. S. and Lee, J. H. *Korean J. Pesticide Sci.*, **2008**, *12*, 323.
- ⁷⁶ Lee, Y. E., Noh, H. H., Park, Y. S., Kang, K. W., Jo, S. Y., Lee, S. R., Park, I. Y., Kim, T. H., Jin, Y. D. and Kyoung, K. S. *Korean J. Pesticide Sci.*, **2008**, *12*, 357.
- ⁷⁷ Gupta, M. and Shanker, A. *Food Chemistry* **2008**, *111*, 805.
- ⁷⁸ Sanyal, D., Chakma, D. and Alam, S., *Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol.* **2008**, *81*, 365.
- ⁷⁹ Seccia, S., Fidente, P., Montesano, D. and Morrica, P., *J. Chromy. A*, **2008**; *1214*, 115.
- ⁸⁰ Park, J.-Y., Choi, J.-H., Kim, B.-M., Park, J.-H., Cho, S.-K., Ghafar, M. W., El-Aty, A. M. A. and Shim, J.-H., *Biomed. Chromy.* **2011**, *25*, 136.
- ⁸¹ Saraji, M. and Esteki, N. *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.*, **2008**, *391*, 1091.
- ⁸² Bogialli, S., Curini, R., Di, C.A., Lagana, A., Nazzari, M. and Tonci, M., *J. Chromy. A* **2004**, *1054*, 351.
- ⁸³ Sagratini, G., Manes, J., Giardina, D., Damiani, P. and Pico, Y., *J. Chromy. A*, **2007**, *1147*, 135.
- ⁸⁴ Rodrigues, A. M., Ferreira, V., Cardoso, V. V., Ferreira, E. and Benoliel, M. J., *J. Chromy. A*, **2007**, *1150*, 267.
- ⁸⁵ Pang, G. F., Cao, Y. Z., Zhang, J. J., Fan, C. L., Liu, Y. M., Li, X. M., Jia, G. Q., Li, Z. Y., Shi, Y. Q., Wu, Y. P. and Guo, T. T., *J. Chromy. A* **2006**, *1125*, 1.
- ⁸⁶ Sanchez, R., Cortes, J.M., Vazquez, A., Villen-Altamirano, J.; Villen, J., *J. Sci. Food Agric.*, **2006**, *86*, 1926.
- ⁸⁷ Lin, Q-B., Xue, Y-Y. and Song, H., *J. Chrom. Sci.*, **2010**, *48*, 7.
- ⁸⁸ Fernandes, A. R., Rose, M. and Charlton, C., *Food Additive Contam.*, **2008**, *25*, 364.
- ⁸⁹ Casajuana, N. and Lacorte, S., *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2004**, *52*, 3702.
- ⁹⁰ Shao, B., Hang, H., Tu, X. and Huang, L., *J. Chromy. B* **2007**, *850*, 412.
- ⁹¹ Nunez, L., Turiel, E. and Tadeo, J. L., *J. Chromy. A*, **2007**, *1146*, 157.
- ⁹² Barahona, F., Turiel, E. and Martin-Esteban, A., *J. Chrom. Sci.*, **2011**, *49*, 243.
- ⁹³ Tsochatzis, E. D., Menkissoglu-Spiroudi, U., Karpouzas, D. G. and Tzimou-Tsitouridou, R., *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.* **2010**, *397*, 2181.
- ⁹⁴ Gilbert-Lopez, B., Garcia-Reyes, J. F., Lozano, A., Fernandez-Alba, A. R. and Molina-Diaz, A. *J. Chromy. A*, **2010**, *1217*, 6022.

- ⁹⁵ Sobhanzadeh, E., Abu, Bakar, N. K., Bin, Abas M, R. and Nemati, K., *Asian J. Chem.*, **2010**; 22, 4831.
- ⁹⁶ Lu, C. H., Liu, X. G., Dong, F. S., Xu, J, Song, W. C., Zhang, C. P., Li, Y. B. and Zheng, Y. Q., *Anal. Chim. Acta*, **2010**, 678, 56.
- ⁹⁷ Lee, J. H., Park, S., Jeong, W. Y., Park, H. J., Kim, H. G., Lee, S. J., Shim, J. H., Kim, S. T., El-Aty, A. M. A., Im, M. H., Choi, O. J. and Shin, S. C., *Anal. Chim. Acta*, **2010**, 674, 64.
- ⁹⁸ Ferrer, C., Mezcuca, M., Martinez-Uroz, M. A., Pareja, L., Lozano, A. and Fernandez-Alba, A. R., *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.*, **2010**, 398, 2299.
- ⁹⁹ Rodrigues, S. A., Caldas, S. S. and Primel, E. G., *Anal. Chim. Acta*, **2010**, 678, 82.
- ¹⁰⁰ Mahoob, S., Ghazala, I., Sultana, S., Al-Akel, A. S., Al-Balawi, A. K., Al-Misned, F. and Zubair, M. P., *Pak. J. Zoology* **2011**, 43, 97.
- ¹⁰¹ Ueno, E., Ohno, H., Tanahashi, T., Oshima, H., Mikami, E., Nemoto, S. and Matsuda, R., *Food Hyg. Safety Sci.*, **2010**, 51, 122.
- ¹⁰² Jia, Z. W., Mao, X. H., Chen, K., Wang, K. and Ji, S., *J. AOAC Int.*, **2010**, 93, 1570.
- ¹⁰³ Garcia-Chao, M., Agruna, M. J., Calvete, G. F., Sakkas, V., Llompert, M. and Dagnac, T., *Anal. Chim. Acta*, **2010**, 672, 107.
- ¹⁰⁴ Kumar, A., Malik, A. K. and Pico, Y., *Electrophoresis* **2010**, 31, 2115.

Received: 14. 06. 2012.
Accepted: 21. 06. 2012.