Experimental Study on Cellular Light Weight Concrete Using Glass Fiber

Section A -Research paper



Sara Nighat	Harsh Yadav	Solomon Lamba	
Department of civil Engineering	Department of civil Engineering	Department of civil Engineering	
Galgotias University	Galgotias University Greater	Galgotias University Greate	
Greater Noida, India	avushvadav21.22@gmail.com	solomonodgorlamba@gmail.com	
nighatsara21@gmail.com	ayusnyauav51.25@gmail.com	solonionedgartanioa@gmair.com	

Abstract— Froth Cements (FCs) have a framework that is more focused on lightweight cement. The pemeableness of froth and lightweight clients diminishes with thickness of substance develops. On adding, the permeable Ness of the lightweight concrete is significantly influences by prominent points of total hole, and that of FC it is entirely affected by the formation of the holes in the frame. The expansion of ground calcium carbonate(GCC) and glass fibre (GF) in Foam Concrete was inspect in this review. Effect of change, particular, (GCC), (GF), cement, and Water on Flexible and compressive properties and warm conductivity properties havebeen thought of as further. The test results were simplified with the proposed half and half model. Additional results were validated by a research centre study. GCC integration enhances compressive strength and warm performance due to the filling effect. In addition, GF considerations in large combinations add to the flexibility of cement.

Keywords—foam concrete, flexural strength, split tensile strength, compressive strength, compaction, foam, glass fiber.

I INTRODUCTION

The Foam cement (FC) is the subset of light weight cement, and its thickness ranges from 400 kg / m3 to 1800 kg/ m3 (Amran et al 2015). It is being called as lightweight Froth Cements and the air circulates through the cement. A more obvious adaptation of lightweight cellular concrete (LCC) provided FC construction technology, which largely required introductory foaming construction. FC elements are common in conventional cement; However, they do not have the gross total material. Foamed cements are made from Water, fine aggregates and foaming experts, which reinforce the imitation voids in their manufacture. Due to their lightweight property (Tiong et al 2020) they are commonly used as a great alternative development tool in the development business. Their lighter and thicker logs enjoy higher ground than conventional cement because they provide greater ground impermeabilityto fire, better heat and sound protection, less inert load and amore flexible structure [3–9]. Furthermore, they offer superior performance over lightweight cellular concrete (LCC) [Ramamurthy et al 2009].

The test strategies for FC are slightly different from other significant types. In particular, the drop test technique for measuring FC activity is not satisfactory. Spreading distance is applied in oriented testing strategies to fix the stability property [Raj et al 2019]. Despite its high thermal protection capacity, FCs have a excessive energy rate ratio [Amran et al 2015, Ramamurthy et al 2009]. FCs with the thickness in the variety of 1200.00-1800.00 kg/m3 are

utilized as the fundamental component and a dry thickness ofpretty much 400 kg/m3 is leaned toward for most warming.

A well-known method is to cover the burrow with a seismic disconnect layer made of Foam Concrete [Ma et al 2019, Kim and Konagai 2000]. FCs are preferred because of its greatexecution in power equation, growth performance including great deformation conductivity and warm conductivity [Ma et al 2019]. Maiden et al. [Mydin et al 2019] The dominance of filaments over the flexural and compressive forces of several density of FCs introduced for higher temperatures [Amran et al 2015, Ramamurthy et al 2009] has been explored. The FC test is presented at 700.00, 1100.00 and 1500.00 kg/m3 100 C, 200 C, 300 C, 400 C, 500 C, 600 C, 700C.

and 800 C, explored along with peak fibre content, compressive and hold expanded bending strength. Outcomes reveal such high thickness have greater compressive and flexural strength for similar fibre materials at the identical temperature.

The new and concrete properties of FCs depend on different variables, specifically the foam used by the specialist, the alignment of the foam, the compatibility of the mixing scheme and the compatibility and manufacturing stage. Gao and Song et al. (2019) researched, types of froth specialist by specifically testing trio of foaming specialists, sodium A-olefin sulfonate (AOS), sodium dodecyl sulphate (K12) and sodium liqueur ether sulphate (AES); Foam stabilizer similar to silicone pitch polyethylene emulsionFM- 500 was used to prepare the foam. Considering the threeFC types, the contrast in foaming properties is very minor. However, the combination of AOS experts reveals better foam dependence in respect of other two combinations [He et al 2019].

Foam concrete (FC) is a subset of light weight concrete, and its thickness ranges from 400.00 kg / m3 to 1800.00 kg / m3. It is called lightweight FCs in any case and allow air to penetrate through the concrete. Looking at the FCS manufacturing process is a more obvious change to lightweight concrete, which usually requires an initial foam construction. Components of FC are common in conventional concrete; However, they do not have the gross absolute substance. Foam concrete is made from foaming experts who use Water, fine aggregates and forged voids in its development. They are largely used as better alternative enhancement tool in the advancement business as a result of their lightweight assets [Tiong et al 2020]. Their lightness and thickness make them a consistently high base on conventional concrete, as they provide greater ground im-permeability to fire, better heat and sound insurance, less dead weight and a more direct structure [3–9]. In addition, they provide ideal use on lightweight concrete [Ramamurthy et al 2009]. The test methods for FC are very different from other complex types. In particular, the hang test approach to assessing FC usage is not acceptable. Campaign distance is implemented in testing methods to select the stability property [Raj et al 2019]. Despite their high heat insurance limit, FCs have a powerful rate limit [Amran et al 2015, Ramamurthy et al 2009]. FCs with a thickness of 1200.00- 1800.00 kg/m3 is utilized as the essential part and especially with the dried thickness of around 400.00 kg/m3 are by and large favoured for warming and grout works [He et al 2019]. As shown in [Huo et al 2005], underground developments and segments are subject to real riots under the weight of an earthquake.

Covering the tunnel with an earthquake deflection layer made with FC [Ma et al 2019, Kim et al 2000] is a remarkable practice. FCs are preferred as a result of exceptional performance in energy absorption, with exceptional transformation direct and progressive performance, as well as warm conductivity property [14]. Maiden et al. [Mydin et al 2019] Effect of fibres at the compressive and elastic strength of several convergences of Froth Cements presented to higher temperatures [Amran et al 2015, Ramamurthy et al 2009] was examined. The FC test is provided at 700, 1100 and 1500 kg/ m3 100 C, 200 C, 300 C, 400 C, 500 C, 600 C, 700 C, and 800 C, tested along with excessive fiber content, compressive and elastral strength for comparable fiber materials at comparable temperatures.



Fig 1. Exploration flow-chart

New and hardened properties of FCs depends upon several components, to be explicit, a foaming expert used, foam course of action, suitability of mixed plan and fittingness of the material's and creation stage. Gao and Song et al. (2019) explored the froth expert sort by researching trio foam trained professionals, be explicit, Sodium a-olefin Sulphonate (AOS), Sodium Dodecyl sulphate (K12), an sodium alcohol ether sulphate (AES); a comparative foam stabilizer of silicone pitch poly-ether emulsion FM-500 was used to prepare foam as shown in Fig. 1. The differentiation in foam properties was pretty much nothing, taking three types of FC into consideration. Nevertheless, the AOS expert blend have shown ideal foam trustworthiness over the two other blends [He et al 2019].

II EXPERIMENTAL OBSERVATION AND OPTIMIZATION

The pilot program used finer aggregate's (river sand; FA), CEM I 42.50 type of OPC and calcium stearate (CaS) froth stabilizer. The molecule size of aggregate is presented in Figure 2.



Fig 2. Fuller curve and aggregate gradation

CaS was used in each compound as a foam booster. The CaS technology structures are shown in Table 1.

Item	Specifications		
Appearance	White powder		
Calcium Content %	5.7-7.1		
Free Acid (Stearic acid)%	≤0.6		
Loss on drying %	≤3.1		
Melting Point °C	≥126		
Fineness (through 0.075mm-mesh)%	≥99.0		

Table 1: Properties of CaS

Pressure strength tests were evaluated as per ASTM C 469 standard [Oren et al 2020]. FS testing were performed as per EN 14651 [Li et al 2019]. Moreover, chromatic models was developed before dynamic power tests. The sulphate assault in the lightweight Froth Cement test was executed as for ASTM C1012.

Table 2: Pr	roperties of	GF (prov	rided by the	supplier)
-------------	--------------	----------	--------------	-----------

Property	Fiber Values	
Softening point	850 C	
Chemical Resistance	High	
Elasticity Modulus	70 GPa × 106 psi	
Tensile Strength	1750 MPa	
Dry density	2.63 g/cm^3	
Specific gravity	2.66	
Electrical Conductivity	Very Low	

Pressure strength tests were evaluated as per ASTM C 469 standard [Oren et al 2020]. FS testing were performed as per EN 14651 [Le et al 2019]. Moreover, chromatic models was developed before dynamic power tests. The sulphate assault in the light weight Froth Cement test was executed as for ASTM C1012.

After that, the pressure loss of the sampling was regulated. Two sample groups were put together to perform sulphate attack test. The principal test bunch was added to the treatment Water, and the subsequent example bunch was added 10% MgSO4 arrangement. (MgSO4) solution concentration was revived like clockwork until the finish of the trial (180 days).Depression loss was observed at 7, 28, 90 and 180 days. Table-2 presents the GF structures, 3 mm long, which are used for concrete models; Agents based on protein was utilised.

III OPTIMIZATION PROGRAMME

A starter exploratory program, which contains research facility tests, was embraced to decide fundamental variables influencing the compressive, flexural strength, and warm conductivity of the substantial combinations. During the enhancement study, Taguchi plan of the experiment was used to discover the excellent proportions of ingredients. The impact of four elements, to be specific, GCC concrete, Water and GF, Compressive strength, Bending strength, and warm Conductivity, was examined.

The indicated strategy was generally utilized by specialists in different endlessly fields of social designing [32-35]. Huge outcomes and top to bottom examination can be gotten from few tests utilizing this strategy. Taguchi proposes that high content for each feature to get the results you want. Bigger is the most ideal choice for pressurized power and flexibility, while more modest is the most ideal choice for warm conductivity. The commitment of this highlights has likewise been evaluated by ANOVA. The consequences of the Taguchi technique were tried in ANOVA to acquire a superior and more profound comprehension of the overall strength of Froth Cement components and thermal conductivity. The blueprint of the response area were also used within the range of development studies as proposed by intellectuals [36 - 39].

IV RESULT'S AND DISCUSSION

A: Experiment Outcomes

Pressure strength test was coordinated by ASTM C469. Tri-calcium aluminate (C3A) structures a carbo-aluminate complex that can't be taken out from the CaCO3 substance, in this manner expanding how much hydration substances [Amin et al 2020, Tayeh 2018]. In addition, CaCO3 responds to C3S and facilitates early energy development and cement mortality [Péra et al 1999]. Furthermore, Calcium carbonate fillers responds with C3 Time concrete and sponsorship the course of action of carbo- aluminate which somewhat eliminates part of ettringite. In this way, the initial strength of the large expansion in the GCC into a large concentration, which was then expanded to compressive strength [Lertwattanaruk et al 2018].



28 Days Compressive Strength Test Results



These findings can be considered as an immediate impact of the GCC complement GCC has preferred particles over concrete, in this manner diminishing the openings in the substantial. The results of the stress test outcomes are displayed in Fig. 3. The 28 day compressive strength outcomes are in the scope of 5.530-6.20 MPa. These outcomes shows correlations with various examinations.

Seven-day Compressive Strength Loss



Fig. 4. Compressive Strength Loss after sulphate attack at seven days.

28-day Compressive Strength Loss



Fig. 5. Compressive Strength Loss after sulphate attack at 28 days.



Fig. 6. Compressive Strength Loss after sulphate attack at 90 days.





Fig. 7. Compressive Strength Loss after sulphate attack at 180 days.

The unfortunate effects of stressful forces after 7, 28, 90, and 180 days were presented in Fig. 4-7, each. Adding to the number of GCC results reduces the overall combination. At each level, the combinations and the most significant amount of GCC show very little stressful misfortune. These discoveries can be attributed to the filling impact of the GCC. As the pores shrink, the effect of the sulphate assault likewise diminishes. Different analysts favours this impact [Amin et al 2020, Tayeh 2018].



28-days Flexural Strength Test Results (MPa)



Flexural strength testing was led as per EN-14651. The impact of GCC and GF on dynamic forces ought to be found in Fig 8. Fiber level ascents from 0.0% to 0.050% (by volume), expanding adaptability. This step up might be because of the expansion in mortar bond. Such outcomes additionally backing and appears close to such past preliminaries [46 - 49].

Froth Cement exhibits useful features, in particular, warm flexibility, acoustic performance, and the inability to withstand insurmountable fires due to its high permeableness and its less structural properties. For example, at a thickness of 400.00 kg / m3, λ -esteem is approx. 100 mW / (m K [50]).

That is not completely suspended in stone like ASTM C138, and warm conductivity tests were performed according to ASTM C177. Dry thickness directly affects warmth fluctuations. Figure 9 shows that dryness is significantly reduced by GCC expansion. This diminishing can be credited to the gravitational power of the GCC, which isn't by concrete. Afterward, extra GCC compounds have less dry thickness and less heat. The connection between's dry hardness and warmth in this study is like that in a past report.[Raj et al 2019, Jones and McCarthy 2005].



Fig. 9. Dry density (kg/m³) vs. thermal conductivity [W/(m.k)]



Fig. 10. Marsh Cone Test Results.

Since droop testing could not determine new features of foam concrete, swamp cone test was used. Its guideline is the same for any event, where its level varies from country to country. A 1 L ratio of concrete glue was fine in a large blender. The results of the wetland test are displayed in Fig 10. The mixture contained 2.0 kg of concrete, w/c portion was 0.35.In the course of testing, the expected time for a particular proportion of material to stream out of the cone is perceived and recorded [Roussel and Le Roy 2005]. GF considerations have an impact on FC performance, as outlined in the previous article. GF expansion reduces performance [52 - 54].



Fig. 11. Spread Test Diameter



Fig. 12. Drying Shrinkage



Fig.13. Water absorption

A comparable pattern in the bog cone test results are displayed in the scale measurement disperse brings figure 11. Suspension reduction tests are performed in accordance with ASTM C157. Fiber consideration can actually be used to address weight loss issues in FC. The inclusion of GF also contributes to the emphasis on drying. The inclusion of GF prevents dehydration, which is similar to that previously tested [55. The primary stop decrease was 9.34% on the M4, while the base was 6.11% on the G15. In this manner, thought of GCC is similarly significant against the disastrousimpact of drying wrinkles, as displayed in Fig. 12. Storage ofexcess Water in the air in accordance with ASTM 1585– 20, as shown in Fig 13.The absorption of cement in water reduces due to steel and polypropylene fibres. GF makes another difference and diminishes Water absorption rate [Awang and Ahmad 2014], as already displayed that by increasing GF enhancing Water retention, testing and previous testing [Arunakanthi and Kumar 2016, Deshmukh et al 2012]. The most highest Water level was 17.07% on the M16, containing 0.15% GF in volume; essential Water admission was 15.44% on the M1 altogether GF absolute.



Fig. 14. SEM analysis of FC mixtures.

SEM testing for additional FC-GCC compounds is displayed in Fig 14. GCC fills in as a filler part, growing the designing and concrete properties of the substantial. Alternatively, the permissible concrete structure is displayed in Fig 14. The impact of the ball, which causes more holes in the larger structure, is found to exceed the certain amount of fibre presence in the larger compound. The holes shown in Fig. 14, were loaded using GCC. This may be credited to the filling effect of the GCC in view of its sub-atomic size and impact on hydration responses. SEM pictures have models with high content of fibre. Openings of air in the froth concrete given by CAS were likewise distinguished. Pore separators were vigorously stacked with GCC.

A. Optimization Results

Taguchi's investigation of the compressive strength of concrete is introduced. Measurements show that GF addition up to 0.1% by volume brings about a reliable and sharp expansion in compression strength. However, the ascent has passed slightly at this point. Concrete is a key factor in influencing the compressive strength of large compounds. The GCC is depended upon to move as a filling substance, and the size of the model ought to be decreased by its utilization. This condition can be attributed to the GCC's clear gravitational force rather than

concrete. The presence of the GCC strongly influences the compressive force as it fills the pores of the compounds.

The flexible strength of the substantial is additionally not exactly how much concrete is. As opposed to the compression strength, glass fiber essentially influences the adaptability of concrete as tried in past tests . [58-60] and thiseffect is stable. Taguchi's investigation of dynamic energy is shown. The thermal conductivity of cement is closely related to the dryness of the cement and porosity. Fiber incorporation creates pore design cement, thus reducing its thermal conductivity. The GCC counteracts the cement and fills the gaps.

Therefore, GCC bonding similarly affects the warm operation of concrete-like concrete. These discoveries can be ascribed to the sub-atomic size of the GCC when considered to be better than concrete. These results are displayed. During the study of the development of the signal to the moving part, the larger one is better selected to be stronger, although the more modest one is better chosen for warm conductivity. Thus, this research adds to the writing through various aspects of contradictory development. For e.g., high strength (compressive and flexible) could be expanded along with concrete expansion. Be that as it may, when the amountof concrete is elevated, thermal conductivity builds up as it actually happens. The ANOVA tests displayed in Table 6 show that substantial affects the compressive strength of concrete, and GF significantly affects the compressive strength of enormous mixtures. These outcomes are predictable with those of past preliminaries [Arunakanthi and Kumar 2016, Deshmukh et al 2012].

Flexural strength impacts are like stress induced strength test and are introduced. The ANOVA impacts of thermal conductivity are displayed in Table 8. In any case, dissimilar to the ANOVA test for compressive strength, the impact of GF is close to the impact of concrete; this theory has been further developed in the previous study [Arunakanthi and Kumar 2016, Deshmukh et al 2012]. The impact of every variable is important for compressive strength, adaptable strength, and warm conductivity. This impact is steady with past preliminaries [Li et al 2019, Jaroslav and Výborný 2012].

The development effects are quite strong as the dots in green colour focus on the line. Consequently, the connection among perceived and advancement based environment results was found at 96.7%, which is equally strong with comparable development studies [62 - 64]. Figure 19 talks about the count plot reaction of GCC, GF, and flexibility. The consensus suggests that the increase in GCC and GF counts results in improved power test results. This impact should be visible in the effect of GF thought on adaptable energy structures, and the capacity of GCC development can be fill in for shortage and development as pozzolanic substances during hydration processes [Matschei et al 2007].

V.CONCLUSION

In this concentrate on the GF and GCC supplement FC were explored. The accompanying ends can be reached that the utilization of GF helpfully affects the mechanical properties of froth concrete. An expansion in GF prompts an expansion in the compressive strength of enormous mixtures. Along these lines, GF shows a similar impact as basalt fiber. GF has a limited impact on the flexibility of large compounds. These discoveries can be ascribed to the disintegration of fiber and the high hold strength among fiber and substantial mortar.

GFs add on large examples showing low compliance features compared to a control combination. At the end of the day, GF expansion increases the need for Water in the compounds. GCC, as a material with the advantages of concrete, is a fixing solution in foam concrete. With the augmentation of the GCC total in concrete, the compressive power increases. This was to be found in the Level-1 starter gathering. This was a result of the sub-atomic size of the GCC and the helpful result of the GCC on hydration. The expansion of the GCC extends the warm flexibility of the compounds. Compounds, where how much cement is something similar, GCC structure lacks because of its sub- atomic size and makes a dry thickness. The incorporation of GF likewise comparably affects the dry layer of froth concrete.

The quantity of cement in a large compound contributes significantly to the warm performance of the compounds. Along these lines, to get low warm conductivity, cement ought to be supplanted with chosen materials, like GCC. The improvement results are promising and help with reducing the amount of exploration office assessments that should be driven and separate the impact of the parts on the results.

REFERENCES

[1] Amran, Y. H. (2015). Mugahed, Nima Farzadnia, and AA Abang Ali." Properties and Applications of Foamed Concrete; A Review.". Construction and Building Materials, 101, 990-1005.

[2] Tiong, H. Y., Lim, S. K., Lee, Y. L., Ong, C. F., and Yew, M. K. (2020). Environmental Impact and Quality Assessment of Using Eggshell Powder Incorporated in Lightweight Foamed Concrete. Construction and Building Materials, 244, 118341.

[3] Alaloul, W. S., Musarat, M. A., Haruna, S., Law, K., Tayeh, B. A., Rafiq, W., and Ayub, S. (2021). Mechanical Properties of Silica Fume Modified High-Volume Fly Ash Rubberized Self-Compacting Concrete. Sustainability, 13(10), 5571.

[4] Lesovik, V., Voronov, V., Glagolev, E., Fediuk, R., Alaskhanov, A., Amran, Y. M., ... and Baranov, A. (2020). Improving the Behaviors of Foam Concrete Through the Use of Composite Binder. Journal of Building Engineering, 31, 101414.

[5] Eltayeb, E., Ma, X., Zhuge, Y., Youssf, O., and Mills, J. E. (2020). Influence of Rubber Particles on the Properties of Foam Concrete. Journal of Building Engineering, 30, 101217.

[6] Mustafa, M. A. T., Hanafi, I., Mahmoud, R., and Tayeh, B. A. (2019, August). Effect of Partial Replacement of Sand by Plastic Waste on Impact Resistance of Concrete: Experiment and Simulation. In Structures (Vol. 20, pp. 519-526). Elsevier.

[7] Lim, S. K., Tan, C. S., Lim, O. Y., and Lee, Y. L. (2013). Fresh and Hardened Properties of Lightweight Foamed Concrete with Palm Oil Fuel Ash as Filler. Construction and building materials, 46, 39-47.

[8] Ibrahim, O. M. O., and Tayeh, B. A. (2020). Combined Effect of Lightweight Fine Aggregate and Micro Rubber Ash on the Properties of Cement Mortar. Advances in Concrete Construction, 10(6), 537-546.

[9] Alaloul, W. S., Musarat, M. A., Tayeh, B. A., Sivalingam, S., Rosli, M.

F. B., Haruna, S., and Khan, M. I. (2020). Mechanical and Deformation Properties of Rubberized Engineered Cementitious Composite (ECC). Case Studies in Construction Materials, 13, e00385.

[10] Ramamurthy, K., Nambiar, E. K., and Ranjani, G. I. S. (2009). A Classification of Studies on Properties of Foam Concrete. Cement and Concrete Composites, 31(6), 388-396.

[11] Raj, A., Sathyan, D., and Mini, K. M. (2019). Physical and Functional Characteristics of Foam Concrete: A Review. Construction and Building Materials, 221, 787-799.

[12] He, J., Gao, Q., Song, X., Bu, X., and He, J. (2019). Effect of Foaming Agent on Physical and Mechanical Properties of Alkali-activated Slag Foamed Concrete. Construction and Building Materials, 226, 280-287.

[13] Huo, H., Bobet, A., Fernández, G., and Ramirez, J. (2005). Load Transfer Mechanisms Between Underground Structure and Surrounding Ground: Evaluation of the Failure of the Daikai Station. Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering, 131(12), 1522-1533.

[14] Ma, S., Chen, W., and Zhao, W. (2019). Mechanical Properties and Associated Seismic Isolation Effects of Foamed Concrete Layer in Rock Tunnel. Journal of Rock Mechanics and Geotechnical Engineering, 11(1), 159-171.

[15] Kim, D. S., and Konagai, K. (2000). Seismic Isolation Effect of a Tunnel Covered with Coating Material. Tunnelling and Underground Space Technology, 15(4), 437-443.

[16] Mydin, M. O., Zamzani, N. M., and Ghani, A. A. (2019). Experimental Data on Compressive and Flexural Strengths of Coir Fibre Reinforced Foamed Concrete at Elevated Temperatures. Data in brief, 25, 104320.

[17] Ramamurthy, K., Nambiar, E. K., and Ranjani, G. I. S. (2009). A Classification of Studies on Properties of Foam Concrete. Cement and Concrete Composites, 31(6), 388-396.

[18] L. cycle assessment. Technical Committee ISO/TC 207, Environmental management, Subcommittee SC 5, ISO 14040:2006 Environmental management — Life cycle assessment — Principles and framework, 1997 (2006).

[19] Chandni, T. J., and Anand, K. B. (2018). Utilization of Recycled Waste as Filler in Foam Concrete. Journal of Building Engineering, 19, 154-160.

[20] Lim, S. K., Tan, C. S., Zhao, X., and Ling, T. C. (2015). Strength and Toughness of Lightweight Foamed Concrete with Different Sand Grading. KSCE Journal of Civil Engineering, 19, 2191-2197.

[21] Nambiar, E. K., and Ramamurthy, K. (2006). Influence of Filler Type on the Properties of Foam Concrete. Cement and Concrete Composites, 28(5), 475-480.

[22] Bing, C., Zhen, W., and Ning, L. (2012). Experimental Research on Properties of High-strength Foamed Concrete. Journal of Materials in Civil Engineering, 24(1), 113-118.

[23] Hilal, A. A., Thom, N. H., and Dawson, A. R. (2015). On Void Structure and Strength of Foamed Concrete Made without/with Additives. Construction and Building Materials, 85, 157-164.

[24] Hilal, A. A., Thom, N., and Dawson, A. (2015). The use of Additives to Enhance Properties of Pre-formed Foamed Concrete. International Journal of Engineering and Technology, 7(4).

[25] Oren, O. H., Gholampour, A., Gencel, O., and Ozbakkaloglu, T. (2020). Physical and Mechanical Properties of Foam Concretes Containing Granulated Blast Furnace Slag as Fine Aggregate. Construction and Building Materials, 238, 117774.

[26] Li, P., Wu, H., Liu, Y., Yang, J., Fang, Z., and Lin, B. (2019). Preparation and Optimization of Ultra-light and Thermal Insulative Aerogel Foam Concrete. Construction and Building Materials, 205, 529-542.

[27] Toppi, T., and Mazzarella, L. (2013). Gypsum Based Composite Materials with Micro-encapsulated PCM: Experimental Correlations for Thermal Properties Estimation on the Basis of the Composition. Energy and Buildings, 57, 227-236.

[28] Chung, S. Y., Abd Elrahman, M., Kim, J. S., Han, T. S., Stephan, D., and Sikora, P. (2019). Comparison of Lightweight Aggregate and Foamed Concrete with the Same Density level Using Image-based Characterizations. Construction and Building Materials, 211, 988-999.

[29] Dhavale, S., Watharkar, S., Kochrekar, P., Jadhav, R., and Phadatare, D. (2020). Cellular Light Weight Concrete using Glass Fiber. Int. J. Eng. Res., 9, 523-527.

[30] Pj, J. D., and Kotteeswaran, S. (2018). Study on Properties of Foam Concrete Using Fibers. Int. J. Rehabil. Res, 5, 187-192.

[31] Dawood, E. T., and Hamad, A. J. (2013). High Performance Lightweight Concrete Reinforced with Glass Fibers. AL-Mansour Journal, 20(1), 73-87.

[32] Nuruddin, M. F., and Bayuaji, R. (2009). Application of Taguchi's Approach in the Optimization of Mix Proportion for Microwave Incinerated Rice Husk Ash Foamed Concrete. International Journal of Civil & Environmental Engineering, 9(09), 121-29.

[33] Yıldızel, S. A., and Çalış, G. (2019). Design and Optimization of Basalt Fiber Added Lightweight Pumice Concrete Using Taguchi Method.

[34] Sevinc, A. H., Durgun, M. Y., and Eken, M. (2017). A Taguchi Approach for Investigating the Engineering Properties of Concretes Incorporating Barite, Colemanite, Basaltic Pumice and Ground Blast Furnace Slag. Construction and Building Materials, 135, 343-351.

[35] Hadi, M. N., Farhan, N. A., and Sheikh, M. N. (2017). Design of Geopolymer Concrete With GGBFS at Ambient Curing Condition using Taguchi Method. Construction and Building Materials, 140, 424-431..

[36] Bayramov, F., Taşdemir, C., and Taşdemir, M. A. (2004). Optimisation of Steel Fibre Reinforced Concretes by Means of Statistical Response Surface Method. Cement and concrete composites, 26(6), 665-675.

[37] Cihan, M. T., Güner, A., and Yüzer, N. (2013). Response Surfaces for Compressive Strength of Concrete. Construction and Building Materials, 40, 763-774.

[38] Darby, A., Clarke, J., Shave, J. D., and Ibell, T. (2012). Design Guidance for Strengthening Concrete Structures Using Fibre Composite Materials: Report of a Concrete Society Working Party. The Concrete Society.

[39] Yildizel, S. A., Tayeh, B. A., and Calis, G. (2020). Experimental and Modelling Study of Mixture Design Optimisation of Glass Fibre- Reinforced Concrete with Combined Utilisation of Taguchi and Extreme Vertices Design Techniques. Journal of Materials Research and Technology, 9(2), 2093-2106.

[40] Amin, M., Tayeh, B. A., and Agwa, I. S. (2020). Effect of Using Mineral Admixtures and Ceramic Wastes as Coarse Aggregates on Properties of Ultrahigh-performance Concrete. Journal of Cleaner Production, 273, 123073.

[41] Tayeh, B. A. (2018). Effects of Marble, Timber, and Glass Powder as Partial Replacements for Cement. Journal of Civil Engineering and Construction, 7(2), 63-71.

[42] Péra, J., Husson, S., and Guilhot, B. (1999). Influence of Finely Ground Limestone on Cement Hydration. Cement and Concrete Composites, 21(2), 99-105.

[43] Lertwattanaruk, P., Sua-iam, G., and Makul, N. (2018). Effects of Calcium Carbonate Powder on the Fresh and Hardened Properties of Self-consolidating Concrete Incorporating Untreated Rice Husk Ash. Journal of Cleaner Production, 172, 3265-3278.

[44] Ganesan, S., Othuman Mydin, M. A., Mohd Yunos, M. Y., and Mohd Nawi, M. N. (2015). Thermal Properties of Foamed Concrete with Various Densities and Additives at Ambient Temperature. In Applied Mechanics and Materials (Vol. 747, pp. 230-233). Trans Tech Publications Ltd.

[45] Jones, M. R., and McCarthy, A. (2005). Preliminary Views on the Potential of Foamed Concrete as a Structural Material. Magazine of Concrete Research, 57(1), 21-31.

[46] Aldikheeli, M. R., and Shubber, M. S. (2020). The Effects of Fibre on the Mechanical Properties of Aerated Concrete. In IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering (Vol. 671, No. 1, p. 012076). IOP Publishing.

[47] Pehlivanlı, Z. O., Uzun, I., and Demir, I. (2015). Mechanical and Microstructural Features of Autoclaved Aerated Concrete Reinforced with Autoclaved Polypropylene, Carbon, Basalt and Glass Fiber. Construction and Building Materials, 96, 428-433.

[48] Zhou, H., Jia, B., Huang, H., and Mou, Y. (2020). Experimental Study on Basic Mechanical Properties of Basalt Fiber Reinforced Concrete. Materials, 13(6), 1362.

[49] Falliano, D., De Domenico, D., Ricciardi, G., and Gugliandolo, E. (2019). Improving the Flexural Capacity of Extrudable Foamed Concrete with Glass-fiber Bi-directional Grid Reinforcement: An Experimental Study. Composite Structures, 209, 45-59.

[50] Silva, N., Mueller, U., Malaga, K., Hallingberg, P., and Cederqvist, C. (2015, August). Foam Concrete-aerogel Composite for Thermal Insulation in Lightweight Sandwich Facade Elements. In Proceedings of the 27th Biennial National Conference of the Concrete Institute of Australia in Conjunction with the 69th RILEM Week, Melbourne, Australia (Vol. 30).

[51] Roussel, N., and Le Roy, R. (2005). The Marsh cone: a Test or a Rheological Apparatus?. Cement and concrete research, 35(5), 823-830.

[52] Hamad, A. J., and Dawood, E. T. (2013). Effect of Glass Fibers on Mechanical Properties of Structural Lightweight Foamed Concrete (SLFC). University of Thi-Qar Journal for Engineering Sciences, 4(3), 108-126.

[53] Rooholamini, H., Hassani, A., and Aliha, M. R. M. (2018). Evaluating the Effect of Macro-synthetic Fibre on the Mechanical Properties of Roller-compacted Concrete Pavement Using Response Surface Methodology. Construction and Building Materials, 159, 517-529.

[54] Murthy, Y. I., Sharda, A., and Jain, G. (2012). Performance of Glass Fiber Reinforced Concrete. International Journal of Engineering and Innovative Technology, 1(6).

[55] Awang, H., and Ahmad, M. H. (2014). Durability Properties of Foamed Concrete with Fiber Inclusion. International Journal of Civil, Structural, Construction and Architectural Engineering, 8(3), 273-276.

[56] Arunakanthi, E., and Kumar, J. C. (2016). Experimental Studies on Fiber Reinforced Concrete (FRC). International Journal of Civil Engineering and Technology, 7(5), 329-336.

[57] Deshmukh, S. H., Bhusari, J. P., and Zende, A. M. (2012). Effect of Glass Fibers on Ordinary Portland Cement Concrete. IOSR Journal of Engineering, 2(6), 1308-1312.

[58] D.C. Johnston, Fiber-Reinforced Cements and Concretes, Taylor & Francis, London, 2010.

[59] Kumar, D., Rex, L. K., Sethuraman, V. S., Gokulnath, V., and Saravanan,

B. (2020). High Performance Glass Fiber Reinforced Concrete. Materials Today: Proceedings, 33, 784-788.

[60] Liu, J., Jia, Y., and Wang, J. (2019). Experimental Study on Mechanical and Durability Poperties of Glass and Polypropylene Fiber Reinforced Concrete. Fibers and Polymers, 20, 1900-1908.

[61] Jaroslav, T., and Výborný, A. (2012). Determination of Thermal Conductivity, Chapter 16. Determ. Therm. Conduct.

[62] De Larrard, F., and Sedran, T. (1994). Optimization of Ultra-High- Performance Concrete by the Use of a Packing Model. Cement and Concrete Research, 24(6), 997-1009.

[63] Shakhmenko, G., and Birsh, J. (1998, January). Concrete Mix Design and Optimization. In Proceedings of the 2nd International Symposium in Civil Engineering (pp. 1-8).

[64] DeRousseau, M.A., Kasprzyk, J.R., and Srubar Iii, W.V. (2018). Computational Design Optimization of Concrete Mixtures: A review. Cement and Concrete Research, 109, 42-53.

[65] Matschei, T., Lothenbach, B., and Glasser, F.P. (2007). The Role of Calcium Carbonate in Cement Hydration. Cement and concrete research, 37(4), 551-558.