



AGRICULTURAL CHEMISTRY AND CLIMATE CHANGE: A REVIEW OF THE IMPACT OF GLOBAL WARMING ON CROP YIELDS, FOOD SECURITY, AND SUSTAINABLE AGRICULTURE

Maria Iqbal¹, Anmol Kaynat², Muhammad Safdar³, Habiba Taj⁴, Sadaf Ashraf⁵, Hassan Akhtar⁶, Muhammad Ishtiaq^{7*}, Nisha⁸

Abstract

Climate change poses a significant threat to global food security, with rising temperatures and changing precipitation patterns impacting crop yields and sustainable agriculture. This review paper provides a comprehensive overview of the chemistry of climate change in the context of agricultural production, discussing the effects of global warming on crop growth, productivity, and nutritional value. The paper examines the role of agricultural chemistry in mitigating the impacts of climate change, including strategies for reducing greenhouse gas emissions, improving soil health, and developing climate-resilient crops. The paper highlights the chemical and biochemical processes affected by rising temperatures and changing precipitation patterns, including photosynthesis, respiration, and nutrient cycling. The impact of climate change on crop yields, nutritional value, and food security is analyzed, with a focus on the most vulnerable regions and populations. The review also discusses the potential of sustainable agricultural practices, such as organic farming, agroforestry, and conservation agriculture, to reduce greenhouse gas emissions and improve soil health. The development of climate-resilient crops through genetic engineering and breeding is also explored, as well as the potential for biofertilizers and biopesticides to reduce the environmental impact of agricultural production. The paper concludes with a call to action for researchers, policymakers, and agricultural practitioners to address the challenges of climate change and ensure a sustainable food future. The review provides a valuable resource for understanding the complex relationships between agricultural chemistry, climate change, and food security, and highlights the need for interdisciplinary approaches to address these global challenges.

Keywords: Agricultural chemistry, Climate change, Global warming, Crop yields, Food security, Sustainable agriculture, Environmental chemistry, Greenhouse gases, Carbon footprint.

¹Department of Botany, Government College University Faisalabad, Pakistan.

²Institute of Horticulture Sciences, University of Agriculture Faisalabad.

³NCGSA- Agricultural Remote Sensing Lab, Department of Irrigation and Drainage, University of Agriculture Faisalabad.

^{4,5}Department of Chemistry, University of Balochistan.

⁶Scientific Officer, PARC-Balochistan Agriculture Research Development Centre.

^{7*}Department of Botany, Mirpur University of Science and Technology, (MUST) AJK, Mirpur-10250, Pakistan, Department of Botany, Climate Change Research Centre, Herbarium and Biodiversity Conservation Laboratory, Azad Jammu and Kashmir University of Bhimber (AJKUoB), Bhimber-10040, AJK, Pakistan

⁸Department of Food Engineering, University of Agriculture, Faisalabad

***Corresponding Author:** Muhammad Ishtiaq

*Department of Botany, Mirpur University of Science and Technology, (MUST) AJK, Mirpur-10250, Pakistan, Department of Botany, Climate Change Research Centre, Herbarium and Biodiversity Conservation Laboratory, Azad Jammu and Kashmir University of Bhimber (AJKUoB), Bhimber-10040, AJK, Pakistan
Email:- drishtiaq.bot@must.edu.pk

DOI: 10.53555/ecb/2024.13.05.10

1. Introduction

Climate change has become a significant concern for agriculture globally, with various studies highlighting its impacts on agricultural production, trade, food security, and livelihoods. The sensitivity of the agricultural sector to climatic conditions makes it particularly vulnerable to the effects of climate change (Khunt & Jadav, 2022). Changes in temperature, precipitation patterns, extreme weather events, and the spread of pests and diseases are some of the manifestations of climate change that directly affect agricultural productivity (M. et al., 2022). These changes lead to uncertainties in agricultural activities, posing challenges for farmers in adapting to new conditions (Kouassi et al., 2022).

Studies have shown that climate change has already resulted in a decline in crop yields, leading to food insecurity and reduced incomes for rural communities (Rusmayandi et al., 2023). The impact of climate change on agriculture is not limited to production but also extends to agricultural trade and food security, affecting both developed and developing economies (Sabola, 2023). In regions like Pakistan, the impact of climate change on agriculture has been studied extensively, showing evidence of changes in agricultural production and trade patterns (Khan et al., 2019).

Efforts to address the challenges posed by climate change on agriculture include the promotion of climate-smart agricultural practices, the development of adaptive measures, and the enhancement of farmers' awareness and perception of climate change (Y. Soliman Shaimaa Helmy sabbah", 2021; Raghuvanshi, 2017; Tilahun, 2020).

Climate-smart agriculture is seen as a promising approach to increase food productivity, enhance resilience to climate change impacts, and reduce greenhouse gas emissions in agricultural systems (Mussa et al., 2015). Additionally, the importance of capacity building for agricultural extension workers to educate farmers on climate change adaptation strategies has been emphasized (Ogunbameru et al., 2013).

In conclusion, climate change poses significant threats to agriculture worldwide, impacting crop yields, trade, food security, and livelihoods. Addressing these challenges requires a multi-faceted approach that includes implementing climate-smart agricultural practices, enhancing farmers' awareness and adaptive capacity, and

developing policies that support sustainable agricultural systems in the face of changing climatic conditions.

Agricultural chemistry is essential for understanding and addressing the impacts of climate change on agriculture. Climate change affects various aspects of agriculture, such as soil properties, crop growth, and pest management, all of which are closely linked to chemical processes in the agricultural ecosystem. It is crucial to comprehend the chemical composition of soils under changing climatic conditions to predict alterations in soil fertility and nutrient availability, which in turn affect crop productivity (Ozlu et al., 2022). Additionally, the utilization of agrochemicals for climate change adaptation, including weed and pest control, necessitates a profound understanding of their chemical properties and interactions with the environment (Ifeanyi-obi & Ekere, 2021).

Furthermore, the influence of climate change on water resources, a critical component of agriculture, is intricately connected to agricultural chemistry. Changes in soil moisture content, temperature, and water-filled pore space directly impact greenhouse gas emissions and water demand for irrigation, underscoring the significance of managing carbon footprints through agricultural practices (Drastig et al., 2012; Ozlu et al., 2022). Moreover, the implementation of agricultural systems to adapt to climate change, known as climate-smart agriculture, involves applying chemical principles to develop sustainable practices that enhance resilience to climate stressors while reducing greenhouse gas emissions (Mwongera et al., 2017).

In addressing the impacts of climate change on agriculture, agricultural chemistry plays a pivotal role in developing strategies for soil conservation, optimizing nutrient management, and mitigating the environmental effects of agricultural practices. By integrating chemical knowledge into agricultural practices, farmers can enhance crop yields, improve soil health, and contribute to sustainable agricultural systems that are resilient to climate change (Aarthi et al., 2021). Therefore, agricultural chemistry serves as a fundamental tool in comprehending the intricate interactions between climate change and agriculture, guiding the development of innovative solutions to mitigate the adverse effects of climate change on food security and livelihoods.

2. Effects of Global Warming on Crop Yields and Food Security

Global warming, a significant aspect of climate change, has profound implications for crop yields and food security worldwide. Studies have shown that rising temperatures negatively impact major crop production, leading to reduced yields and posing a threat to food security Zhao et al. (2017) Farooq et al., 2023; Roudier et al., 2011). The severity of these impacts intensifies with warming, with projections indicating substantial yield losses for staple crops like rice, soybeans, maize, barley, and sunflower by 2050 ("Analysis of Climate Change Effects on Food Security in Egypt Using IMPACT Model. Saad Zaki Nassr Yosri Nasr Ahmed Gamal Mohamed Siam Nayera Y. Soliman Shaimaa Helmy sabbah", 2021). Additionally, the changing climate alters the types of crops that can be grown in specific regions, affecting agricultural inputs such as water availability and solar radiation, which are crucial for plant growth (Prema & Kanchana, 2019).

The adverse effects of global warming on crop yields have economic repercussions, with estimates suggesting a significant decline in yields for various crop groups and regions, further exacerbating food insecurity (Nelson et al., 2013; Asseng et al., 2013). However, adaptation strategies, such as optimized planting dates and cultivars with longer crop cycles, have the potential to offset some of the negative impacts of climate change on crop yields, offering a pathway to enhance food production and security (Carr et al., 2022). Furthermore, the exposure of crops to extremely high temperatures due to global warming poses a significant threat to food security, emphasizing the urgent need for adaptive measures (Yue et al., 2022).

In conclusion, the effects of global warming on crop yields and food security are multifaceted, encompassing changes in crop productivity, water availability, pest and disease prevalence, and economic implications. Understanding the intricate relationship between global warming and agriculture is crucial for developing targeted adaptive strategies to mitigate the adverse impacts on crop yields and ensure food security in the face of a changing climate.

2.1 Impact of Rising Temperatures and Changing Precipitation Patterns on Chemical and Biochemical Processes

Rising temperatures and changing precipitation patterns associated with global warming have significant implications for chemical and biochemical processes in ecosystems. The

alterations in temperature and precipitation regimes influence various chemical reactions and biochemical pathways that are crucial for plant growth, nutrient cycling, and overall ecosystem functioning.

Changes in precipitation patterns can impact the availability and distribution of nutrients in the soil, affecting plant nutrient uptake and growth. For instance, extreme precipitation events can lead to nutrient leaching, where essential nutrients are washed away from the root zone, reducing their availability for plants Zeppel et al. (2014). This disruption in nutrient cycling can have cascading effects on plant productivity and ecosystem dynamics.

Moreover, rising temperatures can influence biochemical processes in plants, such as photosynthesis and respiration. Increased temperatures can accelerate biochemical reactions in plants, affecting their metabolic rates and energy production. Changes in temperature can also impact enzyme activity, altering the rates of biochemical reactions involved in nutrient uptake and utilization by plants (Zhang et al., 2019).

The interplay between temperature and precipitation changes can also affect the composition and productivity of plant communities. Enhanced precipitation variability, coupled with rising temperatures, can lead to shifts in plant species composition, favoring certain species over others (Gherardi & Sala, 2015). These changes in plant community structure can have implications for ecosystem stability, biodiversity, and nutrient cycling processes.

Furthermore, alterations in precipitation patterns can influence soil microbial communities and their biochemical activities. Soil microorganisms play a vital role in nutrient cycling, decomposition of organic matter, and soil carbon sequestration. Changes in precipitation regimes can impact the abundance and diversity of soil microbes, consequently affecting soil nutrient availability and organic matter decomposition rates (Xie et al., 2023).

In conclusion, the complex interactions between rising temperatures and changing precipitation patterns have far-reaching effects on chemical and biochemical processes in ecosystems. Understanding these impacts is crucial for predicting how ecosystems will respond to climate change and developing strategies to mitigate potential disruptions to essential ecological processes.

3. Role of Agricultural Chemistry in Mitigating Climate Change

Agricultural chemistry is essential in addressing climate change impacts on agriculture by implementing practices that reduce greenhouse gas emissions, enhance soil health, and improve resilience to climate stressors. By integrating chemical knowledge into agricultural strategies, farmers can adopt practices that contribute to climate change mitigation while ensuring sustainable food production.

One significant way agricultural chemistry contributes to climate change mitigation is through the adoption of climate-smart agricultural practices. These practices aim to increase agricultural productivity, enhance resilience to climate change impacts, and reduce greenhouse gas emissions in agricultural systems Arbuckle et al. (2013). By understanding the chemical processes involved in soil health and nutrient management, farmers can implement practices such as conservation tillage, cover cropping, and precision nutrient management to sequester carbon in soils and reduce emissions (Scherr et al., 2012).

Moreover, agricultural chemistry can assist in optimizing fertilizer use to minimize nitrogen losses and reduce greenhouse gas emissions. By applying the appropriate type and amount of fertilizers based on soil nutrient requirements, farmers can enhance nutrient use efficiency, reduce nitrogen leaching, and mitigate emissions of nitrous oxide, a potent greenhouse gas (Smith & Olesen, 2010). Additionally, the use of biochar, a carbon-rich material produced from organic matter, can help sequester carbon in soils and improve soil fertility, thereby contributing to climate change mitigation (Meijl et al., 2018).

Furthermore, agricultural chemistry can aid in developing innovative crop varieties that are more resilient to climate stressors such as drought, heat, and pests. By understanding the biochemical pathways involved in plant stress responses, researchers can breed crops with enhanced tolerance to environmental challenges, thereby reducing yield losses and ensuring food security under changing climatic conditions (Barbieri, 2024).

In conclusion, agricultural chemistry plays a crucial role in mitigating climate change impacts on agriculture by promoting sustainable practices that reduce greenhouse gas emissions, enhance soil health, and improve crop resilience. By leveraging chemical knowledge and innovative technologies, farmers can adapt to climate change challenges while contributing to global efforts to combat climate change.

3.1 Strategies for reducing greenhouse gas emissions from agricultural activities

Strategies for reducing greenhouse gas emissions from agricultural activities are crucial for mitigating climate change impacts. Improved cropland and grazing land management, as well as the restoration of degraded lands and cultivated organic soils, are prominent practices that can potentially mitigate greenhouse gas emissions in agriculture Smith et al. (2007). Reduced tillage or no-till practices have been advocated as effective strategies to reduce emissions in the agricultural sector by minimizing soil disturbance and carbon loss (Lutz et al., 2019; Six et al., 2004). Additionally, the reduction of non-CO₂ greenhouse gas emissions, such as methane and nitrous oxide, presents a quick way to contribute to overall emission reduction goals (Montzka et al., 2011).

Precision agriculture technologies, including optimized fertilizer use and crop management, have been shown to positively contribute to greenhouse gas emissions mitigation while enhancing farm productivity and economics (Balafoutis et al., 2017). Furthermore, the adoption of organic fertilizers and sustainable agricultural methodologies can help reduce emissions from the livestock sector, emphasizing the importance of manure management and innovative breeding techniques (Etik & Sudarno, 2018; Dlamini & Dube, 2014). Overall, a combination of these strategies, tailored to specific agricultural contexts, is essential for achieving significant reductions in greenhouse gas emissions from agricultural activities and advancing climate change mitigation efforts.

3.2 Application sustainable agricultural practices

To enhance soil health and promote carbon sequestration in agriculture, various strategies can be implemented based on scientific evidence. Conservation agriculture practices, such as reduced tillage, cover cropping, and biochar applications, have been widely adopted to increase soil organic carbon levels and decrease greenhouse gas emissions while maintaining crop productivity Bai et al. (2019). Furthermore, the adoption of climate-smart agriculture practices, including conservation tillage and cover crops, presents opportunities to improve soil structure, nutrient and water use efficiency, and soil organic carbon levels, thereby helping to mitigate greenhouse gas emissions from agriculture (Ghosh et al., 2020).

Additionally, the use of organic fertilizers, biochar, and long-term conservation agriculture practices can contribute to soil carbon sequestration, soil health improvement, and reduction of greenhouse gas emissions in agricultural systems (Nair et al., 2017; Nilsson & Rosenqvist, 2021). By integrating these sustainable practices into agricultural management, farmers can enhance soil resilience, boost soil fertility, and support climate change mitigation efforts through soil carbon sequestration.

3.3 Development of climate-resilient crops through genetic engineering and breeding

To develop climate-resilient crops through genetic engineering and breeding, a combination of innovative approaches and technologies can be employed. Advances in genomics and phenomics have revolutionized the discovery of genes conferring drought tolerance in crop wild relatives, facilitating their transfer into advanced breeding lines to accelerate the development of resilient varieties capable of withstanding prolonged drought periods Rosero et al. (2020). The integration of high-throughput DNA sequencing, precise phenotyping, and advanced genome engineering techniques can support the identification and introduction of alleles for climate-change traits, paving the way for the development of climate-resilient crops (Varshney et al., 2018).

Furthermore, the utilization of multi-omics technologies in conjunction with modern plant breeding and genetic engineering methods offers a comprehensive approach to developing nutritionally-rich and climate-smart crops that can meet the demands of food, nutrition, and energy sustainably (Zenda et al., 2021). By harnessing the genetic diversity present in crop wild relatives and landraces, breeding programs can introgress valuable traits into cultivated crops, resulting in climate-resilient varieties with low-input requirements (Kapazoglou et al., 2023). Overall, the application of precision genome editing, genomic-assisted breeding, and genetic engineering holds promise for enhancing stress resilience in crop species and accelerating the development of climate-resilient cultivars (Nerkar et al., 2022; Rahman et al., 2022).

4. Sustainable Agricultural Practices and Policy Changes

To promote sustainable agricultural practices and drive policy changes, it is crucial to adopt a holistic approach that integrates ecological, economic, and social dimensions. Sustainable agriculture involves

practices that aim to meet current food and fiber needs while enhancing environmental quality, conserving resources, and ensuring economic viability Knutson et al. (2011) Baptista et al., (2019). These practices typically address climate change, soil quality, water management, conservation agriculture, and sustainable production to enhance resilience and sustainability in agricultural systems (Mockshell & Kamanda, 2018). Policy changes are instrumental in advancing sustainable agriculture by aligning incentives, providing support for adoption, and creating a conducive environment for sustainable practices (Kato, 2021). Governments can facilitate the transition towards more sustainable agricultural systems by developing policies that bolster agricultural production, promote sustainable practices, and support changes in farmer behavior ("Sustainable Agricultural Practices in Climate Change Adaptation and Mitigation: The Case of India", 2018).

Additionally, research and outreach efforts are essential for educating stakeholders about the benefits of sustainable agricultural practices, encouraging adoption, and addressing challenges related to transitioning to sustainable agriculture (Drsquo et al., 2013). Embracing organic farming, regenerative practices, and ecological conservation can align agricultural activities with environmental stewardship, resource conservation, and rural community development (Lamm et al., 2023). Overall, integrating sustainable agricultural practices with supportive policies, research initiatives, and community engagement is crucial for advancing sustainable agriculture and ensuring a resilient and sustainable food system for the future (Rockström et al., 2016).

5. Overview of sustainable agricultural practices

Sustainable agricultural practices, such as organic farming, agroforestry, and conservation agriculture, play a vital role in promoting environmental stewardship, enhancing soil health, and ensuring long-term agricultural sustainability. Organic farming, characterized by the avoidance of synthetic inputs and the promotion of biodiversity and soil health, aligns with principles of agroecology and sustainable land management (Ghosh et al., 2019). Agroforestry integrates trees and shrubs into agricultural landscapes, providing multiple benefits such as improved soil fertility, carbon sequestration, and biodiversity conservation (Tuomisto et al., 2012). Conservation agriculture, which involves minimal soil disturbance, permanent soil cover, and crop rotations, aims to

enhance soil health, water retention, and reduce erosion, contributing to sustainable land management practices (Hobbs et al., 2007). Organic farming practices focus on holistic production management systems that promote agro-ecosystem health, biodiversity, and soil biological activity (Ghosh et al., 2019). Agroforestry systems not only enhance biodiversity and landscapes but also offer additional benefits such as carbon sequestration, soil erosion control, and reduced nitrogen leaching (Tuomisto et al., 2012). Conservation agriculture, with its emphasis on minimal soil disturbance and permanent soil cover, contributes to increased agricultural sustainability and the potential mitigation of greenhouse gas emissions (Hobbs et al., 2007). These sustainable agricultural practices are essential for maintaining ecosystem services, enhancing soil fertility, and promoting resilient agricultural systems that can adapt to changing environmental conditions.

6. policy changes and international cooperation needed to address climate change and ensure food security

Addressing climate change and ensuring food security require a multifaceted approach involving policy changes and international cooperation. Policy changes are essential to incentivize sustainable agricultural practices, promote climate-smart agriculture, and enhance resilience in food systems. International cooperation plays a crucial role in sharing knowledge, resources, and technologies to address global challenges related to climate change and food security. Collaborative efforts among nations can facilitate the development and implementation of policies that promote sustainable agriculture, mitigate climate change impacts, and ensure food security for vulnerable populations Parry et al. (1999) Ingutia, 2021). By engaging in international dialogues, sharing best practices, and coordinating efforts, countries can work together to address the complex interplay between climate change, agriculture, and food security (Kifle, 2021; Islam & Kieu, 2020). Policy interventions at the national and international levels are needed to support small-scale farmers, build climate resilience in the agricultural sector, and promote sustainable practices that enhance food security (Masipa, 2017; Hedlund et al., 2022). By adopting a holistic approach that integrates climate change adaptation, sustainable agriculture, and food security initiatives, policymakers and stakeholders can work towards a more resilient and sustainable food system that can withstand the challenges posed by

climate change and ensure food security for all. International cooperation is crucial in addressing the transboundary nature of climate change impacts and food security challenges, emphasizing the need for coordinated action and shared responsibility to build a more sustainable and secure future for all.

7. Summary

The interaction between climate change and agriculture poses significant challenges to global food security and livelihoods. Studies have highlighted the adverse impacts of climate change on agricultural production, trade patterns, and economic stability. To address these challenges, a shift towards climate-smart agricultural practices and the development of climate-smart landscapes are essential for enhancing food security, rural livelihoods, and climate resilience. The economic consequences of climate change on agriculture underscore the urgency of prioritizing sustainable agricultural practices and policies to mitigate these impacts. International cooperation is crucial in formulating and implementing policies that support sustainable agriculture, climate change adaptation, and food security for vulnerable populations. By integrating sustainable agricultural practices with enabling policies and international collaboration, stakeholders can work towards building a more resilient and sustainable food system in the face of climate change challenges.

8. References

1. (2021). Analysis of climate change effects on food security in egypt using impact model. saad zaki nassr yosri nasr ahmed gamal mohamed siam nayera y. soliman shaimaa helmy sabbah. *المجلة المصرية للاقتصاد*, 31(3), 1002-1011. <https://doi.org/10.21608/meae.2021.221571>
2. Khan, Y., Qiu, B., & Hassan, T. (2019). The impact of climate changes on agriculture export trade in pakistan: evidence from time-series analysis. *Growth and Change*, 50(4), 1568-1589. <https://doi.org/10.1111/grow.12333>
3. Khunt, K. and Jadav, N. (2022). Relationship analysis between farmers' profile and their climate change perception. *Gujarat Journal of Extension Education*, 2022(1), 80-83. <https://doi.org/10.56572/gjoee.2022.si.0015>
4. Kouassi, A., Gnanangouin, A., Abdoul-Karim, N., & Khadara, D. (2022). Impacts of climate change on agroclimatic indicators in west africa: case study of the n'zi (bandama) watershed in côte d'ivoire. *Current Journal of*

- Applied Science and Technology, 35-48. <https://doi.org/10.9734/cjast/2022/v41i434006>
5. M., N., Fayose, C., & Adeboye, K. (2022). Crop management innovations for climate change resilience in the post-pandemic era: a review. *IJAER*, 08(06), 752-764. <https://doi.org/10.51193/ijaer.2022.8604>
 6. Mussa, K., Saria, J., Kusiluka, L., Jiwaji, N., Gwambene, B., Pauline, N., ... & Mwangi, S. (2015). Eliciting smallholder farmers' tradeoffs and preferences on the attributes of climate smart agriculture in the breadbasket areas of tanzania using a conjoint experiment method. *International Journal of Environmental Protection and Policy*, 3(6), 188. <https://doi.org/10.11648/j.ijep.20150306.12>
 7. Ogunbameru, B., Mustapha, S., & Idrisa, Y. (2013). Capacity building for climate change adaptation: modules for agricultural extension curriculum development. *Russian Journal of Agricultural and Socio-Economic Sciences*, 14(2), 61-66. <https://doi.org/10.18551/rjoas.2013-02.07>
 8. Raghuvanshi, R. (2017). A study of farmers' awareness about climate change and adaptation practices in india. *International Journal of Applied Agricultural Sciences*, 3(6), 154. <https://doi.org/10.11648/j.ijaas.20170306.13>
 9. Rusmayandi, G., Hertini, E., Harahap, M., & Nugroho, R. (2023). Climate change, agricultural production, food security, and livelihoods of rural communities in rural districts: a qualitative approach. *West Science Interdisciplinary Studies*, 1(02), 9-17. <https://doi.org/10.58812/wsis.v1i02.43>
 10. Sabola, G. (2023). Climate change impacts on agricultural trade and food security in emerging economies: case of southern africa.. <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-3100294/v1>
 11. Tilahun, F. (2020). Major climate change adaptation and coping strategies in borana, southern ethiopia: a review. *JRDM*. <https://doi.org/10.7176/jrdm/69-01>
 12. (2021). Assessment of climate change training needs of agricultural extension agents in abia state, nigeria. *South African Journal of Agricultural Extension (Sajae)*, 49(3), 76-89. <https://doi.org/10.17159/2413-3221/2021/v49n3a12854>
 13. Aarathi, S., Kanagasabapathi, K., & Sakthivel, V. (2021). Obstacles experienced in practising improved agricultural methods to overcome the adverse effects of climate change. *Plant Archives*, 21(Suppliment-1), 1811-1813. <https://doi.org/10.51470/plantarchives.2021.v21.s1.289>
 14. Drastig, K., Prochnow, A., Kraatz, S., Libra, J., Krauß, M., Döring, K., ... & Hunstock, U. (2012). Modeling the water demand on farms. *Advances in Geosciences*, 32, 9-13. <https://doi.org/10.5194/adgeo-32-9-2012>
 15. Mwongera, C., Shikuku, K., Twyman, J., Läderach, P., Ampaire, E., Asten, P., ... & Winowiecki, L. (2017). Climate smart agriculture rapid appraisal (csa-ra): a tool for prioritizing context-specific climate smart agriculture technologies. *Agricultural Systems*, 151, 192-203. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agry.2016.05.009>
 16. Ozlu, E., Arriaga, F., Bilen, S., Gözükar, G., & Babur, E. (2022). Carbon footprint management by agricultural practices. *Biology*, 11(10), 1453. <https://doi.org/10.3390/biology11101453>
 17. (2021). Analysis of climate change effects on food security in egypt using impact model. saad zaki nassr yosri nasr ahmed gamal mohamed siam nayera y. soliman shaimaa helmy sabbah. *الزراعي للاقتصاد المصرية المجلة*, 31(3), 1002-1011. <https://doi.org/10.21608/meae.2021.221571>
 18. Asseng, S., Ewert, F., Rosenzweig, C., Jones, J., Hatfield, J., Ruane, A., ... & Wolf, J. (2013). Uncertainty in simulating wheat yields under climate change. *Nature Climate Change*, 3(9), 827-832. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate1916>
 19. Carr, T., Mkuhlani, S., Segnon, A., Ali, Z., Zougmore, R., Dangour, A., ... & Scheelbeek, P. (2022). Climate change impacts and adaptation strategies for crops in west africa: a systematic review. *Environmental Research Letters*, 17(5), 053001. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/ac61c8>
 20. Farooq, A., Farooq, N., Akbar, H., Hassan, Z., & Gheewala, S. (2023). A critical review of climate change impact at a global scale on cereal crop production. *Agronomy*, 13(1), 162. <https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy13010162>
 21. Nelson, G., Valin, H., Sands, R., Havlík, P., Ahammad, H., Deryng, D., ... & Willenbockel, D. (2013). Climate change effects on agriculture: economic responses to biophysical shocks. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 111(9), 3274-3279. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1222465110>
 22. Prema, D. and Kanchana, M. (2019). An empirical evidence of global warming and its impact on india's agricultural production. *Restaurant Business*, 118(10), 332-340. <https://doi.org/10.26643/rb.v118i10.9328>

23. Roudier, P., Sultan, B., Quirion, P., & Berg, A. (2011). The impact of future climate change on west african crop yields: what does the recent literature say?. *Global Environmental Change*, 21(3), 1073-1083. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2011.04.007>
24. Yue, Y., Su, P., Yuan, G., Zhang, P., Wang, R., Zhang, A., ... & Wang, J. (2022). Mapping global crop exposure to extremely high temperature., 149-165. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-16-6691-9_11
25. Zhao, C., Liu, B., Piao, S., Wang, X., Lobell, D., Huang, Y., ... & Asseng, S. (2017). Temperature increase reduces global yields of major crops in four independent estimates. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 114(35), 9326-9331. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1701762114>
26. Gherardi, L. and Sala, O. (2015). Enhanced precipitation variability decreases grass- and increases shrub-productivity. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 112(41), 12735-12740. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1506433112>
27. Xie, M., Li, L., Liu, B., & Wan, Q. (2023). Responses of terrestrial ecosystem productivity and community structure to intra-annual precipitation patterns: a meta-analysis. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 13. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2022.1088202>
28. Zeppel, M., Wilks, J., & Lewis, J. (2014). Impacts of extreme precipitation and seasonal changes in precipitation on plants. *Biogeosciences*, 11(11), 3083-3093. <https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-11-3083-2014>
29. Zhang, P., Grutters, B., Leeuwen, C., Xu, J., Petruzzella, A., Berg, R., ... & Bakker, E. (2019). Effects of rising temperature on the growth, stoichiometry, and palatability of aquatic plants. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 9. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2018.01947>
30. Arbuckle, J., Morton, L., & Hobbs, J. (2013). Farmer beliefs and concerns about climate change and attitudes toward adaptation and mitigation: evidence from iowa. *Climatic Change*, 118(3-4), 551-563. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-013-0700-0>
31. Barbieri, L. (2024). Climate change adaptation and mitigation in agriculture: a review of the evidence for synergies and tradeoffs. *Environmental Research Letters*, 19(1), 013005. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/ad1629>
32. Meijl, H., Havlik, P., Lotze-Campen, H., Stehfest, E., Witzke, P., Domínguez, I., ... & Zeist, W. (2018). Comparing impacts of climate change and mitigation on global agriculture by 2050. *Environmental Research Letters*, 13(6), 064021. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/aabdc4>
33. Scherr, S., Shames, S., & Friedman, R. (2012). From climate-smart agriculture to climate-smart landscapes. *Agriculture & Food Security*, 1(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/2048-7010-1-12>
34. Smith, P. and Olesen, J. (2010). Synergies between the mitigation of, and adaptation to, climate change in agriculture. *The Journal of Agricultural Science*, 148(5), 543-552. <https://doi.org/10.1017/s0021859610000341>
35. Balafoutis, A., Beck, B., Fountas, S., Vangeyte, J., Wal, T., Soto, I., ... & Eory, V. (2017). Precision agriculture technologies positively contributing to ghg emissions mitigation, farm productivity and economics. *Sustainability*, 9(8), 1339. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su9081339>
36. Dlamini, A. and Dube, M. (2014). Contribution of animal agriculture to greenhouse gases production in swaziland. *American Journal of Climate Change*, 03(03), 253-260. <https://doi.org/10.4236/ajcc.2014.33024>
37. Etik, P. and Sudarno, S. (2018). Analysis of greenhouse gas reduction by using organic fertilizer in boyolali regency. *E3s Web of Conferences*, 73, 08005. <https://doi.org/10.1051/e3sconf/20187308005>
38. Lutz, F., Herzfeld, T., Heinke, J., Rolinski, S., Schaphoff, S., Bloh, W., ... & Müller, C. (2019). Simulating the effect of tillage practices with the global ecosystem model lpjml (version 5.0-tillage). *Geoscientific Model Development*, 12(6), 2419-2440. <https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-12-2419-2019>
39. Montzka, S., Dlugokencky, E., & Butler, J. (2011). Non-co2 greenhouse gases and climate change. *Nature*, 476(7358), 43-50. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature10322>
40. Six, J., Ogle, S., Breidt, F., Conant, R., Mosier, A., & Paustian, K. (2004). The potential to mitigate global warming with no-tillage management is only realized when practised in the long term. *Global Change Biology*, 10(2), 155-160. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1529-8817.2003.00730.x>
41. Smith, P., Martino, D., Cai, Z., Gwary, D., Janzen, H., Kumar, P., ... & Smith, J. (2007). Greenhouse gas mitigation in agriculture. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal*

- Society B Biological Sciences, 363(1492), 789-813.
<https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2007.2184>
42. Bai, X., Huang, Y., Ren, W., Coyne, M., Jacinthe, P., Tao, B., ... & Matocha, C. (2019). Responses of soil carbon sequestration to climate-smart agriculture practices: a meta-analysis. *Global Change Biology*, 25(8), 2591-2606. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14658>
43. Ghosh, T., Maity, P., Das, T., Krishnan, P., Bhatia, A., Bhattacharya, P., ... & Sharma, D. (2020). Variation of porosity, pore size distribution and soil physical properties under conservation agriculture. *The Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 90(11), 2051-2058. <https://doi.org/10.56093/ijas.v90i11.108558>
44. Nair, V., Nair, P., Dari, B., Freitas, A., Chatterjee, N., & Pinheiro, F. (2017). Biochar in the agroecosystem-climate-change-sustainability nexus. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 8. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2017.02051>
45. Nilsson, D. and Rosenqvist, H. (2021). Profitability of crop cultivation in small arable fields when taking economic values of ecosystem services into account. *Sustainability*, 13(23), 13354. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su132313354>
46. Kapazoglou, A., Gerakari, M., Lazaridi, E., Klefogianni, K., Sarri, E., Tani, E., ... & Bebeli, P. (2023). Crop wild relatives: a valuable source of tolerance to various abiotic stresses. *Plants*, 12(2), 328. <https://doi.org/10.3390/plants12020328>
47. Nerkar, G., Devarumath, S., Purankar, M., Kumar, A., Ramanathan, V., Devarumath, R., ... & Appunu, C. (2022). Advances in crop breeding through precision genome editing. *Frontiers in Genetics*, 13. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fgene.2022.880195>
48. Rahman, M., Zulfiqar, S., Raza, M., Ahmad, N., & Zhang, B. (2022). Engineering abiotic stress tolerance in crop plants through crispr genome editing. *Cells*, 11(22), 3590. <https://doi.org/10.3390/cells11223590>
49. Rosero, A., Granda, L., Berdugo-Cely, J., Šamajová, O., Šamaj, J., & Cerkal, R. (2020). A dual strategy of breeding for drought tolerance and introducing drought-tolerant, underutilized crops into production systems to enhance their resilience to water deficiency. *Plants*, 9(10), 1263. <https://doi.org/10.3390/plants9101263>
50. Varshney, R., Singh, V., Kumar, A., Powell, W., & Sorrells, M. (2018). Can genomics deliver climate-change ready crops?. *Current Opinion in Plant Biology*, 45, 205-211. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pbi.2018.03.007>
51. Zenda, T., Liu, S., Dong, A., Li, J., Liu, X., Wang, N., ... & Duan, H. (2021). Omics-facilitated crop improvement for climate resilience and superior nutritive value. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 12. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2021.774994>
52. (2018). Sustainable agricultural practices in climate change adaptation and mitigation: the case of india. *American-Eurasian Journal of Sustainable Agriculture*. <https://doi.org/10.22587/aejsa.2018.12.2.2>
53. Baptista, F., Lourenço, P., Cruz, V., Silva, L., Silva, J., Correia, M., ... & Picuno, P. (2019). Sustainable farming best practices for msc programmes.. https://doi.org/10.26754/c_agroing.2019.com.4191
54. Drsquo, J., Samah, B., Uli, J., & Shaffril, H. (2013). Towards developing a framework on acceptance of sustainable agriculture among contract farming entrepreneurs. *African Journal of Business Management*, 5(20), 8110-8116. <https://doi.org/10.5897/ajbm11.034>
55. Kato, F. (2021). Policies for sustainable agricultural development. *Japanese Journal of Agricultural Economics*, 23(0), 66-70. https://doi.org/10.18480/jjae.23.0_66
56. Knutson, C., Haigh, T., Hayes, M., Widhalm, M., Nothwehr, J., Kleinschmidt, M., ... & Graf, L. (2011). Farmer perceptions of sustainable agriculture practices and drought risk reduction in nebraska, usa. *Renewable Agriculture and Food Systems*, 26(3), 255-266. <https://doi.org/10.1017/s174217051100010x>
57. Lamm, A., Lamm, K., Trojan, S., Sanders, C., & Byrd, A. (2023). A needs assessment to inform research and outreach efforts for sustainable agricultural practices and food production in the western united states. *Foods*, 12(8), 1630. <https://doi.org/10.3390/foods12081630>
58. Mockshell, J. and Kamanda, J. (2018). Beyond the agroecological and sustainable agricultural intensification debate: is blended sustainability the way forward?. *International Journal of Agricultural Sustainability*, 16(2), 127-149. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14735903.2018.1448047>
59. Rockström, J., Williams, J., Daily, G., Noble, A., Matthews, N., Gordon, L., ... & Smith, J. (2016). Sustainable intensification of agriculture for human prosperity and global sustainability. *Ambio*, 46(1), 4-17. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13280-016-0793-6>

60. Ghosh, M., Sohel, M., Ara, N., Zahara, F., Nur, S., & Hasan, M. (2019). Farmers attitude towards organic farming: a case study in chapainawabganj district. *Asian Journal of Advances in Agricultural Research*, 1-7. <https://doi.org/10.9734/ajaar/2019/v10i230026>
61. Hobbs, P., Sayre, K., & Gupta, R. (2007). The role of conservation agriculture in sustainable agriculture. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B Biological Sciences*, 363(1491), 543-555. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2007.2169>
62. Tuomisto, H., Hodge, I., Riordan, P., & Macdonald, D. (2012). Does organic farming reduce environmental impacts? – a meta-analysis of european research. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 112, 309-320. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2012.08.018>
63. Hedlund, J., Carlsen, H., Croft, S., West, C., Bodin, Ö., Stokeld, E., ... & Müller, C. (2022). Impacts of climate change on global food trade networks. *Environmental Research Letters*, 17(12), 124040. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/aca68b>
64. Ingutia, R. (2021). The impacts of covid-19 and climate change on smallholders through the lens of sdgs; and ways to keep smallholders on 2030 agenda. *International Journal of Sustainable Development & World Ecology*, 28(8), 693-708. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13504509.2021.1905100>
65. Islam, S. and Kieu, E. (2020). Tackling regional climate change impacts and food security issues: a critical analysis across asean, pif, and saarc. *Sustainability*, 12(3), 883. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su12030883>
66. Kifle, T. (2021). Climate-smart agricultural (csa) practices and its implications to food security in siyadebrina wayu district, ethiopia. *African Journal of Agricultural Research*, 17(1), 92-103. <https://doi.org/10.5897/ajar2020.15100>
67. Masipa, T. (2017). The impact of climate change on food security in south africa: current realities and challenges ahead. *Jàmbá Journal of Disaster Risk Studies*, 9(1). <https://doi.org/10.4102/jamba.v9i1.411>
68. Parry, M., Rosenzweig, C., Iglesias, A., Fischer, G., & Livermore, M. (1999). Climate change and world food security: a new assessment. *Global Environmental Change*, 9, S51-S67. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0959-3780\(99\)00018-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0959-3780(99)00018-7)
69. Adams, R., Hurd, B., Lenhart, S., & Leary, N. (1998). Effects of global climate change on world agriculture: an interpretive review. *Climate Research*, 11, 19-30. <https://doi.org/10.3354/cr011019>
70. Khan, Y., Qiu, B., & Hassan, T. (2019). The impact of climate changes on agriculture export trade in pakistan: evidence from time-series analysis. *Growth and Change*, 50(4), 1568-1589. <https://doi.org/10.1111/grow.12333>
71. Mendelsohn, R. (2009). The impact of climate change on agriculture in developing countries. *Journal of Natural Resources Policy Research*, 1(1), 5-19. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19390450802495882>
72. Scherr, S., Shames, S., & Friedman, R. (2012). From climate-smart agriculture to climate-smart landscapes. *Agriculture & Food Security*, 1(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/2048-7010-1-12>