



THE DENTIST-NURSE WORKING WITH PHARMACIST IN SUCCESSFULLY ADJUSTING LOCAL ANESTHESIA; REVIEW

Dr. Mustafa Mohammed Balto^{1*}, Ahmed Saeed Babunjy², Dr. Arjumand Abdulrahim Moulana³, Samia Mohammed Omran⁴, Nermeen Saad Felemban⁵, Huda Ibrahim Jambi⁶, Ohood Abdaraboh Alhabashi⁷, Abdulkarim Jahaz Dughaylib Alotaibi⁸, Badr Moriziq Al-Solami⁹, Hassan Ali Alsaahabi¹⁰, Khaled Munahi Khaled Alotaibi¹¹, Fahad Muidh Al-otaibi¹¹, Mohammed Saad Alkhosify¹¹, Abdullah Mohammed Ali Alshaikhi¹², Meshari Ambark Allahyani¹³

Abstract:

In the field of dentistry, the significance of delivering local anesthetic in an efficient manner cannot be stressed, since a great number of dental treatments would be impossible to carry out without it. In spite of the fact that oral healthcare providers have access to a wide variety of effective local anesthetics, the administration of local anesthesia continues to be a source of worry for dental patients and continues to be an experience that is frequently unpleasant. This is an essential component for the development and execution of such a certification at the conceptual level. In recent times, the problem of direct access has been brought to light. The dental profession has seen significant transformations in recent years, with an increased emphasis being placed on dentists to fulfill the requirements of patients who are afflicted with dental illness, particularly those who are marginalized, through the utilization of the entire dental team. In spite of this, and despite the fact that it could appear counterintuitive, if this position were to be expanded to dental nurses and pharmacists, it might be more often embraced in areas where time is not as critical, such as salaried and other secondary services.

^{1*}Primary Health Care Centre Al-Kakiyah Dentist

²Ahmed Saeed babunjy, jarwal Healthcare center, resident dentist

³Primary Health Care Centre Al-Kakiyah Dentist

⁴Primary Health Care AL-Kakiyah Dental assistant

⁵Primary Health Care Centre Al-Kakiyah Dentist

⁶Dental Asistas, primary healthcare Altawfeep and Alrapeea

⁷Dental assistant, Primary health care center in Alzimah

⁸Nursing technician, Afif general hospital

⁹Nurse , Medical supply in Makkah

¹⁰Pharmacist , Medical supply in Makkah

¹¹Pharmacy technician, Medical supply in Makkah

¹²Pharmacy Technician, King Abdulaziz Makkah Saudi Arabia

¹³Nursing technician, King Abdulaziz Hospital in Mecca

***Corresponding Author:** Dr. Mustafa Mohammed Balto

*Primary Health Care Centre Al-Kakiyah Dentist

DOI: 10.53555/ecb/2022.11.7.52

Introduction:

In dentistry, the use of local anaesthetics (LAs) is absolutely necessary for the treatment of pain. Because they are able to inhibit nerve transmission in a reversible manner, they make it possible to carry out a wide range of treatments. On the other hand, LA injections typically cause patients of all ages to experience dread and anxiety, which frequently leads to patients delaying their dental appointments or even refusing to get therapy that they have been prescribed. In light of this, it is imperative that appropriate measures be implemented in order to alleviate pain and anxiety, as well as to limit the requirement for sedation. A scorching and stinging sensation is frequently reported by patients during the process of receiving an injection of anaesthetics. This unpleasant sensation may be attributed to the acidic nature of commercially available local anesthetics, which have a pH of roughly 4.5. These anesthetics are adjusted to this pH in order to extend their shelf life. The majority of the molecules in the cartridge are acidic (RNH⁺) and reside in a form that is water-soluble primarily. On the other hand, in order for the anesthetic to be able to pass through the nerve sheath, it must first be in its un-ionized free base form. After that, the H⁺ ion must separate from the ionized molecule. The physiological pH is around 7.4, and a rise in H⁺ in the tissues might elicit pain by activating nociceptors such as acid-sensing ion channels (ASICs [1]). Therefore, the physiological pH is approximately 7.4.

The fact that going to the dentist is something that is done on a regular basis makes it possible for it to be the first line of defense in the early identification, treatment, and prevention of oral and systemic disorders.¹ Dental practitioners frequently come into contact with patients whose existing drugs have the potential to impact dental care, including the selection of medications that are provided [2]. This is an issue that is especially prevalent among senior patients, and as the number of elderly patients in the United States continues to increase, the prevalence of chronic illnesses and the usage of medicine also continues to multiply [3]. Xerostomia, tooth loss, edentulism, and periodontal disease are examples of dental disorders that are prevalent among the older population. These conditions are frequently caused by patients' drug regimens, or they are made worse by the medications that patients take.² Because of the possible influence that drug regimens might have on patient care, dentists should be sure to document and assess all medication usage patterns. As a matter of best practice, dentists are required to collect a comprehensive medication history and

assess the possibility for drug-drug or drug-disease interactions, harmful effects on the oral cavity and adjacent tissues, reliance on medication, and antibiotic resistance [4]. Drug interactions that are frequently encountered by dental professionals include the combination of nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) with antihypertensives, antidepressants with sedatives, sedatives with central nervous system depressants, vasoconstrictors with nonselective β -adrenergic blocking drugs, antibiotics with cytochrome P450 enzyme inducers or inhibitors, and antiplatelet, analgesic, and anticoagulant medications with dental treatment [5].

Review:

The pharmacist was given a secondary faculty post in the School of Dentistry and was entrusted with guiding the establishment of a formal interprofessional practice and education site in the dentistry school clinic of the university. This was done in order to establish this interprofessional partnership. Because of the growing emphasis on collaborative practice models on a national scale, as well as the requirement of pharmacy and dental accreditation standards for students to be able to participate on an interprofessional care team, the core competencies of the Interprofessional Education Collaborative were utilized as a means of directing the development of this collaboration [7].

A research that was conducted in 2015 found that medication histories that were prepared by pharmacists found that approximately 90 percent of the prescription records in dental profiles had mistakes in doses and recommendations, as well as omissions of medications, therapeutic duplications, and combinations of these.¹² When compared to healthcare practitioners who are not pharmacists, it is well-established that pharmacists collect medication histories of a higher quality, with fewer mistakes and a more comprehensive listing of all drugs that patients use [8]. Additionally, pharmacists in the dental clinic context can detect potential interactions and side effects, give dentists and staff with updates on evidencebased guidelines, propose self-care items that promote excellent oral health, and provide medication therapy management (MTM) services. It is essential for students to participate in interprofessional education (IPE) during their time in school in order to enhance health outcomes and learn from one another [9]. This is because interprofessional interactions could only take place in practice if students participated in IPE. Even though there are benefits that have been

recognized as being associated with interprofessional cooperation between dentists and pharmacists, a study of the literature demonstrates that there is a paucity of routine collaboration between the professions. There have only been six research that have been published in this field up until this point. There were four studies that found that a pharmacy-dental collaboration resulted in a greater reduction in medication history omissions, medication discrepancies, decreased opioid prescribing overall, and greater patient perceived knowledge of and intentions to utilize medications to assist with tobacco cessation when compared to patients who did not benefit from interprofessional care. Two of these studies took place in a free dental care clinic, and the other two took place in a university dental clinic. Two alternative IPE models were reported in a fifth and sixth study that took place in a university dental clinic environment [10]. These models were conducted between dentists and pharmacists. The multidisciplinary team that participated in the research conducted by BranchMays et al. was able to aid in the identification of drug therapy issues and the acquisition of primary care metrics (diabetes status, tobacco use, blood pressure, and pulse), which is a need that is seldom satisfied in dentistry clinics. When dentistry and pharmacy students were combined in a dental clinic, the researchers found that both the students' attitudes and their knowledge of IPE increased [11]. This was the result of the integration of the two groups of students. This early published evidence indicates that collaborative care in educational and clinical dental settings may result in better mutual understanding of the role of the pharmacist and dentist, a strengthened knowledge base of pharmacotherapy as it applies to dentistry, and, ultimately, improved patient outcomes. Therefore, it is necessary to identify and describe the creation of pharmacy-dental joint practice models that are both organized and durable [12].

Conclusion:

Both dentists and a pharmacist worked together to effectively establish and execute an interprofessional education site in a university dentistry clinic. This was accomplished via the combined efforts of both parties. Patients received more comprehensive dental and general healthcare as a result of the integration of the pharmacy team into clinic operations, which assisted dentists and dental students with medication-related issues and contributed to the improvement of dental treatment. In order to overcome the difficulties that were encountered during the implementation of this program, the pharmacy team intends to modify or

broaden their emphasis on the patient group, their intervention tracking, and their engagement in the curriculum of dentistry and pharmacy schools. Adjusting the pH of lidocaine did not seem to be useful in alleviating the discomfort caused by intraoral injections in tissues that were either normal or inflamed. The administration of buffered lidocaine in normal tissues did not result in an improvement in the onset of anesthesia. There was a modest reduction in the onset time that was noticed in tissues that were inflamed and when the IAN block approach was utilized; however, that difference might not be clinically important when taking into consideration the amount of time that is necessary to manufacture the buffering agent.

References:

1. Weinstock R, Johnson M. Review of Top 10 Prescribed Drugs and Their Interaction with Dental Treatment. *Dent Clin N Am*. 2016;60:421–434.
2. Moore PA, Gage TW, Hersh EV, et al. Adverse drug interactions in dental practice: professional and educational implications. *JADA*. 1999;130:47–54.
3. Salort-Llorca C, Mínguez-Serra MP, Silvestre-Donat FJ. Interactions between ibuprofen and antihypertensive drugs: Incidence and clinical relevance in dental practice. *Med Oral Patol Oral Cir Bucal*. 2008;13(11):E717–721.
4. Lambrecht JT, Greuter C, Surber C. Antidepressants relevant to oral and maxillofacial surgical practice. *Annals of Maxillofacial Surgery*. 2013;3(2):160–166.
5. Hersh EV, Moore PA. Drug interactions in dentistry: The importance of knowing your CYPs. *JADA*. 2004;135:298311.
6. Choi H, Stewart A, Rosenfeld B, et al. Medication discrepancies in the dental record: implications on oral health. *J Am Pharm Assoc*. 2015;55:e169.
7. Mekonnen AB, McLachlan AJ, Brien JE. Pharmacy-led medication reconciliation programmes at hospital transitions: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Clin Pharm Ther*. 2016;41:128–144.
8. Reeder TA, Mutnick A. Pharmacist-versus physician-obtained medication histories. *Am J Health-Syst Pharm*. 2008;65:857–860.
9. Steurbaut S, Leemans L, Leysen T, et al. Medication History Reconciliation by Clinical Pharmacists in Elderly Inpatients Admitted from Home or a Nursing Home. *Ann Pharmacother*. 2010;44:1596–1603.
10. Stewart AL, Lynch KJ. Medication discrepancies despite pharmacist led medication reconciliation: the challenges of maintaining an

- accurate medication list in primary care. *Pharmacy Practice*. 2014;12(1):360.
11. Wilhelm M, Poirier T, Otsuka A, Wagner S. Interprofessional ethics learning between schools of pharmacy and dental medicine. *J Interprof Care*. 2014;28(5):478–480.
 12. Stewart A, Zborovancik KJ, Stiely KL. The impact of pharmacy services on opioid prescribing in dental practice. *J Am Pharm Assoc*. 2017;57:578–582.