

# ANALYSIS OF CLINICAL PROFILE, PRESCRIBING PATTERNS AND MEDICATION ADHERENCE IN ASTHMA PATIENTS AT SOUTH INDIAN GOVERNMENT GENERAL HOSPITAL

Dr. B Ramya Kuber <sup>1\*</sup>, P Lakshmi<sup>2</sup>, B Geetanjali<sup>3</sup>, C Kavya<sup>3</sup>, G Santhosh Kumar<sup>3</sup>, K Deepika<sup>3</sup>, K Pandyan Vadayar<sup>3</sup>.

<sup>1\*</sup>Professor, Institute of Pharmarmaceutical Technology, Sri Padmavathi Mahila Visvavidyalayam (Women's University), Tirupati- 517502, AP. India.

<sup>2</sup>Research Scholar, Institute of Pharmarmaceutical Technology, Sri Padmavati Mahila Visvavidyalayam (Women's University), Tirupati- 517502, AP, India.

<sup>3</sup>Final year B pharmacy, Sri padmavathi school of pharmacy, Tiruchanoor, Tirupati- 517502, AP, India.

## \*Corresponding Author:Dr B Ramya Kuber

\*Professor,Department Of Pharmacognosy Institute Of Pharmaceutical Technology Sri Padmavati Mahila Visvavidyalayam Tirupati Andhra Pradesh, India 517502 Email ID: lakshmi.puligundla@gmail.com

**DOI:** - 10.31838/ecb/2023.13.si5.085

**ABSTRACT:** Asthma is one of the most significant health issues, affecting roughly 300 million people worldwide. It affects children and adults of all ages and its prevalence is increasing, particularly among children. The objective of the study was evaluating drug prescription patterns for the treatment of asthma by using WHO prescribing indicators and level of medication adherence among patients. The prospective observational study was conducted at tertiary care government general hospital (SVRRGH) located at Tirupati, Balaji district, Andhra Pradesh state, India. The study was conducted for period of two months (October to November). A total 86 patients were included in the study were the mean ((SD) age of study population was 14.3333  $\pm$ 5.34. The majority of study population were founded female 56(65.11%) and male were 30(34.88%). The severity of asthma was recorded where majority of patients were noted as moderate asthma in 60(69.77%) patients followed by mild 22 (25.58%) patients and severe 4(4.651) patients. The present study concludes explains as following prescribing guidelines is essential for positive treatment outcomes. Based on medication adherence rating scale the medication adherence is founded as 67%. The healthcare team involment in improving drug taking behaviours is very much needed to improve medication adherence among patients.

**KEY WORDS**: Medication adherence, Asthma, Prevalence, Treatment, Healthcare.

# INTRODUCTION

Asthma is one of the most significant health issues, affecting roughly 300 million people worldwide. It primarily affects children and adults. Because of inflammation and muscular tension surrounding the airways, the airways in the lungs become constricted. This condition's morbidity and mortality are of major concern, and there is substantial agreement on how it should be handled<sup>1,2</sup>. The national incidence of asthma symptoms in children ranges from 1.6% in Indonesia to 36.8% in the United Kingdom. Cough, wheeze, shortness of breath, and chest tightness are the most typical asthma symptoms. These sensations come and go and are usually worst at night or during activity<sup>1</sup>.

According to the GINA (Global Initiative for Asthma), medications used to treat Asthma are primarily condition-specific. Inhaled short acting beta-2-agonists (SABA) or long-acting beta-2agonists (LABA) and leukotriene receptor antagonists (LTRA) are common treatments. Numerous large randomised controlled studies have indicated that combining LABAs with ICSs can minimise acute exacerbations and enhance asthma control better than ICS alone or even increasing the ICS dosage<sup>2,3,4</sup>.

Most asthmatic patients without prior ICS medication utilised fixed dosage combinations of LABA and ICS as single inhalers<sup>6,7</sup>. Inhaled treatment can transport medications directly into the airways, resulting in larger concentrations and a lower risk of systemic side effects. Furthermore, the Salmeterol Multicentre Asthma Research Trail (SMART), a large randomised trial, found that patients receiving inhaled long-acting beta -2agonists (LABAs) alone experienced more serious adverse events and asthma-related deaths. The GINA guidelines recommend that LABAs be used in combination with inhaled corticosteroid (ICSs Furthermore, the Salmeterol Multicentre Asthma Research Trail (SMART), a large randomised trial, reported that patients receiving inhaled longacting beta -2-agonists (LABAs) alone experienced more serious adverse events and asthma-related deaths. The GINA guidelines recommend that LABAs be used in combination with inhaled corticosteroid (ICSs)<sup>2,6,7</sup>.

Based on international consensus on asthma care, it is fair to expect that community prescription will be in accordance with recognised criteria to optimise asthma therapy. Adherence to evidencebased treatment guidelines is crucial for improving asthma patients' clinical outcomes<sup>8</sup>. However, many patients rely on short-acting 2agonists (SABAs) for fast symptom relief, despite the fact that they have no intrinsic antiinflammatory action and that SABA use without ICS may be pro-inflammatory. The objective of the study was evaluating drug prescription patterns for the treatment of asthma by using WHO prescribing indicators and level of medication adherence among patients<sup>9,10</sup>.

# **METHODS:**

prospective The observational study was conducted at tertiary care government general hospital (SVRRGH) located at Tirupati, Balaji district, Andhra Pradesh state, India. The study was conducted for period of two months (October The patients to November). who visited pulmonology out patient department and diagnosed with asthma were included in the study. the clear objective of our study was explained to the patients and who are willing to provide informed consent sign were included in the study. a specially designed proforma was used to avail data from study participants. The proforma consists of patient's demographic details, medical history, clinical signs and symptoms, comorbidities, severity of asthma, grades of breathlessness, drugs prescribed, non-asthmatic symptoms

## Measures:

The study was employed to evaluate the prescribing practices for all medicines used in asthmatic patients. The optimal values for the prescribing indicators were adopted from previous studies (Desalegn<sup>4</sup>, Atif et.al<sup>5</sup>). The prescribing indicators include; the average number of drugs prescribed per encounter (optimal value 1.6–1.8), the percentage of drugs prescribed by generic name (optimal value 100%), the percentage of encounters where an antibiotic was prescribed (optimal value 20.0–26.8%), the percentage of encounters where an injection was the route of administration (optimal value 13.4–24.1%), and the percentage of drugs prescribed from the Essential Drugs List (EDL) or some other recognized formulary (for which the optimal value is 100%).

The medication adherence in asthma patients were measured with medication adherence rating scale (MARS-5). The MARS-5 is composed of five questions about "forgetting", "changing of dosages, stopping, skipping and using medication less than what is prescribed. The, study subjects indicated the frequency as "always", "often", "sometimes", "rarely" or "never" for each question, with ascending scores from "always" (1 point) to "never" (5 points). The scores for each of the five questions were aggregated to give the final score, which ranged from 5 to 25 points <sup>2,5</sup>.

The levels of breathlessness grades were indicated by modified medical research council(mMRC). The mMRC Dyspnoea Scale (Modified Medical Research Council) is used to determine the degree of baseline functional handicap caused by dyspnoea. It is beneficial in determining the cause of baseline dyspnoea in individuals with respiratory diseases such as asthma. The mMRC scale is a self-rating instrument that assesses the degree of disability caused by breathlessness in daily activities on a range of 0 to 4: 0, no breathlessness except during severe activity; Shortness of breath when hurrying on the level or walking up a slight hill; 2, walks slower than people of same age on the level due to breathlessness; 3, stops for breath after walking 100 m or after a few minutes on the level; and 4, too breathless to leave the house. Following their physician appointment, individual patients were questioned using the established proforma for this study. The Compilation of data was done and Data were classified in different independent variable. The data was tabulated using Excel in the computer and Percentage, mean, standard deviation was calculated.

## **RESULTS:**

A total 86 patients were included in the study were the mean ((SD) age of study population was  $14.3333 \pm 5.34$ . The majority of study population were founded female 56(65.11%) and male were 30(34.88%). The severity of asthma was recorded where majority of patients were noted as moderate asthma in 60(69.77%) patients followed by mild 22 (25.58\%) patients and severe 4(4.651)patients.

Tab	le l	_	
SAMPLE CHARECTERISTICS	N (%) OR MEAN	MEAN (SD)	
Age		$14.3333 \pm 5.341$	
GENDER			
Male	30 (34.9%)		
Female	56 (65.1%)		
SEVEARITY OF ASTHMA			
Moderate	60(69.767)	30 ±18.017	
Mild	22(25.581)	11 ±1.386	
Severe	4(4.651)	2 ±1.386	
COMORBIDITIES			
With Out Comorbidities	58(67.441)	29 ±12.473	
With Comorbidities	28(32.558)	14 ±5.544	
DRUGS PRESCRIBED			
Bronchodilators	74(86.046)	37 ±18.017	
Proton Pump Inhibitors	66(76.744)	33 ±11.087	
Analgesic And Anti Pyretic	41(47.674)	20.5 ±0.693	
Multi Vitamins	48(55.813)	24 ±18.017	
Mucolytics	74(86.046)	37 ±18.017	
LTRA's (Leukotriene receptor antagonists)	35(40.697)	17.5 ±3.465	
Anti Biotics	47(54.651)	23.5 ±18.71	
Inhaled Corticosteroids	79(91.860)	39.5 ±17.324	
CLINICAL SYMPTOMS			
Fever	16(18.604)	8 ±4.158	
SOB	51(59.302)	25.5 ±13.166	
Chills	1(1.162)	0.5 ±0.693	
Wheezing	51(59.302)	25.5 ±7.623	
Difficulty in breathing	32(37.209)	16 ±1.386	
SPUTUM			
Yellow	15(17.441)	7.5 ±7.623	
White	25(29.069)	12.5 ±10.394	
Cough	71(82.558)	35.5 ±14.552	
Chest tightness	22(25.581)	11 ±8.316	
Cold	23(26.744)	11.5 ±0.693	
OTHER SYMPTOMS			

Table 1

Analysis Of Clinical Profile, Prescribing Patterns And Medication Adherence In Asthma Patients At South Indian Government General Hospital

Epigastric burning sensation	5(5.813)	2.5 ±2.079
Sore throat	5(5.813)	2.5 ±0.693
Headache	5(5.813)	2.5 ±2.079
Myalgia	9(10.465)	4.5 ±3.465
NO OF ANTIBIOTICS		
0	38(44.186)	19 ±11.087
1	14(16.279)	7 ±6.93
2	32(37.209)	16 ±15.245
3	2(2.325)	$1 \pm 1.386$
NO OF DRUGS PRESCRIBED		
1	6(6.976)	3 ±1.386
2	12(13.953)	6 ±1.386
3	31(36.046)	15.5 ±0.693
4	29(33.720)	$14.5 \pm 14.552$
5	8(9.302)	4 ±4.158
GRADES OF BREATHLESSNESS		
Grade 1 mMRC	15(17.441)	7.5 ±2.079
Grade 2 mMRC	25(29.069)	$12.5 \pm 3.465$
Grade 3 mMRC	42(48.837)	21 ±13.859
Grade 4 mMRC	4(4.651)	2 ±1.386

All the patients received medications for asthma with or without co-morbidities were documented. Most of the patients are noted with not having any co-morbidities i.e., 58(67.44%) patients and 28(32.56%) patients were reported with comorbidities. The comorbid conditions recorded were diabetes mellitus, hypertension, bronchiolitis and emphysema.

The clinical symptoms observed in study population were documented. Among study population most of the patients were reported with cough 71 (82.56%) followed by shortness of breath 51(59.3%) and wheezing 51(59.3%), difficulty in breathing 32(37.2%), presence of sputum white 25(29.069) and yellow coloured 15(17.441), chest tightness 22(25.581), cold 23(26.744), fever 16(18.604) and chills1(1.162). The mean and standard deviation was calculated

at 95% CI and all the values are represented in table 1.

the drugs prescribed inhaled Among corticosteroids are prescribed in majority of 79 (91.8%) patients followed by mucolytics in 74(86.04%) patients. The other symptoms presented among the study population were also recorded. The epigastric pain was seen in 5(5.81%) patients, sore throat in 5(5.81%)patients, headache in 5(5.81%) and myalgia (muscle pain) was seen in 9(10.465%) patients. All the symptoms are corelated and were founded statistically significant p<0.05. in current study most of the patients were prescribed with three medication 31(36.04%) followed by 29(33.72%) patients with four medications. The 44.18% of patients were not prescribed with any antibiotics and remaining 65.82% were prescribed with antibiotics.

WHO PRESCRIBING INDICATORS					
INDICATOR	Total	Value	<b>OPTIMAL VALUE</b>		
The average number of drugs per encounter	279	3.2	1.6-1.8		
% Drugs prescribed by generic name	76	88%	100%		
% Encounters with an antibiotic	48	55.81%	20.0-26.8%		
% Encounters with an injection	15	17.44%	13.4-24.1%		
% Drugs from essential drugs list	59	68.60%	100%		

Table 2

Among the study population, the average number of drugs per encounter was 3.2. The percentage of drugs prescribed by generic name was 88.37%. The percentage of encounters with an antibiotic prescribed was 55.81% and 17.44% of drugs were given by the injectable route. Over three quarters 66.60 % of drugs were prescribed from the EDL (Table  $\underline{2}$ ).

The grades of breathlessness were measured, in present study grade 3 breathlessness was noted in majority of patients i.e., 42(48.837%). The mean (SD) was calculated grade 3 was  $21 \pm 13.859$ ,

grade	2	was	12.5	$\pm 3.465$	grade	was	7.5	
-------	---	-----	------	-------------	-------	-----	-----	--

 $\pm 2.079$  and grade 4 was 4(4.651).

Table 3					
Medication adherence reporting scale		Frequency (%)	Mean (SD) at 95% CI		
I forgot to take them	always	9 (10.46%)			
	often	21 (24.41%)			
	sometimes	26 (30.23%)	17.2 ±5.358		
	rarely	18 (20.93%)			
	never	12 (13.95%)			
I change the dose	always	11 (12.79%)			
	often	24 (27.90%)	17.4 ±3.865		
	sometimes	20 (23.25%)			
	rarely	17 (19.76%)			
	never	15 (17.44%)			
I stop taking them for a while	always	16 (18.60%)			
	often	23 (26.74%)			
	sometimes	21 (24.41%)	17.2 ±3.652		
	rarely	14 (16.27%)			
	never	12 (13.95%)			
I decided to skip a dose	always	14 (16.27%)			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	often	26 (30.23%)	17.2 ±5.032		
	sometimes	22 (25.58%)			
	rarely	12 (13.95%)			
	never	12 (13.95%)			
I take medication less than instructed	always	9 (10.46%)			
	often	18 (20.93%)	17.2 ±5.093		
	sometimes	27 (31.39%)			
	rarely	15 (17.44%)			
	never	17 (19.76%)			

The medication adherence reporting scale was employed to assess medication adherence in the research population. Table 3 displays the reported MARS-5 responses. Some (30.23%) of the participants said they occasionally forgot to take their meds, while 31.39% said they usually or frequently take less medication than advised. The statement with the greatest mean was "I change the dose," whereas the statement had the lowest mean.

#### DISCUSSION

The goal of this study was to examine drug usage trends by analysing the main indicators of the WHO prescription indicators. Though irrational prescribing practices exist all over the world<sup>9</sup>, the gravity of the problem is high developing and under developed countries.

Our study found a larger number of medications prescribed per prescription, antibiotic overuse, a sufficient rate of prescribing by generic name, and a high incidence of antibiotic prescribing. These findings were similar to assessment of WHO/INRUD core drug use indicators in two tertiary care hospitals of Bahawalpur study conducted by Atif M et.al<sup>1</sup>.

As shortness of breath, wheezing and dyspnoea are primary symptoms of asthma in our study we founded majority of patients are reported with these symptoms these findings are found to be similar with the studies conducted by Atif M et.al<sup>1</sup> & Rand CS et.al<sup>3</sup>. based on report of WHO prescribing indicators the average number of drugs per encounter is founded two times higher than optimal value. The drugs prescribed with generic name was recorded as 88% which is less than optimal values and the other results like antibiotics per encounters and drugs prescribed from EDL were also founded higher than the optimal values. The injections prescribed per encounter is founded as per the optimal values. These findings were similar with Desalegn AA<sup>5</sup> study were the mention high no of antibiotics and drugs per encounter were reported.

The non adherence level was report high in sometimes and often levels. Lack of knowledge on medication adherence is reason behind this report. These findings are similar to Horne et.al<sup>6</sup> study.

Throughout the study certain limitations were identified. This study's data was gathered by selfreporting, which may have resulted in social desirability and recall biases. Furthermore, despite the fact that the interviewer was well-trained to deliver the surveys in the same manner for all respondents without any extra explanations, an interviewer bias may be present in the obtained data.

#### **CONCLUSION:**

The present study concludes explains as following prescribing guidelines is essential for positive treatment outcomes. Based on medication adherence rating scale the medication adherence is founded as 67%. The healthcare team involment in improving drug taking behaviours is very much needed to improve medication adherence among patients.

#### **REFERENCES:**

- 1. Atif M, Sarwar MR, Azeem M, Umer D, Rauf A, Rasool A, et al. Assessment of WHO/INRUD core drug use indicators in two tertiary care hospitals of Bahawalpur, Punjab, Pakistan. *J Pharm Policy Pract.* 2016; 9(1):27.
- 2. Sturkenboom MC, Verhamme KM, Nicolosi A, et al. Drug use in children: cohort study in three European countries. BMJ 2008: 337: a2245.
- Rand CS, Wise RA. Measuring adherence to asthma medication regimens. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 1994: 149: S69–76; discussion S77-8..
- 4. Akinbami LJ, Sullivan SD, Campbell JD, et al. Asthma outcomes: healthcare utilization and costs. J Allergy Clin Immun. 2012;129:S49– S64.
- 5. Desalegn AA. Assessment of drug use pattern using WHO prescribing indicators at Hawassa University teaching and referral hospital, south Ethiopia: a cross-sectional study. *BMC Health Serv Res.* 2013;13 (1): 170.
- Horne, Robert & Mansour, Maha & Alkhatib, Nimer & Albawab, abdel qader & Al-Qerem, Walid & Abusara, Osama. (2021). What Are the Factors Associated with Nonadherence to Medications in Patients with Chronic Diseases. <u>Healthcare</u> .September 2019: 9(9).
- 7. Hwang CY, Chen YJ, Lin MW, et al. Prevalence of atopic dermatitis, allergic rhinitis and asthma in Taiwan: a national study 2000 to 2007. Acta Derm-venereol. 2010;90:589–594.
- 8. Sun HL, Lue KH. Health care utilization and costs of adult asthma in Taiwan. Allergy Asthma Proc. 2008;29:177–181.
- 9. National Asthma Education and Prevention Program. Expert Panel Report 3 (EPR-3): Guidelines for the Diagnosis and Management

of Asthma-Summary Report 2007. J Allergy Clin Immun. 2007;120: S94–138.

- 10.Global Initiative for Asthma. Global Strategy for Asthma Management and Prevention. www. Ginas thma .org. Date last updated 2015. Accessed June 8, 2015.
- 11.Hoven JL, Haaijer-Ruskamp FM, Vander Stichele RH. Indicators of prescribing quality in drug utilisation research: report of a European meeting (DURQUIM, 13e15 May 2004. Eur J Clin Pharmacol 2005 ;60 :8 31e4.
- 12. Martirosyan L, Arah OA, Haaijer-Ruskamp FM, Braspenning J, Denig P. Methods to identify the target population: implications for prescribing quality indicators. BMC Health Serv Res 2010;10:137.
- 13.Hallas J, Hansen NC. Individual utilization of anti-asthma medication by young adults: a prescription database analysis. J Intern Med 1993;234: 65e70.
- 14.Klomp H, Lawson JA, Cockcroft DW, Chan BT, Cascagnette P, Gander L, et al. Examining asthma quality of care using a populationbased approach. CMAJ 2008;8(178):1013e21.
- 15. Davidsen JR, Søndergaard J, Hallas J, Siersted HC, Lykkegaard J, Andersen M. Increased use of inhaled corticosteroids among young Danish adult asthmatics: an observational study. Respir Med 2010; 104: 18 17e24.
- 16.Himmel W, Hummers-Pradier E, Schumann H, Kochen MM. The predictive value of asthma medications to identify individuals with asthmaea study in German general practices. Br J Gen Pract 2001;51:879e83.
- 17.Fuhlbrigge AL. Asthma severity and asthma control: symptoms, pulmonary function, and inflammatory markers. Curr Opin Pulm Med 2004;10:1e6.
- 18. Anonymous. Management of asthma in the community. Lancet 1989;ii: 199-200
- 19. Jones KP. Asthma care in general practice-time for revolution? BrJ Gen Pract 1991;41:224-
- 20.Drotar D, Bonner MS. Influences on adherence to pediatric asthma treatment: a review of correlates and predictors. J Dev Behav Pediatr 2009: 30: 574–82.
- 21.Engelkes M, Janssens HM, de Jongste JC, Sturkenboom MC, Verhamme KM. Medication adherence and the risk of severe asthma exacerbations: a systematic review. Eur Respir J 2015: 45: 396–407
- 22.Nelson HS, Weiss ST, Bleecker ER, et al, SMART Study Group. The Salmeterol Multicenter Asthma Research Trial: a comparison of usual pharmacotherapy for

asthma or usual pharmacotherapy plus salmeterol. Chest. 2006;129:15–26.

23.Pandey A, Tripathi P, Pandey RD. Prescription pattern in asthma therapy at Gorakhpur hospitals. Lung India. 2010; 27:8–10.